The Looijenga–Lunts–Verbitsky Algebra for Primitive Symplectic Varieties with Isolated Singularities

Benjamin Tighe

Abstract. We extend results of Looijenga–Lunts and Verbitsky and show that the total Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ for the intersection cohomology of a primitive symplectic variety X with isolated singularities is isomorphic to

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}\left(\left(\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), Q_X\right) \oplus \mathfrak{h}\right),$$

where Q_X is the intersection Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a hyperbolic plane. This gives a new, *algebraic* proof for irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds which does not rely on the hyperkähler metric.

Along the way, we study the structure of $\mathrm{IH}^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation—with particular emphasis on the Verbitsky component, multidimensional Kuga–Satake constructions, and Mumford–Tate algebras—and give some immediate applications concerning the $P = W$ conjecture for primitive symplectic varieties.

Benjamin Tighe
Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA
e-mail: bentighe@uoregon.edu

Contents

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Hyperkähler manifolds are distinguished in complex algebraic geometry due to their rich Hodge theory and form one of the three building blocks of the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition of K-trivial varieties. Verbitsky's global Torelli theorem, see [Ver13], states that hyperkähler manifolds are essentially determined by their second cohomology, along with its monodromy representation. One then expects the higher cohomology groups to be determined by the Hodge theory of H^2. This can be described using the Looijenga–Lunts–Verbitsky (LLV) algebra, a Lie algebra on the total cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ of a compact hyperkähler manifold X, which was studied independently by Looijenga–Lunts [LL97] and by Verbitsky [Ver96] in his thesis.

We say a class $\omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is HL if it satisfies the hard Lefschetz theorem: For every k, the cupping morphism L_ω on cohomology gives isomorphisms

$$L_\omega^k \colon H^{\dim X - k}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{\dim X + k}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Equivalently, ω is HL if the nilpotent operator L_ω completes to an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\mathfrak{g}_\omega = \langle L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega, H \rangle$, where $H = [L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega]$ acts as $(k - \dim X)\mathrm{id}$ on $H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$. We define the *LLV algebra* of a hyperkähler manifold X to be the Lie algebra generated by all possible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-algebras of the cohomology ring $H^*(X)$ coming from hard Lefschetz operators:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \langle L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega : \omega \text{ is HL} \rangle.$$

Looijenga–Lunts and Verbitsky show that the LLV algebra admits a natural isomorphism

$$(1.1) \qquad\qquad \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}\big((H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), q_X) \oplus \mathfrak{h}\big),$$

where q_X is the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form and $\mathfrak{h}$ a hyperbolic plane. The LLV algebra not only acts on $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$, but the structure theorem shows that the algebra is dependent only on the pair $(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), q_X)$ and is therefore a deformation invariant. In fact, the various Hodge structures of the higher cohomology groups corresponding to deformations of X are detected by the representation theory of $\mathfrak{g}$, as $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R} := \mathfrak{so}((H^2(X, \mathbb{R}), q_X) \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ contains the Weil operators $C = i(p - q)\mathrm{id}$ for all complex structures on X.

In recent years, there has been progress in generalizing the Hodge theory of hyperkähler manifolds to the singular setting. A *primitive symplectic variety* is a normal compact Kähler variety X such that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and the regular locus U admits a global holomorphic symplectic form σ which extends holomorphically across any resolution of singularities and satisfies $H^0(U, \Omega_U^2) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \sigma$. Such varieties also enjoy a rich Hodge theory: By work of Bakker–Lehn [BL22], the second cohomology group of a primitive symplectic variety X carries a pure Hodge structure and admits a version of global Torelli, which for $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial terminal singularities* says that X is essentially recovered by its H^2. It is then natural to ask if there is a generalization of the LLV algebra for primitive symplectic varieties which encodes the Hodge theory.

1.2. Main Results

1.2.1. The LLV algebra for intersection cohomology.— Constructing the LLV algebra for the *ordinary* cohomology of a primitive symplectic variety is difficult since $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$, *a priori*, neither carries a pure Hodge structure nor satisfies the hard Lefschetz theorem. Instead, we work with the *intersection cohomology groups*.

Intersection cohomology was invented by Goresky–MacPherson [GM80] as a way of generalizing Poincaré duality to singular topological spaces. Beilinson–Bernstein–Deligne [BBD82] observed, using characteristic p methods, that the intersection cohomology groups of a projective variety admit a decomposition theorem with respect to projective morphisms. As a consequence, the intersection cohomology groups carry pure Hodge structures and satisfy the hard Lefschetz theorem. This was also observed by Saito [Sai88] in greater generality using the theory of mixed Hodge modules, as well as work of de Cataldo–Migliorini [dCM05] using purely Hodge theoretic techniques. The goal of this paper is to understand the total Lie algebra with respect to intersection cohomology, which we define analogously as the Lie algebra generated by the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-operators corresponding to any HL class, *i.e.*, those classes $\omega \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$L_\omega^k \colon \mathrm{IH}^{\dim X - k}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{IH}^{\dim X + k}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

To this end, we define a Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki (BBF) form Q_X on the intersection cohomology $\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ of a primitive symplectic variety X; see Section 5.2.1. It is compatible with the standard BBF form q_X on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ (see Definition 2.5) and satisfies

$$Q_X|_{H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})} = q_X$$

corresponding to the natural inclusion $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ (see Remark 2.9).

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ the algebra generated by all $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples corresponding to HL classes in $\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. There are isomorphisms*

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}\left(\left(\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q_X\right) \oplus \mathfrak{h}\right), \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(B_2 - 2, 4),$$

where $B_2 = \dim \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

Moreover, a Hodge structure on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is determined by a Hodge structure on $\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

The assumption on b_2 is due to our use of the global moduli theory of Bakker–Lehn [BL22]. We note that the case $b_2 \leq 4$ holds assuming the surjectivity of the period map and other special cases (see Section 5.4). We emphasize the fact that our proof of Theorem 1.1 gives an *algebraic proof* of (1.1). We expect our methods to generalize to any primitive symplectic variety.

1.2.2. Symplectic symmetry on the intersection cohomology groups.— One of the key features of the cohomology of a hyperkähler manifold X is its structure as an *irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold*.

The holomorphic symplectic form σ on X induces isomorphisms $\Omega_X^{n-p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^{n+p}$ by wedging, where $2n$ is the (complex) dimension of X. Passing to cohomology, we get the *symplectic hard Lefschetz theorem*

$$L_\sigma^p \colon H^{n-p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n+p,q}(X),$$

which induces the extra symmetry on the Hodge diamond of X. An interesting observation is that, by deforming a compact hyperkähler manifold X, we can see that the hard Lefschetz theory of $H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$ is related to its symplectic hard Lefschetz theory due to Verbitsky's global Torelli theorem. With this in mind, we first show that the intersection cohomology groups of primitive symplectic varieties with isolated singularities also admit this symplectic symmetry.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ with isolated singularities, and let $\mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X) \subset \mathrm{IH}^k(X,\mathbb{C})$ be the (p,q)-part of the canonical Hodge structure on $\mathrm{IH}^k(X,\mathbb{Q})$. There is a cupping morphism $L_\sigma \colon \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X) \to \mathrm{IH}^{p+2,q}(X)$ on the Hodge pieces of the intersection cohomology which induced isomorphisms*

$$L_\sigma^p \colon \mathrm{IH}^{n-p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{IH}^{n+p,q}(X).$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we study the Hodge theory of the (compactly supported) cohomology of the regular locus $U := X_{\mathrm{reg}}$. We prove the following useful theorem, giving an analog of [Ara90, Theorem 2]

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ with regular locus U. Suppose that the singular locus of X is smooth. The Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q\left(U,\Omega_U^p\right) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(U,\mathbb{C})$$

degenerates at E_1 for $p + q < 2n - 1$.

The LLV structure theorem follows from the symplectic hard Lefschetz theory. Theorem 1.2 shows that there are operators L_σ, Λ_σ which complete to an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple

$$\mathfrak{s}_\sigma = \langle L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma, H_\sigma \rangle,$$

where H_σ acts as the holomorphic weight operator $H_\sigma(\alpha) = (p-n)\alpha$ for an intersection (p,q)-class. Similarly, by conjugation we get a second $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple

$$s_{\overline{\sigma}} = \langle L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}, H_{\overline{\sigma}} \rangle$$

corresponding to the antiholomorphic symplectic form $\overline{\sigma}$, where $H_{\overline{\sigma}}(\alpha) = (q - n)\alpha$. This generates an $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2$-structure on the total intersection cohomology $\mathrm{IH}^*(X)$. The key observation is that the Lefschetz operators for σ and $\overline{\sigma}$ commute:

$$[L_\sigma, L_{\overline{\sigma}}] = [\Lambda_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] = 0.$$

The representation theory of this $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2$-action, along with the monodromy representation of $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$, describes the LLV algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of intersection cohomology completely. This will lead to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.4. We expect our methods to generalize to any primitive symplectic variety, although this will require a better understanding of the Hodge theory of the intersection cohomology groups. One case where our methods generalize is the case of symplectic orbifolds (see Proposition 5.13), although the LLV structure theorem should be known to experts due to the existence of hyperkähler metrics.

1.3. Representation Theory and Hodge Theory of the LLV Algebra

The structure of the cohomology ring $H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$ of a compact hyperkähler manifold X as a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation has been studied in recent years, leading to many interesting results and conjectures concerning these varieties. We extend some of these results to the intersection cohomology module of a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities.

1.3.1. LLV decomposition.— Extending a result of Verbitsky [Ver96], we show that the module generated by $IH^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ in $IH^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module, called the *Verbitsky component* $V_{(n)}$. In [GKLR22], the Verbitsky component and the LLV decomposition were studied for the known examples of compact hyperkähler manifolds. In general, it is expected that $V_{(n)}$ (along with the LLV decomposition) puts restrictive conditions on the cohomology of a compact hyperkähler manifold, and we expect the same to be true for primitive symplectic varieties.

1.3.2. Kuga–Satake construction.— Recall that the classical Kuga–Satake construction, see [KS67], associates to a K3 surface S an abelian variety A and an embedding $H^2(S) \hookrightarrow H^1(A) \otimes H^1(A)^*$ of polarized weight 2 Hodge structures (see for example [Huy16, Section 2.6]). In [KSV19], this construction was extended to compact hyperkähler manifolds and the LLV algebra: If X is compact hyperkähler, there exist an abelian variety A and an embedding $\mathfrak{g}_X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_A$, where we note that $\mathfrak{g}_A \cong \mathfrak{so}(H^1(A) \otimes H^1(A)^*)$ by [LL97, Proposition (3.3)]. We outline how the same construction associates to a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities a complex torus and an embedding of the total Lie algebras.

1.3.3. Mumford–Tate algebras.— The Mumford–Tate algebra of a pure Hodge structure H is the smallest $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(H)$ for which $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains the Weil operator. There is a relationship between the Mumford–Tate algebra and the LLV algebra, which was studied thoroughly in [GKLR22]. We make similar observations in the isolated singularities case and show that the Mumford–Tate algebra sits naturally inside the LLV algebra for intersection cohomology. Moreover, we show that the degree of transcendence of the total intersection cohomology $IH^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ over the special Mumford–Tate group is equal to that of $IH^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, which is the second statement of Theorem 1.1.

1.4. $P = W$ for Primitive Symplectic Varieties

The $P = W$ conjecture for compact hyperkähler manifolds asserts that the perverse filtration on the cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ induced by a Lagrangian fibration agrees with the weight filtration of the limit mixed Hodge structure of a type III degeneration[1] of X, which was shown to exist in [Sol20]. In [HLSY21], the two filtrations were shown to agree by showing their corresponding weight operators define the same element in the LLV algebra.

More generally, let X be a primitive symplectic variety. We show that there is a good notion of degeneration for primitive symplectic varieties via locally trivial deformations, which respects Schmid's nilpotent orbit theory; see [Sch73]. We also show that, corresponding to a degeneration of primitive symplectic varieties, there is a *limit mixed Hodge structure* on the intersection cohomology of the central fiber (see Section 7.2.1). Following [Sol20, HLSY21], we show the following.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$.*

    (1) *There exists a type III degeneration $\mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ of X whose logarithmic monodromy operator N has index 3.*

    (2) *If X admits the structure of a Lagrangian fibration $f : X \to B$, then the perverse filtration P_β on $IH^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ associated to the pullback of an ample class on B agrees with the weight filtration of the limit mixed Hodge structure of the degeneration $\mathscr{X} \to \Delta$.*

1.5. Outline

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some results of primitive symplectic varieties which will be used throughout this paper, as well as the relevant properties of intersection cohomology and mixed Hodge structures. We prove two auxiliary results that will allow us to simplify our assumptions: The first says that bimeromorphic morphisms of primitive symplectic varieties are semismall, a generalization

---

[1]Recall that a degeneration $\mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ is of type III if the degeneration has maximally unipotent monodromy operator T and the nilpotent log-monodromy operator N is of index 3.

of Kaledin's result for symplectic resolutions [Kal06]. The second, which is most likely known to experts, is a criterion for $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality for a primitive symplectic variety X in terms of the inclusion $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$; see Proposition 2.17.

In Section 3, we prove that the intersection cohomology groups satisfy a symplectic hard Lefschetz theorem. We do this by studying the extension of differential forms across singularities and the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence on the regular locus.

In Section 4, we use the symplectic symmetry from Section 3 to construct dual Lefschetz operators with respect to the symplectic forms $\sigma, \overline{\sigma}$ and study their commutator relations. We then define non-isotropic classes (γ, γ') satisfying $q_X(\gamma, \gamma') = 0$ and $[\Lambda_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = 0$, a key component in the structure of the LLV algebra.

In Section 5, we show that the intersection cohomology groups satisfy the LLV algebra structure theorem, which is a consequence of the previous sections as well as the monodromy density theorem of Bakker–Lehn [BL22, Theorem 1.1].

In Section 6, we discuss some representation-theoretic aspects of the LLV algebra. We construct the Verbitsky component generated by IH^2, extend the Kuga–Satake construction to intersection cohomology, and study the Mumford–Tate algebra in this setting.

In Section 7, we describe a singular version of the $P = W$ theorem.

1.6. Notation

We work in the complex analytic category, and all varieties should be considered as complex analytic varieties unless otherwise stated.

If $E \subset \widetilde{X}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor of a smooth complex manifold $\widetilde{X}$, we set

$$\Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E) := \Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{X}}} \mathscr{I}_E,$$

where $\Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)$ is the sheaf of logarithmic p-forms and $\mathscr{I}_E$ is the ideal sheaf of E.

When we speak of intersection cohomology, we always mean with respect to the middle perversity.

Finally, if (X, σ) is a primitive symplectic variety, we will think of σ as a holomorphic form on the regular locus or a class in (intersection) cohomology without distinction.

Acknowledgements

2. Preliminaries and Auxiliary Results

The main objects of study in this work are primitive symplectic varieties, and so we recall both the local and the global properties which will be used further on. We also review the basic properties of intersection cohomology and study their Hodge theory for primitive symplectic varieties. Finally, we give some auxiliary results regarding bimeromorphic morphisms of primitive symplectic varieties, which will be useful for certain reductions later in the paper.

2.1. Symplectic Varieties

2.1.1. MMP singularities.— Let X be a normal complex variety. A *log-resolution of singularities* is a projective birational morphism $\pi \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ from a smooth complex variety $\widetilde{X}$ which is an isomorphism over

the regular locus U and for which $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma) = E = \sum E_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, where Σ is the singular locus of X and the E_i are the smooth components of E.

We say X is $\mathbb{Q}$-*Gorenstein* if there is an integer m such that mK_X is Cartier, where K_X is the canonical divisor; the smallest such integer is called the *index* of X. If X has index 1, we say that X is *Gorenstein*.

If X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein of index m and $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ a log-resolution of singularities, there are integers a_i such that

$$mK_{\widetilde{X}} = \pi^*(mK_X) + \sum a_i E_i.$$

We say that X has *canonical* (resp. *terminal*) singularities if we have $a_i \geq 0$ (resp. $a_i > 0$) for every i. We call the a_i the discrepancies of the exceptional divisors E_i.

If X is just a normal variety, we say that X has *rational singularities* if for some resolution of singularities $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$, the higher direct image sheaves satisfy $R^i \pi_* \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{X}} = 0$ for every $i > 0$.

Canonical singularities are rational; see [KM98, Theorem 5.22]. Conversely, a normal variety with Gorenstein rational singularities has at worst canonical singularities. One way to see this is to consider the *holomorphic extension problem for differentials*: For which p is the inclusion

(2.1) $$\pi_* \Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}} \hookrightarrow j_* \Omega^p_U$$

is an isomorphism, where $j\colon U \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion of the regular locus $U := X_{\mathrm{reg}}$? Kebekus–Schnell showed if X has rational singularities, then $\pi_* \Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}} \hookrightarrow j_* \Omega^p_U$ is an isomorphism for each p, see [KS21, Corollary 1.8], using the fact that the canonical sheaf ω_X is reflexive by Kempf's criterion. In particular, the discrepancies a_i are non-negative, as holomorphic n-forms on U extend with at worst zeros.

Since all our varieties are assumed normal, the sheaf $j_* \Omega^p_U$ is reflexive and isomorphic to the sheaf of *reflexive p-forms*

$$\Omega^{[p]}_X := (\Omega^p_X)^{**} \cong j_* \Omega^p_U.$$

Here Ω^1_X is the sheaf of Kähler differentials and Ω^p_X is the pth exterior power.

One application of the work of Kebekus–Schnell is the existence of a functorial pullback morphism for reflexive differentials; see [KS21, Theorem 1.11]. Given a morphism $f\colon Y \to X$ of reduced complex spaces with rational singularities, there is a pullback

(2.2) $$df\colon f^* \Omega^{[p]}_X \longrightarrow \Omega^{[p]}_Y$$

which satisfies natural universal properties; see [KS21, Section 14]. Specifically, it agrees with the pullback of Kähler differentials on smooth varieties, whenever this makes sense.

2.1.2. Symplectic singularities.—

Definition 2.1. Let X be a normal variety. We say that X is a *symplectic variety* if there is a holomorphic symplectic form $\sigma \in H^0(U, \Omega^2_U)$ on the regular locus U which extends to a holomorphic 2-form $\tilde{\sigma} \in H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$ for any resolution of singularities $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$.

Originally studied by Beauville [Bea00], symplectic varieties were defined as an attempt to extend results on K-trivial manifolds to the singular setting. Symplectic varieties have well-behaved singularities: They are rational Gorenstein, see [Bea00, Proposition 1.3], and therefore have at worst canonical singularities. In fact, the strictly canonical locus is contained entirely in codimension 2 by [Nam01c]. Said differently, we have the following.

Proposition 2.2 (*cf.* [Nam01c, Corollary 1], [BL22, Theorem 3.4]). *A symplectic variety X has terminal singularities if and only if* $\mathrm{codim}_X(\Sigma) \geq 4$, *where Σ is the singular locus of X.*

One of the key features of symplectic varieties is that they are stratified by varieties which, up to normalization, are again symplectic varieties. We will use the following structure theorem throughout the paper.

Proposition 2.3 (*cf.* [Kal06, Theorem 2.3,2.4], [BL22, Theorem 3.4]). *Let X be a symplectic variety.*

(1) *There is a stratification*

$$X = X_0 \supset X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \cdots$$

of X given by the singular locus of X, so that $X_i = (X_{i-1})_{\mathrm{sing}}$ for each i. The normalization of each X_i is a symplectic variety, and $X_i^\circ := (X_i)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ admits a global holomorphic symplectic form.

(2) *Suppose that $x \in X$ is such that $x \in X_i^\circ$. Let $\widehat{X}_x$ and $\widehat{X_i^\circ}_x$ be the completions of X and X_i° at x, respectively. Then there is a decomposition*

$$\widehat{X}_x \cong Y_x \times \widehat{X_i^\circ}_x,$$

where Y_x is a symplectic variety.

In [Kal09], a symplectic variety Y_x is a formal scheme rather than the completion of some symplectic variety, as its existence is predicted by solutions to differential equations derived from the Poisson structure (see Section 3 of *op. cit.*). By considering [KS24, Proposition 2.3, Appendix A], we can assume Y_x is defined (and symplectic) in an analytic neighborhood of x.

It would be interesting to understand how the holomorphic symplectic geometry of the regular strata X_i° determines the geometry of a symplectic variety X. For instance, if $\sigma \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]})$ is the class of the symplectic form on the regular locus of X, there is class $j_i^* \sigma \in H^0(X_i^{\mathrm{nm}}, \Omega_{X_i}^{[2]})$, where $j_i \colon X_i^{\mathrm{nm}} \to X$ is the natural map from the normalization of X_i, defined by reflexive pullback for rational singularities; see [KS21, Theorem 14.1]. We claim this class is non-zero whenever $\dim X_i > 0$. Since the problem is local, we can consider the product decomposition $\widehat{X}_x \cong Y_x \times \widehat{X_i^\circ}_x$ by Proposition 2.3. If $j_i^* \sigma = 0$, then $\sigma = p_1^* \sigma_{Y_x}$, where $p_1 \colon X \to Y_x$ is the projection morphism and σ_{Y_x} is the symplectic form on Y_x. If $\dim Y_x \neq \dim X$, then $\sigma^{\dim X} := \wedge^{\dim X} \sigma_{Y_x} = 0$. This is absurd if X is a symplectic variety, and so we see that $j_i^* \sigma$ defines a non-zero global section of $\Omega_{X_i}^{[2]}$. Since X_i° is symplectic, $j_i^* \sigma$ is a symplectic form.

2.1.3. Primitive symplectic varieties.— We now transition to global properties of symplectic varieties. The Hodge theory of singular symplectic varieties has been studied in [Nam01a, Nam06, Mat01, Mat15, Sch20, BL21, BL22] at varying levels of generality; primitive symplectic varieties, which were studied in [BL22], is the most general framework for studying the global properties of symplectic singularities.

The general framework of the global moduli theory of primitive symplectic varieties works in the category of complex Kähler varieties. A *Kähler form* on a reduced complex analytic space X is given by an open covering $X = \bigcup_i U_i$ and smooth strictly plurisubharmonic functions $f_i \colon U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ such that on each intersection U_{ij}, the function $f_{ij} = f_i|_{U_{ij}} - f_j|_{U_{ij}}$ is locally the real part of a harmonic function. If X admits a Kähler form, we say that X is a *Kähler variety*. The most important property for this paper is that if X is a Kähler variety, then X admits a resolution by a Kähler manifold. If X is a compact Kähler variety with at worst rational singularities and $\pi \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a resolution of singularities, then there is an injection $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^k(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ for $k \leq 2$, see [BL21, Lemma 2.1], and $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})$ inherits a pure Hodge structure from $H^k(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$, which is described as follows. There is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} := H^q(X, j_* \Omega_U^p) \Longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, j_* \Omega_U^\bullet)$$

which degenerates at E_1 for $p + q \leq 2$; see [BL21, Lemma 2.2]. For $k \leq 2$, we have isomorphisms

$$(2.3) \qquad\qquad H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^k(X, \pi_* \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^\bullet) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(X, j_* \Omega_U^\bullet),$$

and the Hodge filtration is obtained by these isomorphisms and the degeneration of the reflexive Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence.

Definition 2.4. A *primitive symplectic variety* is a compact Kähler symplectic variety (X, σ) such that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \sigma$.

The second cohomology of a primitive symplectic variety is therefore a pure Hodge structure. As in the case of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, the geometry is controlled by the Hodge theory on H^2. To see this, we need the following quadratic form.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ with symplectic form σ. We define a quadratic form $q_{X,\sigma} \colon H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by the formula

$$q_{X,\sigma}(\alpha) := \frac{n}{2} \int_X (\sigma\overline{\sigma})^{n-1}\alpha^2 + (1-n) \int_X \sigma^{n-1}\overline{\sigma}^n \alpha \int_X \sigma^n \overline{\sigma}^{n-1}\alpha,$$

where $\int_X$ is the cap product with the fundamental class.

This form $q_{X,\sigma}$ defines a quadratic form over $H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ by definition. It is non-degenerate, and the signature of $q_{X,\sigma}$ is $(3, b_2 - 3)$, where $b_2 = \dim H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ is the second Betti number; see [Sch20, Theorem 2]. If we rescale σ so that $\int_X (\sigma\overline{\sigma})^n = 1$, then $q_{X,\sigma}$ is independent of σ. In this case, we call $q_X := q_{X,\sigma}$ the *Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form*.

We define the period domain of X to be

$$\Omega := \left\{ [\sigma] \in \mathbb{P}(H^2(X,\mathbb{C})) \mid q_X(\sigma) = 0, \ q_X(\sigma,\overline{\sigma}) > 0 \right\}.$$

There is an associated period map

$$\rho \colon \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X) \longrightarrow \Omega$$

from the universal family of locally trivial deformations of X which sends t to $H^{2,0}(X_t)$, where X_t is a locally trivial deformation of X corresponding to a point $t \in \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$. The period map ρ is a local isomorphism by the *local Torelli theorem*, see [BL22, Proposition 5.5], which leads to two immediate consequences. First, q_X satisfies the Fujiki relation: There is a positive constant c such that

$$(2.4) \qquad\qquad\qquad q_X(\alpha)^n = c \int_X \alpha^{2n};$$

see [BL22, Proposition 5.15]. Second, we may rescale the BBF form to get an *integral* quadratic form on $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$; see [BL22, Lemma 5.7]. We denote the corresponding integral lattice by $\Gamma := (H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}), q_X)$.

The period map also satisfies many global properties. We can view the period domain Ω as the moduli space of all weight 2 Hodge structures on Γ which admit a quadratic form q of signature $(3, b_2 - 3)$ which is positive-definite on the real space underlying $H^{2,0} \otimes H^{0,2}$. We then write Ω_Γ for the period domain. If X' is a locally trivial deformation of X with $(H^2(X',\mathbb{Z}), q_{X'}) \cong \Gamma$, then we get a period map $p \colon \mathscr{M}' \to \Omega_\Gamma$ from the moduli space of Γ-marked locally trivial deformations of X'. The orthogonal group $O(\Gamma)$ acts on $\mathscr{M}'$ and Ω_Γ by changing the marking. For any connected component $\mathscr{M}$ of $\mathscr{M}'$, we denote by $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathscr{M}) \subset O(\Gamma)$ the image of the monodromy representation on second cohomology.

Theorem 2.6 (*cf.* [BL22, Theorem 1.1(1)]). *The monodromy group* $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathscr{M}) \subset O(\Gamma)$ *is of finite index.*

Remark 2.7. We will use the following interpretation of Theorem 2.6. Let $\mathrm{Mon}(X)$ be the monodromy group associated to the connected component associated to a primitive symplectic variety X. Since $\mathrm{Mon}(X) \subset O(\Gamma)$ is of finite index, the restriction $G_X := \mathrm{Mon}(X) \cap \mathrm{SO}(\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{SO}(\Gamma)$ is also of finite index. By the Borel density theorem, G_X is therefore Zariski dense in $\mathrm{SO}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$.

2.2. Intersection Cohomology

Our proof of the LLV structure theorem for primitive symplectic varieties uses the Hodge theory of intersection cohomology. We review the "Hodge–Kähler" package for the intersection cohomology of a compact complex space, which follows generally from Saito's theory of mixed Hodge modules; see [Sai90]. We refer the reader to [dCM05] for an excellent treatment in the algebraic case.

2.2.1. Hodge theory of intersection cohomology.— The intersection cohomology is defined as the hypercohomology groups with respect to the intersection complex. The intersection cohomology complex is the perverse sheaf underlying the unique *Hodge module* determined by the constant variation of pure Hodge structures on $\mathbb{Q}_{X_{\mathrm{reg}}}[\dim X]$ over the regular locus of X; see [Sai88, Section 5.3]. Writing $\mathcal{IC}_X$ for this complex, we have

$$\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{H}^{k-\dim X}(X, \mathcal{IC}_X).$$

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that X is a compact Kähler variety.*

(1) (*Decomposition theorem*). *If $f : Y \to X$ is a projective morphism, there is a non-canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{IH}^k(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \bigoplus_\lambda \mathrm{IH}(X_\lambda, L_\lambda),$$

where the pairs (X_λ, L_λ) consist of closed subvarieties with semisimple local systems L_λ. In particular, $\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathrm{IH}^k(Y, \mathbb{Q})$.

(2) (*Hodge decomposition*). *The cohomology groups $\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ all carry pure Hodge structures of weight k.*

(3) (*hard Lefschetz*). *Given an ample class $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ (or, more generally, a Kähler class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$), there is a cup product map $L_\alpha : \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{IH}^{k+2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ which produces isomorphisms*

$$L_\alpha^j : \mathrm{IH}^{\dim X - j}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{IH}^{\dim X + j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

for every j.

(4) *For each k, there is a natural morphism $H^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ whose kernel is contained in $W_{k-1}H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$, the $(k-1)^{\mathrm{st}}$ piece of the weight filtration.*

Remark 2.9. If X has rational singularities—such as a primitive symplectic variety—then $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ since $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ carries a pure Hodge structure by Proposition 2.8(4).

We will use the following description of intersection cohomology throughout the paper.

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose that X is a projective variety with singular locus Σ. If $\mathrm{codim}_X(\Sigma) \geq 3$, then $\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(X \setminus \Sigma, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. This is [Dur95, Lemma 1]. More generally, if $\mathrm{codim}_X(\Sigma_X) = d$, then $\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^k(X \setminus \Sigma, \mathbb{C})$ for $k < d$ and $k < \dim X$. □

Since the analytic topology of a singularity of a complex variety is preserved under locally trivial deformations, Lemma 2.10 holds for any primitive symplectic variety, as a general locally trivial deformation is projective; see [BL22, Corollary 6.10].

2.3. Intersection Cohomology for Primitive Symplectic Varieties

In order to prove the structure theorem for the total Lie algebra, we need to adapt the hard Lefschetz theorem for non-ample classes. This can be done using the monodromy density theorem.

Proposition 2.11. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$. Let $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be any non-isotropic class with respect to the BBF form q_X. Then α satisfies hard Lefschetz: There is a cupping morphism $L_\alpha : \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{IH}^{k+2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ which induces isomorphisms*

$$L_\alpha^k : \mathrm{IH}^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{IH}^{2n+k}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Conversely, any class α which satisfies hard Lefschetz is non-isotropic.

Proof. First, we note that there is a cupping morphism $L_\alpha : \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{IH}^{k+2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for *any* $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$, which agrees with the usual cup product of Proposition 2.8(3) when α is a Kähler form. We follow [dCM05, Section 4.4]. By the decomposition theorem Proposition 2.8(1), any class

$\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ can be lifted to a class $\tilde{\alpha} \in H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q})$ for a resolution of singularities $\pi \colon \tilde{X} \to X$. Consider the isomorphism

$$H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(X)}\big(\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{X}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{X}}[2]\big),$$

where $D(X)$ is the full subcategory of the bounded derived category $D^b(X)$ of constructible sheaves which are *cohomologically constructible*; see [dCM05, Definition 3.3.1]. In particular, we obtain a map

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_*\tilde{\alpha} \colon \mathbf{R}\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{X}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{X}}[2].$$

Now this construction is consistent with any p-splitting of $\mathbf{R}\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{X}}$, in the sense of [dCM05, Definition 4.3.1]. In particular, this induces a map $\alpha \colon \mathcal{IC}_X \to \mathcal{IC}_X[2]$ via the decomposition theorem. This gives the desired morphism L_α upon taking hypercohomology.

Let $\Gamma = (H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), q_X)$, and consider the monodromy group $\mathrm{Mon}(X)$ of Γ-marked primitive symplectic varieties deformation-equivalent to X. Then $\mathrm{Mon}(X)$ is a finite-index subgroup of $O(\Gamma)$ by Theorem 2.6. Then consider the subgroup

$$G_X = \mathrm{Mon}(X) \cap \mathrm{SO}(\Gamma),$$

which is a Zariski-dense subset of $\mathrm{SO}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$ by Remark 2.7.

Let $\ker \alpha^k = \ker(L_\alpha^k \colon \mathrm{IH}^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{IH}^{2n+k}(X, \mathbb{Q}))$. By Poincaré duality, it is enough to show that $\dim \ker \alpha^k = 0$. Now for any $g \in G_X$, it follows that $\dim \ker \alpha^k = \dim \ker(g \cdot \alpha)^k$, as monodromy preserves cup product. If $G_{X,\alpha}$ is the $G_{X,\alpha}$-orbit of α, we get the constant map $G_{X,\alpha}^\circ \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by $g \mapsto \dim \ker(g \cdot \alpha)^k$. But G_X is Zariski dense, and therefore the constant map must extend to a constant map over the full $\mathrm{SO}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$-orbit of α; but this orbit necessarily contains the class of an ample divisor on some locally trivial deformation, which satisfies hard Lefschetz by Proposition 2.8(3). In particular, $\dim \ker \alpha^k = 0$ for every α.

Conversely, if α satisfies hard Lefschetz, then the Fujiki relation (2.4) implies $q_X(\alpha) \neq 0$. $\qquad\square$

We also need to move the intersection cohomology around in locally trivial deformations.

Proposition 2.12. *The intersection cohomology groups* $\mathrm{IH}^k(X_t, \mathbb{C})$ *for* $t \in \mathrm{Def}^{\mathrm{lt}}(X)$ *form a local system.*

Proof. As vector spaces, intersection cohomology is completely determined by the structure X_t admits as a stratified pseudomanifold by Deligne's construction; see [GM83, Theorem 3.5]. The claim follows since locally trivial deformation are real analytically trivial; see [AV21, Proposition 5.1]. $\qquad\square$

2.4. Some Mixed Hodge Structures

The Hodge theory of the intersection cohomology of primitive symplectic varieties with isolated singularities can be completely described by the Hodge theory of its regular locus, see Section 3.3, and so we review the relevant parts of Deligne's mixed Hodge structure on the (compactly supported) cohomology of smooth varieties. Deligne's original treatment holds for algebraic varieties, but the same results hold in the Kähler setting by [Fuj80].

2.4.1. Cohomology of the regular locus.— Let U be a smooth Kähler variety, and let $\widetilde{X}$ be a smooth compactification of U such that the complement $\widetilde{X} \setminus U \cong E$ is a simple normal crossing (snc) divisor. A fundamental result of Deligne [Del71, Proposition 3.1.8] states that the cohomology of U can be identified with the hypercohomology of the complex of logarithmic forms on the pair $(\widetilde{X}, E)$. Specifically, let $\Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)$ be the sheaf of logarithmic p-forms, and let $\Omega^\bullet_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)$ the complex of logarithmic forms. Then there is an isomorphism

$$H^k(U, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{H}^k\big(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^\bullet_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)\big),$$

which induces two filtrations on $H^k(U, \mathbb{C})$. The first is the naive filtration associated to the complex $\Omega^\bullet_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)$:

$$F^p H^k(U, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{im}\big(\mathbb{H}^k\big(\widetilde{X}, \tau_{\geq p}\Omega^\bullet_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)\big) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k\big(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^\bullet_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)\big)\big),$$

where $\tau_{\geq p}\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{\bullet}(\log E)$ is the complex $\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{\bullet}(\log E)$ truncated in degree greater than or equal to p. The second filtration is induced at the level of sheaves: There is an increasing filtration on logarithmic p-forms given by

$$W_l\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p(\log E) = \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^l(\log E) \wedge \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{p-l}$$

descending to $\mathbb{H}^k(\widetilde{X},\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{\bullet}(\log E))$. These filtrations correspond to the canonical mixed Hodge structure on $H^k(U,\mathbb{Q})$—$W_{\bullet}$ is the complexification of the rational weight filtration, and $F^{\bullet}$ is the Hodge filtration.

In particular, there is a non-canonical decomposition

$$(2.5) \qquad H^k(U,\mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^q\left(\widetilde{X},\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p(\log E)\right).$$

We note that the above construction applies to the regular locus U of any proper Kähler variety X, where we may choose the smooth compactification to be a log-resolution $\widetilde{X}$ of X.

2.4.2. Compactly supported cohomology of the regular locus.— Deligne [Del71, Del74] also shows that the compactly supported cohomology of an algebraic variety carries a pure Hodge structure.

The compactly supported cohomology also carries a mixed Hodge structure. The easiest way to see this is to use Poincaré duality. Indeed, the Poincaré isomorphisms

$$H_c^k(U,\mathbb{C}) \cong \left(H^{2\dim U-k}(U,\mathbb{C})\right)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}(-\dim U)$$

are isomorphisms of mixed Hodge structures. Noting that

$$H^q\left(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p(\log E)\right) \cong H^{\dim \widetilde{X}-q}\left(\widetilde{X},\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{\dim \widetilde{X}-p}(\log E)(-E)\right)^*$$

by Serre duality, this completely describes the mixed Hodge structure on $H_c^k(U,\mathbb{Q})$. In particular, we have another non-canonical splitting

$$(2.6) \qquad H_c^k(U,\mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^q\left(\widetilde{X},\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p(\log E)(-E)\right).$$

2.4.3. Cohomology of a simple normal crossing divisor.— Finally, let us consider an snc divisor $E = \sum_{i=1}^r E_i$ with irreducible components E_i. For any subset $J \subset \{1,\dots,r\}$, write $E_J = \bigcap_{j\in J} E_j$ and let

$$(2.7) \qquad E_{(p)} := \bigsqcup_{|J|=p} E_J$$

be the p-fold intersections of the components. For each p, the various inclusion maps $E_{(p)} \hookrightarrow E_{(p-1)}$ induce a simplicial map $H^k(E_{(p-1)},\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(E_{(p)},\mathbb{Q})$. From this we obtain a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow H^k(E_{(1)},\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} H^k(E_{(2)},\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_{p-1}} H^k(E_{(p)},\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\delta_p} \cdots$$

which, for each k, computes graded pieces of the weight filtration on $H^k(E,\mathbb{C})$:

$$\mathrm{gr}_k^W H^{k+r}(E,\mathbb{C}) = \ker \delta_{r+1}/\mathrm{im}\,\delta_r.$$

The (p,q)-Hodge pieces are obtained by applying the functor $H^q(\Omega^p)$ to the complex and taking cohomology. Precisely, there is an induced complex with morphisms

$$\delta_r^{p,q}\colon H^q\left(E_{(r)},\Omega_{E_{(r)}}^p\right) \longrightarrow H^q\left(E_{(r+1)},\Omega_{E_{(r+1)}}^p\right),$$

and

$$(2.8) \qquad \mathrm{gr}_k^W H^{k+r}(E,\mathbb{C})^{p,q} = \ker \delta_{r+1}^{p,q}/\mathrm{im}\,\delta_r^{p,q}.$$

2.5. A Result on Bimeromorphic Morphisms of Symplectic Varieties

Recall that a morphism $\phi\colon Z \to X$ is *semismall* if $\dim Z \times_X Z \leq \dim X$. A result of Kaledin states that symplectic resolutions are semismall; see [Kal06, Lemma 2.11]. We want to extend this result to bimeromorphic morphisms of singular symplectic varieties, which will control the geometry of such morphisms.

Definition 2.13. Let X and Z be normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein varieties. A birational morphism $\phi\colon Z \to X$ is *crepant* if $\phi^* K_X = K_Z$.

Lemma 2.14. *If X is a (primitive) symplectic variety and $\phi\colon Z \to X$ is a crepant birational morphism from a normal complex variety Z, then Z is also a (primitive) symplectic variety.*

Proof. By taking a common resolution of singularities of Z and X, we can see that the symplectic form on X_{reg} extends to a global reflexive 2-form σ_Z on Z. Since $K_Z = \phi^* K_X = \mathscr{O}_Z$, then σ_Z must define a holomorphic symplectic form on Z_{reg}, which therefore extends to a holomorphic 2-form on the common resolution (and therefore any resolution) of singularities. If X is primitive symplectic, it is clear that Z is also a compact Kähler variety, $H^1(\mathscr{O}_Z) = H^1(\mathscr{O}_X) = 0$, and $H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^{[2]}) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \sigma_Z$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 2.15. *Let $\phi\colon Z \to X$ be a crepant morphism of primitive symplectic varieties. Then the restriction of the class σ_Z to $\phi^{-1}(x)$ is 0 for every x.*

Proof. Note that if X is primitive symplectic, then so is Z. The result then follows from Hodge theory: By assumption, the class of the symplectic form $\phi^* \sigma_X$ extends to a symplectic form σ_Z on Z, as both must extend to any common resolution of singularities. Therefore, its image under the morphism $H^2(Z, \mathbb{C}) \to H^0(X, R^2 \phi_* \mathbb{C})$ is zero. The result then follows by proper base change. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 2.16. *If X is a primitive symplectic variety and $\phi\colon Z \to X$ is a crepant morphism, then ϕ is semismall.*

Proof. Let $\{X_i\}$ and $\{Z_j\}$ be the Kaledin stratifications of X and Z (see Theorem 2.3). We will show that

$$(2.9) \qquad\qquad 2 \dim \phi^{-1}(x) + \dim X_i \leq \dim X.$$

To prove this, we follow [Kal06, Lemma 2.11] in the case of symplectic resolutions. Kaledin's proof, built upon work of Wierzba [Wie03] and Namikawa [Nam01b], uses the symplectic structure of a smooth symplectic variety Z to show that the tangent spaces $T_z \phi^{-1}(x)$ and $T_z \phi^{-1}(X_i)$ are mutually orthogonal, which immediately gives (2.9). We will therefore consider how the fibers intersect with the various smooth and symplectic strata Z_j°.

Let $x \in X$, and let X_i be the stratum for which $x \in X_i^\circ$. Write $E_x = \phi^{-1}(x)$ and $E = \phi^{-1}(X_i)$. For every $z \in E_{\mathrm{reg}}$, there is a j such that $z \in Z_j^\circ$. There is then a map $\widehat{\phi}_x\colon \widehat{Z_{j}^\circ}_z \to \widehat{X_{i}^\circ}_x$ induced from the map $\widehat{\phi}\colon \widehat{Z}_z \to \widehat{X}_x$ via the product decomposition. Consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\widehat{E_{\mathrm{reg}}}_z & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z_{j}^\circ}_z & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z}_z \\
\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{\phi}_x} & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{\phi}} \\
\widehat{X_{i}^\circ}_x & \longrightarrow & & & \widehat{X}_x,
\end{array}
$$

where $\widehat{E_{\mathrm{reg}}}_z$ is the completion of E_{reg} at z. The varieties in the diagram all have at worst rational singularities, and the $\widehat{X_{i}^\circ}_x$, $\widehat{Z_{j}^\circ}_z$ are symplectic varieties. By reflexive pullback, we see that the symplectic form $\widehat{\sigma}_i$ on $\widehat{X_{i}^\circ}_x$ pulls back to the restriction $\widehat{\sigma}_j|_{\widehat{E_{\mathrm{reg}}}_z}$, where $\widehat{\sigma}_j$ is the symplectic form on $\widehat{Z_{j}^\circ}_z$, which is just the restriction of the symplectic form $\widehat{\sigma}_Z$ on $\widehat{Z}_z$. Since σ_Z vanishes on the fibers $\phi^{-1}(x)$ (as a cohomology class), then $\widehat{\sigma}_Z$

vanishes on the fibers of $\widehat{\phi}$. Since $\widehat{Z^\circ_j}_z$ is smooth and symplectic, we may assume the tangent spaces $T_z E_x$ and $T_z(E_{\text{reg}})$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to the symplectic form σ_j on Z°_j after passing to a small open neighborhood of z. Therefore,

$$\dim E_x \leq \dim X - \dim E \leq \dim X - \dim E_x - \dim X_i.$$

The second inequality above follows from local product structure of X along the smooth stratum X°_i. This clearly agrees with (2.9), and so ϕ is semismall. $\qquad\square$

2.6. A $\mathbb{Q}$-Factoriality Criterion

Let X be a projective variety. We say that X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if every Weil divisor is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Equivalently, see [KM92, Proposition 12.1.6], the variety X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Factorial if and only if for a resolution of singularities $\pi \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ with exceptional divisor $E = \sum E_i$,

$$(2.10) \qquad \text{im}\left(H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Q}) \right) = \text{im}\left(\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q}[E_i] \longrightarrow H^0(X, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Q}) \right).$$

Proposition 2.17. *If X is a terminal primitive symplectic variety, then X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if and only if the natural inclusion $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since X is terminal, we have an isomorphism $\text{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(U, \mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 2.2. The mixed Hodge structure on $H^2(U, \mathbb{Q})$ is then pure, and the logarithmic Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence computes the Hodge filtration; see [Del74, Section (9.2.3)]. In particular,

$$H^2(U, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^0\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E) \right) \oplus H^1\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E) \right) \oplus H^2\left(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \right).$$

Note that the inclusion $H^2(U, \mathbb{C}) \subset H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{C})$ differs only on the $(1,1)$-pieces, as X has rational singularities and $\pi_*\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}}$ is reflexive. Consider the long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}} \right) \longrightarrow H^0\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E) \right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus H^0\left(\mathcal{O}_{E_i} \right)$$
$$\longrightarrow H^1\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}} \right) \longrightarrow H^1\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E) \right)$$

By [KS21, Corollary 1.8], the first morphism is an isomorphism. The last morphism is surjective, as $H^1(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IH}^{1,1}(X)$, and so we have a surjection by the decomposition theorem. Therefore,

$$\dim H^{1,1}\left(\widetilde{X} \right) - \dim H^{1,1}(U) = \sum \dim H^0(E_i).$$

Now consider the inclusion $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \subset H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{C})$. Again, these vector spaces differ only in the $(1,1)$-classes. The Leray spectral sequence induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1\left(X, \pi_*\Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}} \right) \longrightarrow H^1\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}} \right) \longrightarrow H^0\left(X, R^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}} \right).$$

Thus the cokernel of the inclusion is exactly $\text{im}(H^2(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}}) \to H^0(R^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}}))$. But the symplectic form clearly gets killed in the morphism $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \to H^0(R^2\pi_*\mathbb{C})$, so by Hodge theory

$$\text{im}\left(H^1\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}} \right) \longrightarrow H^0\left(R^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{\widetilde{X}} \right) \right) = \text{im}\left(H^2\left(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{C} \right) \longrightarrow H^0\left(R^2\pi_*\mathbb{C} \right) \right).$$

We now see by (2.10) that X is then $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if and only if $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. $\qquad\square$

The results of the last two sections will be used to allow us to pass from a primitive symplectic variety to a bimeromorphic model with at worst $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities to prove the results of this paper. Recall that a $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial terminalization* of X is a crepant morphism $\phi \colon Z \to X$ from a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal variety Z. Such morphisms exist for projective varieties, see [BCHM10], and very general members of a locally trivial deformation of a primitive symplectic variety with $b_2 \geq 5$; see [BL22, Corollary 9.2].

Corollary 2.18. *Suppose that X is a primitive symplectic variety and $\phi\colon Z \to X$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization. Then ϕ is semismall, and there is a canonical injection $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow H^2(Z,\mathbb{C})$.*

2.7. Background on the LLV Algebra

To end this section, we review the construction of the LLV algebra and the LLV structure theorem for hyperkähler manifolds. This will also allow us to indicate the necessary pieces for an algebraic proof of the LLV structure theorem for intersection cohomology.

The *total Lie algebra* of a compact complex variety Y is the Lie algebra generated by all Lefschetz operators corresponding to hard Lefschetz, or HL, classes α:

$$(2.11) \qquad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{tot}}(Y) := \langle L_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha \colon \alpha \text{ is HL} \rangle.$$

Here, L_α is the cupping operator with respect to the $(1,1)$-class α, and Λ_α is its *dual Lefschetz operator*.

When X is a hyperkähler manifold, we define the *LLV algebra* to be its total Lie algebra, and we write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{tot}}(X)$.

Let g be a hyperkähler metric on X. Associated to (X,g) are three differential forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ which are Kähler forms with respect to g. Let $W_g := \langle \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \rangle$ be the three-space associated to this metric, and in fact a positive three-space with respect to the BBF form q_X, which means that q_X is positive-definite on W_g. Consider the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_g = \langle L_{\omega_i}, \Lambda_{\omega_i} \colon \omega_i \in W_g \rangle$. Verbitsky [Ver90] showed that this algebra already has a structure generalizing the hard Lefschetz theorem for Kähler manifolds.

Theorem 2.19. *We have $\mathfrak{g}_g \cong \mathfrak{so}(4,1)$.*

The proof is as follows. Let $2n$ be the dimension of X. The dual Lefschetz operators Λ_{ω_i} are uniquely determined by the property that $[L_{\omega_i}, \Lambda_{\omega_i}](\alpha) = H(\alpha) = (k-2n)\alpha$, where $\alpha \in H^k(X,\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, one can show that each Λ_{ω_i} is the adjoint of L_{ω_i} with respect to the Hodge star operator, which depends only on the Kähler structure (X,g). This then implies that the dual Lefschetz operators commute:

$$\left[\Lambda_{\omega_i}, \Lambda_{\omega_j} \right] = 0.$$

This is the main geometric input. The fact that $\mathfrak{g}_g \cong \mathfrak{so}(4,1)$ follows from this geometric input, the hard Lefschetz theory for the ω_i, and the following additional commutator relations:

$$(2.12) \qquad \begin{aligned} &K_{ij} = -K_{ji}, \quad \left[K_{ij}, K_{jk}\right] = 2K_{ik}, \quad \left[K_{ij}, H\right] = 0, \\ &\left[K_{ij}, L_{\omega_j}\right] = 2L_{\omega_i}, \quad \left[K_{ij}, \Lambda_{\omega_j}\right] = 2\Lambda_{\omega_i}, \quad \left[K_{ij}, L_{\omega_k}\right] = \left[K_{ij}, \Lambda_{\omega_k}\right] = 0, \ i, j \neq k, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{ij} := [L_{\omega_i}, \Lambda_{\omega_j}]$. Verbitsky observed in [Ver90] that K_{ij} acts as the Weil operator with respect to the complex structure induced by ω_k (and similarly for K_{jk} and K_{ki}). The commutator relations (2.12) follow from this key observation.

Verbitsky's approach to the LLV structure theorem in his thesis [Ver96] was to then look at $\mathfrak{g}$ as the algebra generated by the $\mathfrak{g}_g$ by varying the hyperkähler metric via the period map. The main technical input, observed by Verbitsky and also Looijenga–Lunts in [LL97], is that *all* the dual Lefschetz operators commute whenever they are defined. The following are the necessary pieces for obtaining the LLV structure theorem.

Theorem 2.20 (*cf.* [LL97, Theorem 4.5], [Ver96, Proposition 1.6]). *Let X be a hyperkähler manifold.*

(1) *For any two classes $\alpha, \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying hard Lefschetz, we have $[\Lambda_\alpha, \Lambda_\beta] = 0$. Thus, the LLV algebra only exists in degrees 2, 0, and -2, and we get an eigenspace decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$$

with respect to the weight operator H acting as the adjoint.

(2) *There are canonical isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 2} \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.*

(3) *There is a decomposition* $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot H$, *where* $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ *is the semisimple part. Moreover,* $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{R}), q_X)$, *and* $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ *acts on* $H^*(X,\mathbb{R})$ *by derivations.*

Theorem 2.20(1) follows from the fact that the collection of positive three-spaces W_g forms a dense open subset of the Grassmanian of three-spaces in $H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$, whence the commutativity of the dual Lefschetz operators follows from the commutativity over the various W_g, and local Torelli. The decomposition holds since the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, which follows from Theorem 2.20(2, 3). Indeed, the openness of the space of positive three-spaces in $H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ implies that the semisimple part is generated by the commutators $[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\beta]$ for HL classes α, β. If α, β come from a positive three-space W_g, then Verbitsky [Ver90, Lemma 2.2] shows that

(2.13) $$\left[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\beta \right](x) = i(p-q)x,$$

where x is a (p,q)-form with respect to the metric g. But this certainly acts on $H^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ by derivations, and so *every* commutator $[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\beta]$ acts via derivations on the cohomology ring. This fact implies that $[\overline{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 2}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 2}$; since $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ are abelian, this gives the eigenvalue decomposition. In order to prove that $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{R}), q_X)$, we note that the semisimple part preserves cup product via derivation; the Fujiki relation (2.4) then implies that $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ preserves q_X, and so $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{R}), q_X)$. The surjectivity follows by varying the $\mathfrak{so}(4,1)$-actions in the period domain since these generate the full $\mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{C}), q_X)$.

We remark that our theorems for the LLV algebra are stated with rational coefficients, while the works of Looijenga–Lunts and Verbitsky work over real or complex coefficients. In the smooth case, it was observed in [GKLR22] that the LLV structure theorem holds over $\mathbb{Q}$, as the operators are all rationally defined. The same will hold for the singular version of the LLV algebra for the intersection cohomology of primitive symplectic varieties.

3. Symplectic Symmetry on Intersection Cohomology

We prove that the canonical Hodge structure on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$ inherited from the symplectic form σ satisfies the symplectic hard Lefschetz theorem, one of the main inputs in our algebraic proof of the LLV structure theorem.

3.1. Degeneration of Hodge-to-de Rham on the Regular Locus

The first piece we need in proving the symplectic hard Lefschetz theorem is the degeneration of Hodge-to-de Rham on the regular locus. What is surprising here is that the degeneration holds with no restriction on the singularities of X. We adapt a well-known trick to identify the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence with the logarithmic Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence associated to a log-resolution of singularities.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose X is a proper symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ with regular locus U and smooth singular locus Σ. If the singular locus Σ of X is smooth, then the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q\left(U, \Omega_U^p\right) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(U, \mathbb{C})$$

degenerates at E_1 for $p + q < 2n - 1$.

Proof. Consider the logarithmic Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p(\log E)\right) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(U, \mathbb{C})$$

corresponding to a log-resolution of singularities $\pi \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ with exceptional divisor E, which degenerates at E_1 for all p, q; see [Del74, Section (9.2.3)]. It is enough to show that the restriction morphisms $H^q(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p(\log E)) \to H^q(U, \Omega_U^p)$ are isomorphisms for $p + q < 2n - 1$.

The restriction morphisms fit inside a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^q_E\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)\right) \longrightarrow H^q\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)\right) \longrightarrow H^q(U, \Omega^p_U) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

By local duality, there is an isomorphism

$$H^q_E\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)\right)^* \cong H^{2n-q}\left(\widetilde{X}_E, \Omega^{2n-p}_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E)\right),$$

where $\widetilde{X}_E$ is the completion of $\widetilde{X}$ along E. Now consider the Leray spectral sequence

$$E^{r,s}_2 = H^r\left(X_\Sigma, R^s\pi_*\Omega^{2n-p}_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E)\right) \Longrightarrow H^{r+s}\left(\widetilde{X}_E, \Omega^{2n-p}_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E)\right).$$

It is enough to show that $E^{r,s}_2$ vanishes in the range $r + s > 2n + 1$, which we note clearly holds when $\dim \Sigma = 0$. To prove this, we claim that

$$R^q\pi_*\Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E)_x = 0$$

for $p + q > 2n - \dim \Sigma_x$, where Σ_x is the connected component of Σ which contains x. By Proposition 2.3, there is a product decomposition $\widehat{X}_x \cong Y_x \times \widehat{\Sigma}_x$, where Y_x is a symplectic variety with isolated singularities. Since the claimed vanishing is local, we may assume that the log-resolution of singularities is $\pi = \pi_x \times \mathrm{id}$,[2] where $\pi_x \colon \widetilde{Y}_x \to Y_x$ is a log-resolution of singularities of Y_x. It follows that $R^q\pi_*\Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E) = 0$ if $R^q(\pi_x)_*\Omega^p_{\widetilde{Y}_x}(\log E_x)(-E_x) = 0$, where E_x is the exceptional divisor of π_x.

To conclude, consider the terms $E^{r,s}_2$ for $r + s > 2n + 1$. On the one hand, $E^{r,s}_2 = 0$ for $r > \dim \Sigma$, so we may assume otherwise. On the other hand, if we write $\Sigma = \bigsqcup_j \Sigma_j$, where $\Sigma_j \subset \Sigma$ consists of the smooth components of Σ of dimension j, the preceding argument implies that $\mathrm{Supp}\ R^s\pi_*\Omega^{2n-p}_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E)) \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset$ if $s + (2n - p) > 2n - j$. Putting this together, we can see that $E^{r,s}_2 = 0$ implies that $r + s \leq 2n$. $\qquad\square$

An early draft claimed that this degeneration held for general primitive symplectic varieties—the following example indicates the gap in the previous argument when Σ is not smooth; a new idea will be needed to extend this degeneration.

Example 3.2. Let X be a primitive symplectic variety, let $\widehat{X}_x$ and $\widehat{X^\circ_{i\,x}}$ be the completions of X and X°_i, respectively, at x, and let Y_x be a symplectic variety. Then

$$R^q\pi_*\Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E)_x = 0, \quad p + q > \max\left\{2n, 2n - \dim X^\circ_i + p\right\}.$$

Consider the product decomposition $\widehat{X}_x \cong Y_x \times \widehat{X^\circ_{i\,x}}$. We note the claim is local and independent of the choice of π, whence we may assume that π is the resolution

(3.1) $$\widehat{\pi}_x \times \mathrm{id} \colon \widetilde{Y}_x \times \widehat{X^\circ_{i\,x}} \longrightarrow Y_x \times \widehat{X^\circ_{i\,x}},$$

where $\widehat{\pi}_x \colon \widetilde{Y}_x \to Y_x$ is a log-resolution of singularities with exceptional divisor E_x. On the one hand, there is a Künneth-type decomposition

$$\Omega^p_{\widetilde{Y}_x \times \widehat{X^\circ_{i\,x}}}(\log E)(-E) \cong \bigoplus_{p_1 + p_2 = p} \Omega^{p_1}_{\widetilde{Y}_x}(\log E_x)(-E_x) \otimes \Omega^{p_2}_{\widehat{X^\circ_{i\,x}}},$$

where E_x is the $\widehat{\pi}_x$-exceptional divisor. On the other hand, the qth higher direct image sheaf of $\Omega^{p_1}_{\widetilde{Y}_x}(\log E_x)(-E_x) \otimes \Omega^{p_2}_{\widehat{X^\circ_{i\,x}}}$ is just $R^q(\widehat{\pi}_x)_*\Omega^{p_1}_{\widetilde{Y}_x}(\log E_x)(-E_x)$ since we are taking the identity on the second factor. Therefore,

$$R^q\pi_*\Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E)_x \cong \bigoplus_{p_1} R^q(\widehat{\pi}_x)_*\Omega^{p_1}_{\widetilde{Y}_x}(\log E_x)(-E_x).$$

The vanishing then follows by Steenbrink vanishing applied to the lowest $\Omega^{p_1}_{\widetilde{Y}_x}(\log E_x)(-E_x)$.

---

[2]Note that the complex $\mathbf{R}\pi_*\Omega^p_{\widetilde{X}}(\log E)(-E)$ is, up to quasi-isomorphism, independent of the choice of log-resolution π.

3.2. Hodge Theory of the Regular Locus

Next, we need to understand how the symplectic form of a primitive symplectic variety interacts with the (compactly supported) cohomology of the regular locus. The following lemma indicates how the symplectic form extends across the singularities of X.

Lemma 3.3. *If X is a symplectic variety with smooth singular locus, the sheaves $\pi_* \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p (\log E)(-E)$ are reflexive for every $1 \leq p \leq 2n$.*

Proof. First assume that X has isolated singularities. Since X has rational singularities, it is enough to show that $\pi_* \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p (\log E)(-E) \hookrightarrow \pi_* \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p$ is an isomorphism for each $1 \leq p \leq 2n$. For $p = 2n$, this is immediate, and for $p = 2n - 1$, this holds by [KS21, Theorem 1.6], where we note that $R^{n-1} \pi_* \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{X}} = 0$. We may therefore assume that $1 \leq p \leq 2n - 2$.

There is an exact complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p (\log E)(-E) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p \longrightarrow \Omega_{E_{(1)}}^p \longrightarrow \Omega_{E_{(2)}}^p \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_{E_{(k)}}^p \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where $E_{(k)} = \coprod_{|J|=k} \bigcap_J E_j$ is the pairwise union of the k-fold intersections of the irreducible components of E; see for example [MOP20, Lemma 4.1] and [MP22, Proof of Corollary 14.9]. In particular, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p (\log E)(-E) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p \longrightarrow \mathscr{M}_p \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathscr{M}_p = \ker(\Omega_{E_{(1)}}^p \to \Omega_{E_{(2)}}^p)$. The lemma will follow if we can show that $H^0(\mathscr{M}_p) = 0$. But this will follow from the Hodge theory of the exceptional divisor E. Indeed, we have a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow H^q(\Omega_{E_{(1)}}^p) \xrightarrow{\delta_1^{p,q}} H^q(\Omega_{E_{(2)}}^p) \xrightarrow{\delta_2^{p,q}} \cdots.$$

As we have seen in Section 2.4, the mixed Hodge pieces of the cohomology of E are then computed in terms of this complex:

$$\operatorname{gr}_W^{p+q} H^{p+q+r}(E, \mathbb{C})^{p,q} = \ker \delta_{r+1}^{p,q} / \operatorname{im} \delta_r^{p,q}.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{gr}_W^p H^p(E, \mathbb{C})^{p,0} \cong H^0(\mathscr{M}_p)$. But by Hodge symmetry, this is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{gr}_W^p H^p(E, \mathbb{C})^{0,p} \cong H^p(E, \mathscr{O}_E),$$

which vanishes by either [Nam01b, Lemma 1.2] or [MP22, Corollary 14.9] for $1 \leq p \leq 2n - 2$.

More generally, suppose that the singular locus of X is smooth. The problem is local and independent of the chosen resolution of singularities; we can then assume that π is the resolution of singularities corresponding to a product decomposition given in Proposition 2.3. In particular, the sheaves $\pi_* \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p (\log E)(-E)$ are reflexive if and only if the sheaves $(\widehat{\pi}_x)_* \Omega_{\widetilde{Y}_x}^p (\log E_x)(-E_x)$ are reflexive for a transversal slice Y_x. By assumption, Y_x has at worst isolated singularities, and so the claim follows from the previous argument. $\square$

In particular, the extension of the symplectic form σ gives a well-defined global section

$$\tilde{\sigma} \in H^0 \left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2 (\log E)(-E) \right) \subset H^0 \left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2 (\log E) \right),$$

and so we get morphisms

$$(3.2) \qquad \tilde{\sigma}^p : \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p} (\log E) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p} (\log E), \quad \tilde{\sigma}^p : \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p} (\log E)(-E) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p} (\log E)(-E)$$

which are induced by wedging. We emphasize that, unlike the corresponding morphisms $\sigma^p : \Omega_U^{n-p} \to \Omega_U^{n+p}$, the maps (3.2) are almost never isomorphisms. However, we note there is an important interaction between the sheaves $\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p (\log E), \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{2n-p} (\log E)(-E)$ and the holomorphic extension $\tilde{\sigma}$. The following lemma, which is a local computation, is a consequence of Lemma 3.3 and the canonical representation of the symplectic form on the regular locus.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a symplectic variety of dimension $2n \geq 4$ with isolated singularities and holomorphic symplectic form $\sigma \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]})$. For $0 \leq p \leq n$, there is a morphism*

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_* \widetilde{\sigma}^p \colon \mathbf{R}\pi_* \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p}(\log E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_* \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)(-E)$$

for any log-resolution of singularities $\pi \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$, where $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is the unique extension of σ to $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2)$.

Proof. For any $x \in U := X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, there exist an (analytic) neighborhood U_x of x and local coordinates $z_1, \ldots, z_{2n}$ such that σ, considered as a holomorphic symplectic form on U, can be written as

$$\sigma = dz_1 \wedge dz_2 + \cdots + dz_{2n-1} \wedge dz_{2n}$$

on U_x. By Lemma 3.3, there is a *unique* extension of σ to a global section $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of $\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(\log E)(-E)$ for any log-resolution of singularities $\pi \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$, which we describe over the exceptional divisor E. For each point $x_0 \in E$, choose local coordinates $z_1', \ldots, z_{2n}'$ of $\widetilde{X}$ around x_0 such that $E = V(z_1' \cdots z_k')$ for some $k \leq 2n$. Then

$$\widetilde{\sigma} = h(dz_1' \wedge dz_2' + \cdots + dz_{2n-1}' \wedge dz_{2n}')$$

in a neighborhood V_x of x_0, where $h \in H^0(V_x, \mathcal{O}_{V_x})$.

Consider the global morphism (3.2) $\widetilde{\sigma}^p \colon \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p}(\log E) \to \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)$ induced by wedging. Let α be a section of $\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p}(\log E)(V_x)$, written as

$$(3.3) \qquad \alpha = f \frac{dz_{i_1}'}{z_{i_1}'} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_{i_l}'}{dz_{i_l}} \wedge dz_{j_1}' \wedge dz_{j_1}' \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{j_m}', \quad 1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_l \leq 2r, \ 2r+1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_m \leq 2n,$$

where $f \in H^0(V_x, \mathcal{O}_x)$ and $l + m = n - p$, and consider the logarithmic form $\alpha \wedge \widetilde{\sigma}^p$. Note that if $k > 2n - 1$, then $\widetilde{\sigma} \wedge \alpha$ vanishes along E. Assume without loss of generality that $k = 2r$ for $r \leq n - 1$. By Lemma 3.3, h must vanish along $z_{2r+1}', \ldots, z_{2n}'$. It follows immediately from (3.3) that the logarithmic form

$$\alpha \wedge h^p \sum_{|I|=p} dz_I',$$

where $I \subset \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ and dz_I' is the p-fold wedge product of dz_j' for $j \in I$, must vanish along E. The logarithmic wedging map (3.2) must factor as

$$\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p}(\log E) \xrightarrow{\ \widetilde{\sigma}^p\ } \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)$$

$$\searrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)(-E).$$

The claim follows by taking cohomology. $\qquad \square$

3.3. Symplectic Hard Lefschetz

Lemma 3.3 indicates what kind of zeros the powers $\tilde{\sigma}^p$ of the extended symplectic form pick up across the exceptional divisor E. Moreover, it says that the symplectic form on U defines a class in the compactly supported cohomology, as

$$(3.4) \qquad \tilde{\sigma} \in H^0\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^p(\log E)(-E)\right) \cong \mathrm{gr}_W^2 H_c^2(U, \mathbb{C})^{2,0},$$

by (2.6).

For convenience, we want to consider the case that X has at worst terminal singularities. By passing to a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of some bimeromorphic model, we will see that this assumption is sufficient once we prove the LLV structure theorem.

We fix a log-resolution of singularities $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ with exceptional divisor E. If X has isolated singularities, the intersection cohomology groups are given by the pure Hodge structures

$$\mathrm{IH}^k(X,\mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} H^k(U,\mathbb{C}), & k < 2n, \\ \mathrm{im}(H_c^k(U,\mathbb{C}) \to H^k(U,\mathbb{C})), & k = 2n, \\ H_c^k(U,\mathbb{C}), & k > 2n; \end{cases}$$

see [GM80, Section 6.1] and [Ste77, Corollary (1.14)]. For $k < 2n$, the degeneration of the logarithmic Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence at E_1 induces the Hodge filtration on the pure Hodge structure $H^k(U,\mathbb{C})$. As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we see that the intersection cohomology of a primitive symplectic variety satisfies

$$\mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) \cong \begin{cases} H^q(U,\Omega_U^p), & p + q < 2n - 1, \\ H_c^q(U,\Omega_U^p), & p + q > 2n + 1. \end{cases}$$

To see this, note that since $H^k(U,\mathbb{Q})$ is a pure Hodge structure for $k < 2n$, the natural morphism $H^k(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Q}) \to H^k(U,\mathbb{Q})$ is a surjective morphism of pure Hodge structures. The (p,q)-part of the canonical Hodge structure on the cohomology of $\widetilde{X}$ must factor through $H^q(U,\Omega_U^p)$, which injects by Theorem 3.1, and so we have $H^q(U,\Omega_U^p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$ for $p + q < 2n - 1$. By Poincaré duality, we get the statement concerning the compactly supported cohomology groups.

It turns out that this gives us enough of the intersection Hodge diamond to show that the symplectic symmetry holds. This is the key input to the construction of the LLV algebra.

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ with isolated singularities. For $0 \le p \le n$ and $0 \le q \le 2n$, the cupping map*

$$L_\sigma^p\colon \mathrm{IH}^{n-p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{IH}^{n+p,q}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. From the above discussion, the theorem holds for $(n - p) + q < 2n$ and $(n + p) + q < 2n$ since $\sigma^p\colon H^q(U,\Omega_U^{n-p}) \to H^q(U,\Omega_U^{n+p})$ is an isomorphism. Similarly, the theorem holds if $(n - p) + q > 2n$ and $(n + p) + q > 2n$. We check the remaining cases.

First, suppose that $(n - p) + q < 2n - 1$ and $(n + p) + q > 2n + 1$ (that is, we are mapping across middle cohomology). Since $\tilde{\sigma}$ defines a compactly supported global section on $U \subset \widetilde{X}$, we have the factorization

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^q(U,\Omega_U^{n-p}) & & \\
\big\downarrow & \searrow^{\sigma^p} & \\
H_c^q(U,\Omega_U^{n+p}) & \longrightarrow & H^q(U,\Omega_U^{n+q}).
\end{array}
$$

The diagonal morphism is an isomorphism since U is symplectic. Therefore, the cupping morphism will be an isomorphism if $H^q(U,\Omega_U^{n-p})$ and $H_c^q(U,\Omega_U^{n+p})$ have the same dimension. Writing

$$h^{p,q}(U) = \dim H^q(U,\Omega_U^p), \quad h_c^{p,q}(U) = \dim H_c^q(U,\Omega_U^p),$$

this follows since

$$(3.5) \qquad h_c^{n+p,q}(U) = h^{n-p,2n-q}(U) = h^{2n-q,n-p}(U) = h^{q,n-p}(U) = h^{n-p,q}(U).$$

We now need to consider the case that we map to or from $\mathrm{IH}^{2n-1}(X,\mathbb{C}) \cong H^{2n-1}(U,\mathbb{C})$, where the proof of Theorem 3.1 breaks down. First assume that we map into $H^{2n-1}(U,\mathbb{C})$. We need to show that the morphism

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^p\colon H^q\!\left(\widetilde{X},\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p}(\log E)\right) \longrightarrow H^q\!\left(\widetilde{X},\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)\right)$$

is an isomorphism for $(n+p)+q = 2n-1$. Following the proof of the degeneration of Hodge-to-de Rham, we have shown that the restriction morphisms

$$H^q\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)\right) \longrightarrow H^q\left(U, \Omega_U^{n+p}\right)$$

are at least injective in this range. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p}(\log E)\right) & \longrightarrow & H^q\left(U, \Omega_U^{n-p}\right) \\ \tilde{\sigma}^p \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma^p \\ H^q\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)\right) & \longrightarrow & H^q\left(U, \Omega_U^{n+p}\right). \end{array}$$

The top morphism is an isomorphism by Theorem 3.1 unless $p = 0$, which we do not need to check, and the map σ^p is an isomorphism since U is symplectic. This proves the restriction morphism is also an isomorphism for $(n+p)+q = 2n-1$, and therefore $\widetilde{\sigma}^p$ is an isomorphism, too.

Now we consider the case that we map from $\mathrm{IH}^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$, where we necessarily map across middle cohomology. Then assume that $(n-p)+q = 2n-1$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^q\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p}(\log E)\right) & \longrightarrow & H^q\left(U, \Omega_U^{n-p}\right) & & \\ \tilde{\sigma}^p \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma^p & \searrow & \\ H^q\left(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)(-E)\right) & \longrightarrow & H_c^q\left(U, \Omega_U^{n+p}\right) & \longrightarrow & H^q\left(U, \Omega_U^{n+p}\right), \end{array}$$

where the left vertical morphism follows from Lemma 3.4. Again, we are using the fact that X is terminal, so that $H^q(U, \Omega_U^{n-p}) \to H^q(U, \Omega_U^{n+p})$ factors through $H_c^q(U, \Omega_U^{n+p})$. The top morphism is injective, and so the cupping map $\tilde{\sigma}^p \colon H^q(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n-p}(\log E)) \to H^q(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^{n+p}(\log E)(-E))$ is injective. A similar symmetry argument to (3.5) shows that these groups have the same dimension.

Finally, we are left to check the case that L_σ^p maps into middle cohomology. These morphisms are of the form

$$L_\sigma^p \colon \mathrm{IH}^{n-p, n-p}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{IH}^{n+p, n-p}(X).$$

for $p < n$. By a similar commutative diagram argument to above, we can see that these maps must be injective. To prove surjectivity, consider a class $a \in \mathrm{IH}^{n+p, n-p}(X) = (W_{2n}H^{2n}(U, \mathbb{C}))^{n+p, n-p}$. We note that the restriction map $H^{2n}(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{C}) \to W_{2n}H^{2n}(U, \mathbb{C})$ is surjective in this case; since U is smooth, we may represent $a = [\alpha]$ as the class of an $(n+p, n-p)$-form α which is $\overline{\partial}$-closed. At the level of sheaves, we have isomorphisms

$$\sigma^p \colon \mathcal{A}_U^{n-p, n-p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_U^{n+p, n-p}$$

sending a form β to $\sigma^p \wedge \beta$. If $\alpha = \sigma^p \wedge \beta$, then $0 = \overline{\partial}\alpha = \overline{\partial}(\sigma^p \wedge \beta) = \sigma^p \wedge \overline{\partial}\beta$. But since σ is symplectic, $\overline{\partial}\beta = 0$ and defines a class $[\beta] \in H^{n-p}(U, \Omega_U^{n-p})$. This proves the surjectivity.

To finish, note that the only remaining case is mapping from middle cohomology; but this follows from Poincaré duality. $\qquad\square$

4. Hard Lefschetz for Symplectic Varieties

In this section, we describe a hard Lefschetz theorem for the classes σ and $\overline{\sigma}$ using Theorem 3.5, which inherits $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ with the structure of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation. This data, along with the monodromy representation of the second cohomology, completely describes the $\mathfrak{g}$-representation structure of the intersection cohomology.

4.1. Symplectic Hard Lefschetz

Let L_σ be the cupping morphism with respect to the class of the symplectic form σ, and let $\mathfrak{s}_\sigma$ be the completed $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple

$$\mathfrak{s}_\sigma = \langle L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma, H_\sigma \rangle,$$

where H_σ is the weight operator of the corresponding weight decomposition.

The isomorphisms $L_\sigma^p \colon \mathrm{IH}^{n-p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{IH}^{n+p,q}(X)$ induce a primitive decomposition on the intersection cohomology classes. A class $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$ for $p \leq q$ is said to be σ-*primitive* if $L_\sigma^{n-p+1}\alpha = 0$. We denote the subspace of primitive intersection (p,q)-classes by $\mathrm{IH}_\sigma^{p,q}(X) \subset \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$. As in the case of classic hard Lefschetz, there is a σ-primitive decomposition

$$\mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X) = \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{p-n,0\}} L_\sigma^j \, \mathrm{IH}_\sigma^{p-2j,q}(X).$$

While the hard Lefschetz theorem is a result of Kähler geometry, the relationship between the primitive decomposition theorem and the weight decomposition is purely algebraic. In particular, we get the following.

Corollary 4.1. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$, we have $H_\sigma(\alpha) = (p-n)\alpha$.*

Proof. The dual Lefschetz operator Λ_σ can be uniquely defined to satisfy $[L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma] = (p-n)\alpha$ via the primitive decomposition. In particular, if $\alpha = \sum_j L_\sigma^j \alpha_\sigma^{p-2j,k}$ is the σ-primitive decomposition of a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$, then

$$\Lambda_\sigma(\alpha) := \sum_j j(n-p+j+1)L_\sigma^{j-1}\alpha_\sigma^{p-2j,q}.$$

One checks that this operator acts on the intersection cohomology module as a degree $(-2,0)$ and satisfies the desired commutativity relation. See [Kle68, Section 1.4] for more details. □

Now consider the cupping operator $L_{\overline{\sigma}} \colon \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X) \to \mathrm{IH}^{p,q+2}(X)$. By Hodge symmetry, it induces isomorphisms $L_{\overline{\sigma}}^q \colon \mathrm{IH}^{p,n-q}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{IH}^{p,n+q}(X)$. Considering the induced $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\overline{\sigma}} = \langle L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}, H_{\overline{\sigma}} \rangle,$$

it then follows that the corresponding weight operator satisfies $H_{\overline{\sigma}} = (q-n)\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$. As in the holomorphic case, a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$ with $q \leq n$ is $\overline{\sigma}$-*primitive* if $L_{\overline{\sigma}}^{n-q+1}\alpha = 0$. If $\mathrm{IH}_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q}(X) \subset \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$ is the subspace of $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive (p,q)-classes, there is also a decomposition

$$\mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X) = \bigoplus_{k \geq \max\{q-n,0\}} L_{\overline{\sigma}}^k \, \mathrm{IH}_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k}(X).$$

If $\alpha = \sum_k L_{\overline{\sigma}}^k \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k}$ is a $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive decomposition, then we have

$$\Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}(\alpha) = \sum_k k(n-q+k+1)L_{\overline{\sigma}}^{k-1}\alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k}.$$

As in case of compact Kähler manifolds, a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$ is σ-primitive (resp. $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive) if $\Lambda_\sigma(\alpha) = 0$ (resp. $\Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}(\alpha) = 0$).

Proposition 4.2. *We have $[\Lambda_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$. We get two primitive decompositions with respect to σ and $\overline{\sigma}$:

$$\alpha = \sum_j L_\sigma^j \alpha_\sigma^{p-2j,q} = \sum_k L_{\overline{\sigma}}^k \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k},$$

where $\alpha_\sigma^{p-2j,q}$ is σ-primitive for each j and $\alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k}$ is $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive for each k.

Suppose that α is σ-primitive, so that $p \le n$ and $L_\sigma^{n-p+1}\alpha = 0$. Then

$$0 = L_\sigma^{n-p+1}\alpha = \sum_j L_\sigma^{n-p+1} L_{\overline{\sigma}}^k \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k} = \sum_k L_{\overline{\sigma}}^k \left(L_\sigma^{n-p+1} \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k} \right).$$

If $\alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k}$ is $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive of degree $q-2k$, then $L_\sigma^{n-p+1} \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k}$ is also $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive of degree $q-2k$, as the antiholomorphic degree is not changing and the cup products commute. Therefore,

$$\sum_k L_{\overline{\sigma}}^k \left(L_\sigma^{n-p+1} \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k} \right)$$

is a $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive decomposition of $L^{n-p+1}\alpha = 0$, and so $L_{\overline{\sigma}}^k L_\sigma^{n-p+1} \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k} = 0$ for each k. This implies that $L_\sigma^{n-p+1} \alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k} = 0$, as $L_{\overline{\sigma}}^k$ is injective over antiholomorphic degree $q-2k$, and so $\alpha_{\overline{\sigma}}^{p,q-2k}$ is both $\overline{\sigma}$- and σ-primitive for each k. Similarly, $\alpha_\sigma^{p-2j,q}$ is both σ- and $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive for each j if α is $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive.

We have shown that any (p,q)-class $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$ admits a simultaneous σ- and $\overline{\sigma}$-primitive decomposition. This implies that the operators Λ_σ and $\Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}$ commute. $\qquad\square$

Remark 4.3. We note that the symplectic hard Lefschetz theory also holds for even-dimensional complex tori, as they admit a symplectic form. Looijenga–Lunts observed that the total Lie algebra of a complex torus detects the Hodge theory, see [LL97, Section 3], but the LLV algebra has a different structure than a compact hyperkähler manifold. This is because the Torelli theorem for a complex torus does not differentiate between it and its dual.

4.2. Hard Lefschetz for Non-Isotropic Classes

For the rest of the section, we will assume that X is a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities and $b_2 \ge 5$. In particular, the global moduli theory of Bakker–Lehn [BL22] applies. In [Tig23b], the author shows that $\mathrm{IH}^{1,1}(X)$ parametrizes isomorphism classes of certain deformations of X, where we allow smoothing of the singularities; these deformations are unobstructed, and we get a global Torelli theorem in terms of the full intersection cohomology. The results of Section 2 will allow us to avoid developing this theory here.

We now turn to classic hard Lefschetz. Up to some locally trivial deformation, the classes $\sigma, \overline{\sigma} \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ define real cohomology classes $\gamma = \mathfrak{K}(\sigma)$ and $\gamma' = \mathfrak{I}(\sigma)$, corresponding to the real and imaginary parts of σ:

$$\gamma = \sigma + \overline{\sigma}, \quad \gamma' = -i(\sigma - \overline{\sigma}).$$

There are cupping maps $L_\gamma, L_{\gamma'}$ on the intersection cohomology module by the proof of Proposition 2.11; these morphisms, considered as nilpotent operators in $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}))$, complete to $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples

$$\mathfrak{s}_\gamma = \langle L_\gamma, \Lambda_\gamma, H_\gamma \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{s}_{\gamma'} = \langle L_{\gamma'}, \Lambda_{\gamma'}, H_{\gamma'} \rangle.$$

Proposition 4.4. *The cohomology classes γ and γ' are HL.*

Proof. By assumption $q_X(\gamma), q_X(\gamma') \ne 0$. Therefore, this follows from Proposition 2.11. $\qquad\square$

In particular, the weight operators satisfy $H_\gamma = H_{\gamma'} = H$, where H acts as $(k-2n)\mathrm{id}$ on $\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 4.5. *We have $[\Lambda_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = 0$.*

Proof. Note that Λ_γ and $\Lambda_{\gamma'}$ act as

$$\Lambda_\gamma = \Lambda_\sigma + \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}, \quad \Lambda_{\gamma'} = i(\Lambda_\sigma - \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}),$$

as they are defined by the commutator relation $H = [L_\gamma, \Lambda_\gamma] = [L_{\gamma'}, \Lambda_{\gamma'}]$. Taking the commutator, the result follows since $[\Lambda_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] = 0$ by Proposition 4.2. $\qquad\square$

We therefore have a pair of non-isotropic classes (γ, γ') such that $q_X(\gamma, \gamma') = 0$ whose dual Lefschetz operators commute. As we will see, it is important that this pair vanishes under the induced bilinear form, as this defines a Zariski-closed condition on the space of non-isotropic pairs.

Corollary 4.6. *We have* $[L_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] = -[L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_\sigma] = 0$.

Proof. Note that

$$[L_\gamma, \Lambda_\gamma] = [L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma] + [L_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] + [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_\sigma] + [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}]$$

and

$$[L_{\gamma'}, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = [L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma] - [L_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] - [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_\sigma] + [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}].$$

Either equation implies that $[L_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] = -[L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_\sigma]$ since $([L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma] + [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}])(\alpha) = (p+q-2n)\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}$ (see Corollary 4.1 and the following paragraph). Since $[L_\gamma, \Lambda_\gamma] = [L_{\gamma'}, \Lambda_{\gamma'}]$, subtracting the second equation from the first implies $[L_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] = [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_\sigma] = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 4.7. *For* $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X)$, *we have* $[L_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = i(p-q)\alpha$.

Proof. Note that $-i[L_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = [L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma] - [L_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}] + [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_\sigma] - [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}]$. The result follows since the two middle terms vanish by Corollary 4.6. $\square$

Corollary 4.7 is a generalization of Verbitsky's generalization of hard Lefschetz on compact hyperkähler manifolds, see [Ver90]: If (X, g, I, J, K) is a compact hyperkähler manifold with hyperkähler metric g and complex structures I, J, K, the Weil operators $C_\sigma = [L_{\omega_\lambda}, \Lambda_{\omega_\lambda}] = i(p-q)\mathrm{id}$ are contained in the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_g \cong \mathfrak{so}(4, 1)$ (see Theorem 2.19).

5. The LLV Algebra for Intersection Cohomology

In this section, we prove the LLV structure theorem for intersection cohomology. We do this as follows: First, we prove the theorem for $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, which will allow us to consider all HL elements of $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ and use the monodromy density theorem under the assumption $b_2 \geq 5$. We then show that the theorem holds in general by passing to a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization, using the semismallness of such crepant morphisms; see Corollary 2.18.

The key here is to observe that we have a pair (γ, γ') of non-isotropic vectors such that $q_X(\gamma, \gamma') = 0$. As we will see below, the second condition is necessary. In [Huy01, Theorem 2.2], it was shown that the commutativity of dual Lefschetz operators holds infinitesimally, and so we always have a pair of non-isotropic pairs which commute. The point though is that we get a variety L, described by *Zariski-closed conditions*, for which the monodromy group acts.

5.1. $\mathbb{Q}$-Factorial Terminal Case

As in the smooth case, we define the LLV algebra in terms of HL classes in intersection cohomology.

Definition 5.1. If X is a primitive symplectic variety, the (*intersection*) *LLV algebra* is

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{tot}}(X) = \langle L_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha | \ \alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \text{ is HL} \rangle.$$

Now assume that X is a primitive symplectic variety with at worst $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. By Proposition 2.17, the LLV algebra is generated by the dual Lefschetz operators corresponding to HL classes in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

5.1.1. Commutativity of the dual Lefschetz operators.— Recall that by Corollary 4.5, there exists a point $(\gamma, \gamma') \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})^{\times 2}$ of non-isotropic vectors such that $[\Lambda_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = 0$. Moreover, we have $q_X(\gamma, \gamma') = 0$, where $q_X(-, -)$ is the induced bilinear form with respect to q_X. The upshot is that the monodromy group acts on the space of such non-isotropic pairs.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$. For any pair of non-isotropic classes $\alpha, \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$, we have $[\Lambda_\alpha, \Lambda_\beta] = 0$.*

Proof. Let X be a primitive symplectic variety with $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal isolated singularities, so that Theorem 3.5 and the Lefschetz theory of Section 4.1 hold. If σ is the class of the symplectic form, let $\gamma = \mathcal{K}(\sigma)$ and $\gamma' = \mathcal{I}(\sigma)$. We have seen that $[\Lambda_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = 0$, which is a Zariski-closed condition. Consider the space

$$L = \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \times H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \mid q_X(\alpha), q_X(\beta) \neq 0, \;\; q_X(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \right\}.$$

The group $\mathrm{SO}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$ acts on L diagonally. If $\mathrm{Mon}(X) \subset O(\Gamma)$ is the monodromy group associated to X (see Remark 2.7), let $G_X := \mathrm{SO}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Mon}(X) \subset \mathrm{SO}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$. Note that the (γ, γ')-orbit of G_X preserves the commutator relation: If $g \in G_X$, then $[\Lambda_{g \cdot \gamma}, \Lambda_{g \cdot \gamma'}] = 0$. Indeed, the dual Lefschetz operators satisfy the property

$$\Lambda_{g \cdot \gamma} = g \Lambda_\gamma g^{-1}$$

as they are uniquely determined by $[L_{g \cdot \gamma}, \Lambda_{g \cdot \gamma}] = (k - 2n) \mathrm{id}$, and the monodromy group is invariant under conjugation. By the Borel density theorem (see [Fur76]), G_X is Zariski dense in $\mathrm{SO}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$, and $[\Lambda_{g \cdot \gamma}, \Lambda_{g \cdot \gamma'}] = 0$ for every $g \in \mathrm{SO}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$. The commutativity then holds for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$. $\qquad\square$

Thus, the LLV algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ only contains elements of degrees $2, 0, -2$. As in Section 2.7, we define $\mathfrak{g}_2$ as the subalgebra generated by the L_α, $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ as the subalgebra generated by the Λ_α, and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ as the degree 0 piece which is (necessarily) of the form

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathbb{Q} \cdot H.$$

5.1.2. $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts via derivations.— We want to show that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. As in the smooth case, this will be done if we can show that $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts via derivations on intersection cohomology.

Proposition 5.3. *The semisimple part $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts via derivations.*

Proof. As $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by the commutators $[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\beta]$, it is enough to show that these elements act on the intersection cohomology module via derivations. Consider again the point $(\gamma, \gamma') \in L$ as in the proof of Theorem 5.2. The commutator satisfies $[L_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}](x) = i(p - q)x$ for a (p, q)-class x. While this identity is not preserved by the restricted monodromy group G_X, we note that $[L_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}]$ acts on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ via derivations, and this property is preserved via G_X. Specifically, for any $g \in G_X$, $[L_{g \cdot \gamma}, \Lambda_{g \cdot \gamma'}]$ also acts via derivations. But by the monodromy density theorem, this must be true for any $[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\beta]$, too. $\qquad\square$

Thus, we get the eigenvalue decomposition

(5.1) $$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2}.$$

5.1.3. LLV structure theorem.— We can now prove the LLV structure theorem for $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities.

Theorem 5.4. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities with LLV algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $b_2 \geq 5$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}((H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), q_X) \oplus \mathfrak{h}),$$

where q_X is the BBF form and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a hyperbolic plane.

Another way of stating Theorem 5.4 is in terms of the Mukai completion. If we write

$$\left(H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), q_X\right) \oplus \mathfrak{h} =: \left(\widetilde{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), \widetilde{q}_X\right),$$

the Mukai completion $\left(\widetilde{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), \widetilde{q}_X\right)$ inherits a bilinear structure and Hodge structure which is compatible with $(H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), q_X)$. Theorem 5.4 then gives an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}\left(\widetilde{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), \widetilde{q}_X\right).$$

In the smooth case, the subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), q_X)$ corresponds to the semisimple part of the decomposition (5.1). Moreover, the completion $(H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), q_X) \hookrightarrow (\widetilde{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), \widetilde{q}_X)$ is compatible with the extension $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by branching (see [GKLR22, Section B.2.1]). It is then sufficient to prove the structure theorem for the semisimple part.

Proof. We will show that

(5.2) $$\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), q_X).$$

Since $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts by derivations and preserves cup product, we see that it preserves the BBF form q_X. Therefore, there is an *injective* map $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{C}), q_X)$. The surjectivity is a reproduction of Verbitsky's result. Consider once more the point $(\gamma, \gamma') \in L$. By global (or local) Torelli, this point completes to a positive three-space $W_\sigma = \langle \alpha, \gamma, \gamma' \rangle$ for which q_X is positive-definite. Now Theorem 5.2 shows that the dual Lefschetz operators corresponding to W_σ all commute. Moreover, we can extend Corollary 4.7 to the entire three-space and show that the commutators $[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\gamma]$ and $[L_\alpha, \Lambda_{\gamma'}]$ acts as the Weil operators with respect to the induced complex structure, after possibly passing to a locally trivial deformation. It then follows that

$$\mathfrak{g}_\sigma := \langle L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega : \ \omega \in W_\sigma \rangle$$

satisfies Verbitsky's commutator relations (2.12). The upshot is that $\mathfrak{g}_\sigma \cong \mathfrak{so}(4,1)$. Using monodromy density once more, we then see that *any* HL class $x \in H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ completes to a positive three-space W_x, and the corresponding algebra satisfies $\mathfrak{g}_x \cong \mathfrak{so}(4,1)$. The surjectivity then follows as the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{C}), q_X)$ is generated by the simultaneous $\mathfrak{so}(4,1)$-actions. □

5.2. General Case

We now move to a general primitive symplectic variety. In order for the LLV structure theorem to make sense, we need to define a BBF form for intersection cohomology. The result will then follow from the representation theory of $\mathfrak{so}(m)$.

5.2.1. A BBF form on intersection cohomology.—

Definition 5.5. Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ and $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ a resolution of singularities. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C})$, let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the class in $H^2(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{C})$ under the injection $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{C})$. For $\sigma \in \mathrm{IH}^{2,0}(X)$, we define a quadratic form on $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ by

$$Q_{X,\sigma}(\alpha) := \frac{n}{2} \int_{\widetilde{X}} (\sigma\overline{\sigma})^{n-1}\tilde{\alpha}^2 + (1-n) \int_{\widetilde{X}} \sigma^n \overline{\sigma}^{n-1}\tilde{\alpha} \int_{\widetilde{X}} \sigma^{n-1}\overline{\sigma}^n \tilde{\alpha}.$$

The definition extends the quadratic form $q_{X,\sigma}$ (see Definition 2.5) and is compatible with the decomposition theorem, Theorem 2.8. In particular, we have the following.

Lemma 5.6. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$. If $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a resolution of singularities and $\phi : Z \to X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization, then*

$$Q_{X,\sigma}|_{H^2(X,\mathbb{C})} = q_{X,\sigma}, \quad q_{Z,\sigma_Z}|_{\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C})} = Q_{X,\sigma}.$$

Proof. This follows from Schwald's description of the form $q_{X,\sigma}$, see [Sch20], where he showed that $q_{X,\sigma}(\alpha)$ agrees with

$$\frac{n}{2}\int_{\widetilde{X}}(\sigma\overline{\sigma})^{n-1}\tilde{\alpha}^2 + (1-n)\int_{\widetilde{X}}\sigma^n\overline{\sigma}^{n-1}\tilde{\alpha}\int_{\widetilde{X}}\sigma^{n-1}\overline{\sigma}^n\tilde{\alpha}$$

for $\alpha \in H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$. The claim follows since we have inclusions $H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \subset H^2(Z,\mathbb{C}) \subset H^2(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{C})$.
$\square$

Then note that $Q_{X,\sigma}$ is independent of σ whenever $q_{X,\sigma}$ is. This leads to the following definition.

Definition 5.7. The *intersection Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form* on $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ of a primitive symplectic variety X with $\int_X(\sigma\overline{\sigma})^n = 1$ is the quadratic form $Q_X := Q_{X,\sigma}$.

Proposition 5.8. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety with a resolution of singularities $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$.*

  (1) *There is a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for every $\alpha \in H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$,*

$$c \cdot Q_X(\alpha)^n = \int_{\widetilde{X}}\tilde{\alpha}^n,$$

   where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the extension of α under the inclusion $H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \subset H^2(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{C})$.
  (2) *The quadratic form Q_X defines a real quadratic form on $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ of signature $(3, B_2 - 3)$, where $B_2 = \dim \mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that X is projective, so let $\phi\colon Z \to X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization. The first claim follows from Lemma 5.6 and the fact that the statement holds for q_Z by [Sch20, Theorem 2(1)].

For the second claim, note that by [Sch20, Proposition 25], there are decompositions $H^2(X,\mathbb{R}) = V_X^+ \oplus V_X^-$ and $H^2(Z,\mathbb{R}) = V_Z^+ \oplus V_Z^-$ which are q_X- and q_Z-orthogonal, respectively, such that the restrictions $q_X|_{V_X^+}$ and $q_Z|_{V_Z^+}$ (resp. $q_X|_{V_X^-}$ and $q_Z|_{V_Z^-}$) are positive-definite (resp. negative-definite) for q_X and q_Z. For $\sigma \in H^{2,0}(X) \cong H^{2,0}(Z)$, the positive parts can be described by $V_X^+ = V_Z^+ = \langle\mathrm{Re}(\sigma), \mathrm{im}(\sigma), \alpha\rangle$ for some ample class $\alpha \in H^2(X,\mathbb{R}) \subset H^2(Z,\mathbb{R})$. We can extend V_X^+ to $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ to a 3-dimension real space $(V_X^+)' \subset \mathrm{IH}^2(X)$ for which Q_X is positive-definite. It is now immediate that the signature must be $(3, B_2 - 3)$, as having an isotropic vector subspace in $(\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{R}), Q_X)$ would necessarily lower the rank of $(V_X^+)'$. One can define a Q_X-orthogonal decomposition $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{R}) = (V_X^+)' \oplus (V_X^-)'$ as in [Sch20]. The negative-definite part is given by

$$(V_X^-)' = \alpha^\perp \cap \mathrm{IH}^{1,1}(X) \cap \mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{R}),$$

and the proof, which is entirely linear algebraic on the Hodge structure, is the same as for the case of $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$.
$\square$

5.2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.— We now want to prove the LLV structure theorem for intersection cohomology. The LLV algebra is defined as in the smooth case: Recall that a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ is HL if it satisfies hard Lefschetz.

By Proposition 5.7, the BBF form on $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ descends to $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$, and we get a rational quadratic vector space $(\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), Q_X)$.

Theorem 5.9. *If X is a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$, the intersection LLV algebra satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}((\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), Q_X) \oplus \mathfrak{h}).$$

Proof. First suppose that X is projective. Since X has canonical singularities, it admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization $\phi\colon Z \to X$ by [BCHM10]. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_Z$ the LLV algebra on Z. Since ϕ satisfies reflexive pullback of differentials, see (2.2), Z has at worst isolated singularities. By Theorem 5.4,

$$\mathfrak{g}_Z \cong \langle L_\beta, \Lambda_\beta \mid q_Z(\beta) \neq 0\rangle \cong \mathfrak{so}((H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), q_Z) \oplus \mathfrak{h}).$$

Suppose $\alpha \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ is any class which satisfies hard Lefschetz. Since ϕ is semismall, then the pullback $\phi^*\alpha$, which exists since X has rational singularities, must also satisfy hard Lefschetz. Note that this means $q_Z(\phi^*\alpha) \neq 0$ by Proposition 2.11, and so $Q_X(\alpha) \neq 0$ by Lemma 5.6. It follows that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \langle L_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha \mid Q_X(\alpha) \neq 0 \rangle.$$

By [dCM05, Remark 4.4.3], it follows that Λ_α is a direct summand of $\Lambda_{\phi^*\alpha}$ as Λ_α is uniquely determined by the commutator relation $[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha] = (k-2n)\,\mathrm{id}$. By definition, then $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}_Z$, which is a *canonical* injection, and the L_α, Λ_α satisfy all the same commutator relations as the $L_{\phi^*\alpha}, \Lambda_{\phi^*\alpha}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}$ is just the restriction of $\mathfrak{g}_Z \cong \mathfrak{so}((H^2(Z,\mathbb{Q}), q_Z) \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ to the subspace generated by the hard Lefschetz operators corresponding to the elements of $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{C})$. Since $q_Z|_{\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q})} = Q_X$ by Lemma 5.6, it follows that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}((\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), Q_X) \oplus \mathfrak{h})$.

In general, the total Lie algebra is a locally trivial diffeomorphism invariant, and so the Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{g}$ is preserved under small deformations. Since a general locally trivial deformation of X is projective, see [BL22, Corollary 6.11], the result follows. □

The proof indicates that any primitive symplectic variety which admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization with isolated singularities also satisfies the LLV structure theorem. This, for example, holds in dimension 4 by Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 5.10. *If X is a primitive symplectic variety of dimension 4 and $b_2 \geq 5$, then there is an isomorphism* $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}((\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), Q_X) \oplus \mathfrak{h})$.

Another interesting consequence of the proof of Theorem 5.9 is in the case $b_2 = 4$, where [BL22, Theorem 1.1] does not apply. If such an X is *non-terminal*, then the inclusion $\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H^2(Z,\mathbb{Q})$ of a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorialization must be strict, and so $\dim H^2(Z,\mathbb{Q}) \geq 5$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_Z \cong \mathfrak{so}((H^2(Z,\mathbb{Q}), q_Z) \oplus \mathfrak{h})$, and $\alpha \in H^2(Z,\mathbb{Q})$ is HL if and only if $q_Z \neq 0$. We get the following.

Corollary 5.11. *If X is a non-terminal projective primitive symplectic variety and $b_2 = 4$, then*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \langle L_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha \mid Q_X(\alpha) \neq 0 \rangle \cong \mathfrak{so}((\mathrm{IH}^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), Q_X) \oplus \mathfrak{h}).$$

Remark 5.12. As indicated in the introduction, we note that our proof gives an algebraic proof of the LLV structure theorem for compact hyperkähler manifolds with $b_2 \geq 5$. We note that by Verbitsky's global Torelli theorem (which depends on the existence of twistor deformations), the monodromy groups $\mathrm{Mon}(X) \subset O(\Gamma)$ are always finite-index subgroups, and so $G_X \subset \mathrm{SO}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$ is Zariski dense (see [BL22, Remark 8.12] for more details). The proof of Theorem 5.2 follows through for any b_2 in the smooth case.

5.3. Holomorphic Symplectic Orbifolds

The methods of this paper show that the LLV structure theorem can be extended to any primitive symplectic variety X for which the Hodge filtration on (intersection) cohomology is generated by the symplectic form. One other case where this can be seen is when X has at worst quotient singularities. If X is a primitive symplectic orbifold, then we have the following:

(1) $\mathrm{IH}^*(X,\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$ since X is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology manifold; see [HTT08, Proposition 8.2.21]. In particular, $H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies hard Lefschetz, and we may define the total Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.
(2) The Hodge filtration on $H^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ is induced by a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} := H^q\left(X, \Omega_X^{[p]}\right) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(X,\mathbb{C}),$$

which is known classically by Steenbrink [Ste77, Section 1.6], but see also [SVV23, Corollary 4.3] and [Tig23a, Theorem 7.2] for an argument using du Bois complexes.

We therefore get the symmetry

$$H^{n-p,q}(X) \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^{n+p,q}(X)$$

induced by the symplectic form, and the Hodge filtration is generated by the class of the symplectic form. We conclude the following from the methods of Section 5.

Proposition 5.13. *If X is a primitive symplectic orbifold, then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}((H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}), q_X) \oplus \mathfrak{h}).$$

Proof. From the discussion above, the only thing to remark is that we can drop the assumption that $b_2 \geq 5$ (see also Section 5.4). In this case, we can use Menet's global Torelli theorem for holomorphic symplectic orbifolds [Men20, Theorem 1.1] and note that the surjectivity of the period map is sufficient to prove Theorem 2.6 (see [BL22, Remark 8.12]), and so Theorem 5.2, Proposition 5.3, and Theorem 5.4 extend to this setting. □

5.4. A Remark on $b_2 < 5$

Our methods leave open the case $b_2 = 3$, and $b_2 = 4$ in the terminal case. It is not known if there exists a compact hyperkähler manifold with $b_2 = 3$ or 4, but Verbitsky's global Torelli theorem predicts that the cohomology is completely described by its structure as an $\mathfrak{so}(b_2 - 2, 4)$-representation. When $b_2 = 3$, this is exactly the action of $\mathfrak{so}(4,1)$ coming from the twistor deformation.

In the singular world, there is a primitive symplectic variety with $b_2 = 3$. It is obtained by taking the Fano variety of lines of a special cubic 4-fold admitting an automorphism of order 11; see [Mon13]. The induced automorphism is symplectic, and the resulting quotient has the desired Betti number; see [FM21, Section 5.2]. In this case, the action of $\mathfrak{so}(4,1)$ exists since symplectic orbifolds admit twistor deformations; see [Men20, Theorem 5.4].

It is unknown if there exists a primitive symplectic variety with $b_2 = 4$.

Of course, $\mathrm{IH}^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ inherits the structure of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation by hard Lefschetz. The symplectic hard Lefschetz theorem gives intersection cohomology the structure of a representation of a larger Lie algebra with more symmetries.

Proposition 5.14. *If X is a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities, then $\mathrm{IH}^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ inherits the structure of an $\mathfrak{so}(4)$-representation. Moreover, the Hodge filtration on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ induced by σ is completely determined by $\mathfrak{so}(4)$.*

Proof. The hard Lefschetz theory for the pair $(\sigma, \overline{\sigma})$ (see Section 4.1) induces two structures on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ via the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples $\mathfrak{g}_\sigma = \langle L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma, H_\sigma \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{\sigma}} = \langle L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}, H_{\overline{\sigma}} \rangle$. Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.6 imply that the operators

$$L_\sigma, L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_\sigma, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}, H_\sigma, H_{\overline{\sigma}}$$

are linearly independent. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma,\overline{\sigma}}$ generated by these six operators is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(4)$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma,\overline{\sigma}}$ contains the Weil operator

$$(5.3) \qquad\qquad C_\sigma = i(p-q)\,\mathrm{id} = i(H_\sigma - H_{\overline{\sigma}}) = i([L_\sigma, \Lambda_\sigma] - [L_{\overline{\sigma}}, \Lambda_{\overline{\sigma}}]),$$

and so the Hodge filtration on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ is completely predicted by $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma,\overline{\sigma}}$. □

Therefore, the Hodge structure on intersection cohomology on any primitive symplectic variety is detected by the symplectic hard Lefschetz theorem, with no restriction on b_2.

6. Representation Theory and Hodge Theory of the LLV Algebra

6.1. Verbitsky Component of $IH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$

Let X be a compact hyperkähler manifold. The LLV algebra gives the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ the structure of a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation. This structure has been extensively studied in [GKLR22] for the known cases of compact hyperkähler manifolds and has been used to produce bounds on b_2 in low dimensions, see [Gua01, Saw22, Kur15], and conjecturally in all dimensions; see [KL20].

We wish to extend some well-known results on the representation theory of the LLV algebra action on the intersection cohomology module $IH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ of a primitive symplectic variety X. We believe that the $\mathfrak{g}$-structure on $IH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ can restrict both the number and types of singularities that primitive symplectic varieties can admit, which will be explored in future work. The first step is to understand the *Verbitsky component*, which is the submodule of $IH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ generated by $IH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$. Then the submodule $SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset IH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ generated by $IH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module of $Sym^* H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. The proof is nearly identical to the smooth case; see [Ver96, Theorem 1.7] and also [GKLR22, Theorem 2.15]. Consider the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \langle L_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha | Q_X(\alpha) \neq 0 \rangle = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathbb{Q} \cdot H) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2},$$

which exists by restricting the decomposition (5.1) of a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization, after possibly passing to a locally trivial deformation. The semisimple part $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ of the degree 0 part of the LLV algebra acts on $SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ as it acts by derivations on cup product. Clearly, the weight operators H and L_α for $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ act on $SIH^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. To see that Λ_α acts on $SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$, let $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k \in SH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be any element, and note that

$$\Lambda_\alpha(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) = [L_{\alpha_1}, \Lambda_\alpha](\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_k) - L_{\alpha_1}(\Lambda_{\alpha_1}\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_k).$$

The result follows by induction on k and the fact that $[L_{\alpha_1}, \Lambda_\alpha] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Now we may consider $SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ as a representation of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(IH^2(X, \mathbb{C}), Q_X)$. Just as in [Ver96, Section 15], we see that

$$SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{2k} = \begin{cases} Sym^k IH^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), & k \leq n, \\ Sym^{2n-k} IH^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), & k > n, \end{cases}$$

which depends only on the representation theory of $\mathfrak{so}(IH^2(X, \mathbb{C}), Q_X)$. Therefore,

$$SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = Sym^n IH^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \left(Sym^{n-k} IH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})\right)^{\oplus 2}.$$

It then follows that $SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ extends to $\mathfrak{g}$ as an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-representation due to the "branching rules" for special orthogonal groups; see [GKLR22, Section B.2]. □

We also get the following description of $SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$, due to Bogomolov [Bog96] in the smooth case. A similar description was given for a general primitive symplectic variety in terms of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ in [BL22, Proposition 5.11].

Proposition 6.2. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$. Then*

$$SIH^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = Sym^* IH^2(X, \mathbb{Q})/\langle \alpha^{n+1} | Q_X(\alpha) = 0 \rangle.$$

The proof is completely algebraic, and the main input is the following standard lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *Let (H, Q) be a complex vector space with a non-degenerate quadratic form Q, and let A be a graded quotient of* $\operatorname{Sym}^* H$ *by a graded ideal I^* such that*

(1) $A^{2n} \neq 0$,
(2) $I^* \supset \langle \alpha^{n+1} | \, Q_X(\alpha) = 0 \rangle$.

Then $I^* = \langle \alpha^{n+1} | \, Q_X(\alpha) = 0 \rangle$.

Proof of Proposition 6.2. Lemma 6.3 applies to $(\operatorname{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C}), Q_X)$ and $A = \operatorname{SIH}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ by Theorem 6.1. Note that $A^{2n} \supset \operatorname{IH}^{2n,2n}(X)$ is non-zero. The problem is invariant under small deformations, so we may assume that X admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization $\phi \colon Z \to X$. There is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\operatorname{Sym}^* H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Sym}^* H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q})/\langle \alpha^{n+1} | \, q_Z(\alpha) = 0 \rangle & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{SH}^2(Z, \mathbb{Q}) \\
\uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
\operatorname{Sym}^* \operatorname{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) & & \longrightarrow & & \operatorname{SIH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}).
\end{array}
$$

The natural map $\operatorname{Sym}^* H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{SH}^2(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ factors through $\operatorname{Sym}^* H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q})/\langle \alpha^{n+1} | \, q_Z(\alpha) = 0 \rangle$ by [BL22, Proposition 5.11]. Therefore, the bottom map must factor as

$$\operatorname{Sym}^* \operatorname{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^* \operatorname{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})/\langle \alpha^{n+1} | \, Q_X(\alpha) = 0 \rangle \longrightarrow \operatorname{SIH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

by Lemma 5.6. If this map were not injective, then Lemma 6.3 would imply that the kernel would contain the rational cohomology class corresponding to the generator of $\operatorname{IH}^{2n,2n}(X) = (\sigma + \overline{\sigma})^{2n}$, which does not vanish. This finishes the proof. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 6.4. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$ with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$. For every $k \leq n$, there is an injection*

$$\operatorname{Sym}^k \operatorname{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{IH}^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

6.2. Kuga–Satake Construction on the Cohomology of Primitive Symplectic Varieties

The Kuga–Satake construction, see [KS67], associates to a polarized Hodge structure $H = H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of K3 type a complex torus T for which H is a sub-Hodge structure of $\operatorname{Hom}(H_1(T), H_1(T))(1)$. The construction associates to the weight 2 Hodge structure H (with its bilinear form) its *Clifford algebra* $C(H)$. There is an induced complex structure on $C(H) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and one can show that the quotient $C(H) \otimes \mathbb{R}/C(H)$ is a complex torus, which is in fact an abelian variety in the case that H is the second cohomology of a projective K3 surface.

Understanding the geometric connection between varieties admitting Hodge structures of K3 type and the Kuga–Satake construction is a difficult problem, as the Mumford–Tate group of

$$\operatorname{Hom}(H_1(T), H_1(T))(1) \cong H^1(T) \otimes H^1(T)$$

is highly restricted, while the Mumford–Tate group associated to a Hodge structure of K3 type can be quite large (see Section 6.3). In special cases, it has been observed that the Kuga–Satake construction for K3 surfaces is related to the Hodge conjecture; see [vGe00]. It is therefore interesting to understand the geometry of the Kuga–Satake construction, as well as generalizations to Hodge structures of higher weights.

In [KSV19], Kurnosov–Soldatenkov–Verbitsky observe that there is a multidimensional Kuga–Satake construction on the cohomology ring of a compact hyperkähler manifold X. Namely, associated to X are a complex torus T, a non-negative integer l, and embeddings

$$\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\operatorname{tot}}(T), \quad \Psi \colon H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow H^{*+l}(T, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\operatorname{tot}}(T)$ is the total Lie algebra of T. Here, the embedding Ψ is a morphism with respect to the induced structures as $\mathfrak{g}$-representations (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{\operatorname{tot}}(T)$-representations). Fixing a complex structure on X makes Ψ a morphism of Hodge structures.

Using the existence of the LLV algebra, we outline how the Kuga–Satake construction holds for intersection cohomology, further demonstrating how unique the geometry of primitive symplectic varieties is.

6.2.1. LLV embedding.— Consider a finite-dimensional complex vector space H and a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form Q. Let T^*H denote the tensor algebra, and let $\mathfrak{a} \subset T^*H$ be the ideal generated by elements of the form

$$v \otimes v - Q(v,v), \quad v \in H.$$

The *Clifford algebra* of (H, Q) is $C = C(H, Q) := T^*H/\mathfrak{a}$.

The main technical result of [KSV19] is the following.

Theorem 6.5 (*cf.* [KSV19, Theorem 3.14]). *If (H, Q) is any quadratic vector space and W is any representation of $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{so}(\tilde{H}, \tilde{Q})$, where $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{Q})$ is the Mukai completion of (H, Q), then there is a $C(H, Q)$-module V with an invariant symmetric bilinear form τ such that $\bigwedge^\bullet V^*$ contains W as a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule.*

The construction is seen by applying Theorem 6.5 to $(\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C}), Q_X)$, where X is a primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$. We let $W = \mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{C})$, which is a $\mathfrak{g}$-representation by Theorem 5.9. Then there exists a $C = C(\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C}), Q_X)$-module V with an embedding

$$\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^2 V^*$$

and an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules

$$\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^\bullet V^*.$$

Now $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a grading on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ and $\bigwedge^\bullet V^*$, whence we have a degree l morphism

(6.1) $$\psi \colon \mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^{\bullet + l} V^*$$

of graded vector spaces for some l. If we take $T = V/\Gamma$ for some lattice $\Gamma \subset V$, we get an embedding $\Psi \colon H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow H^{*+l}(T, \mathbb{C})$ by (6.1).

As we have seen, the Hodge structure on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ is detected by the Weil operators $C_\sigma \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, which are determined once we fix a point $[\sigma] \in \Omega$ in the period domain. Let $\mu \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{R}), Q_X)$ be the corresponding skew-symmetric matrix of rank 2. Let $W'_\sigma = \langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle$ be the positive two-space corresponding to σ, where $\gamma = \Re(\sigma), \gamma' = \Im(\sigma)$ as in Section 4.2. Then $\mu = \gamma\gamma' \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \subset C$. It acts trivially on the orthogonal complement to W_σ, and $\mu^2 = -1$ in the Clifford algebra. Thus μ defines a complex structure on $H^1(T, \mathbb{R})$, noting that T is *smooth*.

Finally, since T is a complex torus, the Hodge structures on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(T, \mathbb{Q})$ are both determined by the symplectic hard Lefschetz theorem (see Section 3), which is compatible with the choice C_σ. Therefore, the morphism Ψ is compatible with the Hodge structures.

6.2.2. Polarized Kuga–Satake construction.— Just as in the case of compact hyperkähler manifolds, the existence of a polarization in (intersection) cohomology induces a polarization on the complex torus T described above.

Let X be a projective primitive symplectic variety and $b_2 \geq 5$. Suppose that $h \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is an ample class on X, and let $h^\perp \subset \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the orthogonal complement of h with respect to the intersection BBF form Q_X. The torus T is a quotient of some V, where (as in [KSV19]), $V = V_1^{\oplus N}$ with $V_1 \cong C = C(\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{R}), Q_X)$.

Constructing the polarization on T is done as follows; see [KSV19, Section 4.2]. It is enough to construct a polarization on $C_h := C(h^\perp, q|_{h^\perp})$. Note that $(h^\perp, q_\perp)$ is of signature $(2, k)$ for some k. Let $W_h = \langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle \subset h^\perp$ be the subspace where $q|_{h^\perp}$ is positive. By local Torelli, we may assume that $q|_{h^\perp}(\gamma, \gamma') = 0$. Consider the product $a = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \in C(h^\perp, q|_{h^\perp})$. For any $x, y \in C(h^\perp, q|_{h^\perp})$, we define

$$\sigma_a(x, y) := \mathrm{Tr}(xa\overline{y}),$$

where Tr is the trace map corresponding to the algebra C and $\overline{y}$ is the operator

$$\overline{y} = \alpha\beta(y),$$

where α is the natural parity involution on the Clifford algebra and β is the anti-automorphism which sends a tensor $y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_k$ to $y_k \otimes \cdots \otimes y_1$.

By [KSV19, Proposition 4.2], either σ_a or $-\sigma_a$ is a polarization on $C(h^\perp, q|_{h^\perp})$. The proof holds here, as the statement holds for any quadratic vector space (H, q).

6.3. The Mumford–Tate Algebra

There is a connection between the Mumford–Tate group of the intersection cohomology of a primitive symplectic variety and the LLV algebra. In the compact hyperkähler case, this was studied in [GKLR22, Section 2]. Given the LLV structure theorem, similar results follow through with only minor adjustments. For convenience, we reference *loc. cit.* to indicate the corresponding statement in the hyperkähler setting.

Definition 6.6. Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure. The *special Mumford–Tate algebra* $\overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(V)$ of V is the smallest $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ such that $\overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(V)_\mathbb{R}$ contains the Weil operator $C = i(p - q)\,\mathrm{id}$. The *Mumford–Tate algebra* is defined as

$$\mathfrak{mt}_0(V) = \overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(V) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \cdot H,$$

where H is the weight operator.

Proposition 6.7. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety with at worst $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} = \overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}))$ be the special Mumford–Tate algebra of the pure Hodge structure $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, with equality if X is very general.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [GKLR22, Proposition 2.38], although the main input is that the Weil operator C_σ with respect to a (fixed) Hodge structure on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is contained in the semisimple part $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. The proof of *loc. cit.* uses the hyperkähler structure, so we indicate how this works algebraically.

Recall that if we fix the point $[\sigma] \in \Omega$ in the period domain, then there is a pair (γ, γ') of non-isotropic classes in $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $[L_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = C_\sigma$; see Corollary 4.7. We also saw that by the surjectivity of the period map with respect to H^2, this pair completes to a positive three-space

$$W_\sigma = \langle \alpha, \gamma, \gamma' \rangle \cong \mathfrak{so}(4, 1).$$

It follows that the operators satisfy $[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\gamma] = [L_\alpha, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = C_\sigma$ as well. Using the commutativity of the dual Lefschetz operators, one can show that

$$C_\sigma = [L_\gamma, \Lambda_{\gamma'}] = -\frac{1}{2}\Big[[L_\alpha, \Lambda_\gamma], [L_\alpha, \Lambda_{\gamma'}]\Big] \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}};$$

see [GKLR22, Proposition 2.24] for the computation. This shows that $\overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q})) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_\mathbb{Q}$ since $\overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}))_\mathbb{R}$ is the smallest subalgebra to contain the Weil operator C_σ.

For the statement regarding a general primitive symplectic variety, the proof follows as in [GKLR22, Proposition 2.38], and we sketch the main details. The key observation is to notice that the special Mumford–Tate group of any $\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is

$$(6.2) \qquad\qquad\qquad \overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q})) = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

This follows as the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$-module structure on $\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is determined by the composition

$$\rho_k \colon \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{Q})) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q})).$$

In the smooth case, this map is shown to be injective; see [GKLR22, Corollary 2.36]. This follows in the singular case, however, since the proof only depends on the representation theory of the Verbitsky component $V_{(n)} := \mathrm{SH}^2(X, \mathbf{C})$ (this is [GKLR22, Proposition 2.35]), which is identical to the smooth case by

Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.2. It follows that $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\rho_k(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ are isomorphic. Since $\overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(\mathrm{IH}^k(X,\mathbb{Q}))$ is the smallest $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic subgroup such that $\rho_k(C_\sigma) \in \overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(\mathrm{IH}^k(X,\mathbb{Q}))$, we see that (6.2) holds.

By the Noether–Lefschetz theory of period domains of Hodge structures of hyperkähler type (see [GGK12]), it follows that a very general primitive symplectic variety with $b_2 \geq 5$ must satisfy

$$\overline{\mathfrak{mt}}(H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})) \cong \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}),q_X),$$

noting that $H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies the local Torelli theorem; see [BL22, Proposition 5.5]. □

7. Weak $P = W$ for Primitive Symplectic Varieties

One of the more interesting applications of the LLV algebra for compact hyperkähler manifolds involves the $P = W$ conjecture. Given a degeneration $\mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ of a compact hyperkähler manifold X, the cohomology groups $H^k(X,\mathbb{C})$ inherit a weight filtration from the limit mixed Hodge structure on the unique singular fiber. There is an induced (logarithmic) monodromy operator $N \in \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}),q) \cong \overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, which is nilpotent of index either 2 or 3. We say a degeneration is of type III if N has index 3.

Any compact hyperkähler manifold admits a type III degeneration; see [Sol20]. The $P = W$ conjecture for Lagrangian fibrations states that the induced weight filtration from the limit mixed Hodge structure agrees with the perverse filtration when X admits a Lagrangian fibration. This was answered positively in [HLSY21] by showing that the data of these filtrations agree with the Hodge filtration induced from a positive three-space W_g corresponding to a hyperkähler metric g.

We can form an analog of the $P = W$ conjecture for primitive symplectic varieties admitting a Lagrangian fibration, relating the data of a Lagrangian fibration to the filtration induced by the logarithmic monodromy operator N of a type III degeneration. We expect that the filtration induced by N agrees with a limit mixed Hodge structure for intersection cohomology, although there is a subtle issue describing the intersection cohomology in terms of a variation of pure Hodge structures rather than the underlying pure Hodge module. This will be explored in future work.

7.1. Perverse = Hodge

The LLV algebra for a compact hyperkähler manifold detects the information of a Lagrangian fibration. We outline how some of these results hold in the case of primitive symplectic varieties, which is based purely on the work of Shen–Yin [SY22]; see also [HM22] for a survey and [FSY22] in the case of primitive symplectic varieties admitting a symplectic resolution.

7.1.1. Perverse filtration on the cohomology of a Lagrangian fibration.— Throughout this section, we assume that X is a primitive symplectic variety of dimension $2n$, admitting a Lagrangian fibration $f \colon X \to B$ to a projective base B of dimension n.

Given a projective morphism $f \colon X \to B$, there is a natural filtration on the cohomology of X induced from the images of the truncated complexes of the perverse t-structure associated to the morphism f:

$$P_m H^k(X,\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{im}\left(\mathbb{H}^{k-2n}(B, {}^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau_{\leq m}(\mathbf{R}f_*(\mathcal{IC}_X \otimes \mathbb{C})[-2n])) \longrightarrow H^k(X,\mathbb{C})\right).$$

The filtration is completely determined by an ample class on the base B. Indeed, if $\alpha \in H^2(B,\mathbb{R})$ is ample and $\beta = f^*\alpha$, then

$$P_m H^k(X,\mathbb{C}) = \sum_i \left(\ker\left(L_\beta^{2n+m+i-k}\right) \cap \mathrm{im}\left(L_\beta^{i-1}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{IH}^k(X,\mathbb{C}),$$

where L_β is the cupping operator, see [dCM05, Proposition 5.2.4].

By the Fujiki relations on the BBF form q_X, the pullback β is q_X-isotropic and therefore Q_X-isotropic. For any isotropic class $\mu \in \mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, we may define an analogous filtration

$$P_m^\mu H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \sum_i \left(\ker\left(L_\mu^{2n+m+i-k}\right) \cap \mathrm{im}\left(L_\mu^{i-1}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Lemma 7.1. *For any Q_X-isotropic classes μ_1, μ_2, we have*

$$\dim P_m^{\mu_1} \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \dim P_m^{\mu_2} \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. For classes $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$, this is [FSY22, Proposition 1.2], and so the lemma holds in the $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial case. By taking a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization $\phi \colon Z \to X$ (noting that X is projective), we see that $\dim P_m^{\phi^* \mu_1} H^k(Z, \mathbb{C}) = \dim P_m^{\phi^* \mu_2} H^k(Z, \mathbb{C})$. But this implies the statement of the theorem as $\phi \colon Z \to X$ is semismall. Indeed, we must have that ${}^{\mathrm{p}}\mathcal{H}^j(\phi_* \mathcal{IC}_Z[2n]) = 0$ for every $j \neq 0$, so the induced perverse filtration with respect to the morphism $\phi \colon Z \to X$ is trivial, and the dimension of the perverse filtration will be determined by the pullback. $\square$

Now consider the filtration $P_m^{\overline{\sigma}} \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ associated to the antiholomorphic symplectic form $\overline{\sigma}$. It is an increasing filtration which detects the Hodge filtration by Theorem 3.5. Specifically,

$$P_m^{\overline{\sigma}} \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p \leq m} \mathrm{IH}^{p, m-p}(X).$$

We therefore see that the Hodge numbers equal the perverse Hodge numbers:

$$\dim \mathrm{IH}^{p,q}(X) = {}^{\mathrm{p}} h^{p,q} := \dim \mathrm{gr}_p^P \mathrm{IH}^m(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

7.1.2. A Lefschetz class corresponding to (β, η).— As in the smooth case, the data of a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal primitive symplectic variety admitting Lagrangian fibration is encoded in the LLV algebra; see [SY22, HLSY21]. Let β be as above (which is q_X-isotropic by the Fujiki relations), and let $\eta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be an f-relative ample class. By replacing η with a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of η and β as needed, we may assume $q_X(\eta) = 0$. By global Torelli, there is a class $\rho \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$q_X(\rho) > 0, \quad q_X(\eta, \rho) = q_X(\beta, \rho) = 0.$$

The corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\rho$ generated by the simultaneous $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples induced by ρ, β, η naturally sits inside $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X, \mathbb{C}), q_X)$; see [HLSY21, Equation (5)]. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\rho$ inherits $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ with the structure of an $\mathfrak{so}(5)$-representation.

By [SY22, Proposition 1.1], there is a canonical splitting of the perverse filtration:

$$(7.1) \qquad P_l^\beta \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=l} P^{p,q}.$$

We note that the proof is stated for compact hyperkähler manifolds, but it is completely algebraic. The contents of Sections 4 and 5 immediately imply (7.1).

7.2. Degenerations

Soldatenkov's proof of the existence of maximally unipotent degenerations is based purely on lattice theory and knowledge of the period domain of compact hyperkähler manifolds. As primitive symplectic varieties satisfy global Torelli, the existence of degenerations for locally trivial families will follow exactly as in the smooth case.

Definition 7.2. Let X be a primitive symplectic variety. A *degeneration* of X is a flat proper morphism $g \colon \mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ of complex analytic spaces such that

(1) for some $t \in \Delta^*$, the fiber satisfies $\mathscr{X}_t \cong X$;
(2) the restriction $g' \colon \mathscr{X}^* \to \Delta^*$ is a locally trivial deformation; and

(3) the monodromy action on the $H^2(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathbb{Q})$ is unipotent and non-trivial.

We say that a degeneration is *projective* if g is a projective morphism.

If g is a degeneration of X, then every fiber $\mathscr{X}_t$ is also primitive symplectic, and each fiber is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal if X is. Moreover, $R^2 g'_* \mathbb{Z}$, where $g' \colon \mathscr{X}^* \to \Delta^*$ is the restriction, is a local system as we restrict ourselves to locally trivial deformations. In particular, we get a variation of pure Hodge structures $\mathscr{V}$, where each fiber is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong H^2(\mathscr{X}_t, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. If $h \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the class of a polarization, let $\mathscr{V}^h$ be the q_X-orthogonal complement of the bilinear pairing induced by the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form on $\mathscr{V}$. It forms a local system with fiber equal to the q-complement of h, that is, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^h$.

7.2.1. Limit mixed Hodge structure for intersection cohomology.

The weight filtration induced by a degeneration of primitive symplectic varieties is a consequence of Schmid's work on the *limit mixed Hodge structure*; see [Sch73]. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be an (integral) variation of pure Hodge structures over Δ^* admitting a maximally unipotent monodromy operator T, and let $V_0 = \overline{\mathscr{V}}_0$ be the fiber of the unique extension of $\mathscr{V}$ over Δ. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the corresponding log-monodromy operator N defines a unique increasing weight filtration $W_k^\bullet$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) $N W_k^j \subset W_k^{j-2}$ for $j \geq 2$, and
(2) the induced map $N^l \colon \mathrm{gr}_{j+l}^{W_k} V_0 \to \mathrm{gr}_{j-l}^{W_k} V_0$ is an isomorphism for all $l \geq 0$;

see [Sch73, Lemma 6.4]. In particular, the triple $(V_0, W_k^\bullet, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_0^\bullet)$ gives rise to a mixed Hodge structure, where $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet$ is a holomorphic extension of the Hodge bundle $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ underlying $\mathscr{V}$.

The main application of the nilpotent weight filtration is on the cohomology of X induced by a degeneration of compact Kähler manifolds. Extending Proposition 2.12, we can describe a limit mixed Hodge structure on the intersection cohomology of the central fiber of a degeneration $g \colon \mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ of a primitive symplectic variety X. By definition, the locally trivial family $g' \colon \mathscr{X}^* \to \Delta^*$ admits a simultaneous resolution of singularities $f' \colon \mathscr{Y}^* \to \Delta^*$; see [BL22, Lemma 4.9]. For each k, let $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}^k$ be the variation of pure Hodge structures with local system $R^k f'_* \mathbb{Q}_{\mathscr{Y}}$. If $\mathbb{IH}^k$ is the local system determined by the intersection cohomology of the fibers $(g')^{-1}(t)$, the decomposition theorem (see Proposition 2.8) implies that $\mathbb{IH}^k$ underlies a sub-variation of pure Hodge structures $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{X}}^k$ of $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}^k$. In particular, we have the following.

Definition-Theorem 7.3. *If $g \colon \mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ is a degeneration of a primitive symplectic variety X, there is a mixed Hodge structure, called the limit mixed Hodge structure, on the intersection cohomology $\mathrm{IH}^k(X_\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ of the canonical fiber X_∞.*

7.2.2. Existence of type III degenerations.

There is an induced monodromy transformation $\lambda \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{V}^h, q) \cong O(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^h, q)$ which, by definition, must be of the form $\lambda = e^N$, where $N \in \mathfrak{so}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^h, q)$, and, by [Sch73, Theorem 6.1], must be of index 2 or 3. We say that a degeneration is *maximally unipotent*, or has *maximally unipotent monodromy*, if N is of index 3.

Proposition 7.4. *Let X be a primitive symplectic variety with at worst $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$. There exists a projective degeneration of X with maximally unipotent monodromy.*

Proof. The proof follows as in [Sol20, Section 4] almost verbatim, and so we only briefly indicate the main details. Since $b_2 \geq 5$ and $(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}, q)$ is of signature $(3, b_2 - 3)$ as in the smooth case, there exist a polarization $h \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and an endomorphism $N \in \mathfrak{so}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^h, q)$ of index 3; see [Sol20, Lemma 4.1]. Moreover, the restriction of q to the image of N is semi-positive with one-dimensional kernel.

Let Ω be the period domain with respect to $(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}, q)$, and let $\widehat{\Omega}$ be the compact dual. The polarization defines a period domain Ω^h with compact dual $\widehat{\Omega}^h$. For $N \in \mathfrak{so}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^h, q)$ and $x \in \widehat{\Omega}^h$, Soldatenkov defines the pair (N, x) to be a *nilpotent orbit* if $e^{itN} \in \Omega^h$ for every $t \gg 0$. Equivalently, see [Sol20, Lemma 4.4], (N, x) is a nilpotent orbit if and only if $q(Nx, N\overline{x}) > 0$, and the image of such points in $\widehat{\Omega}^h$ therefore defines

a non-empty open subset. For such a nilpotent monodromy operator N of index 3, let $\mathcal{N} = \{x \in \Omega^h \mid (N, x)$ is a nilpotent orbit$\}$. It is open and non-empty, whence we get an open subset of the period domain which corresponds to this nilpotent operator N. As in [Sol20, Theorem 4.6], this open subset predicts a degeneration $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ of X with logarithmic monodromy operator N. $\qquad\square$

7.3. Singular $P = W$ Theorem

We can now state a singular version of the Lagrangian $P = W$ conjecture, as follows.

Theorem 7.5. *Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal primitive symplectic variety with isolated singularities and $b_2 \geq 5$. If $f\colon X \to B$ is a Lagrangian fibration, the perverse filtration P^β on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the pullback of an ample class on B agrees with the weight filtration W_N on $\mathrm{IH}^*(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the logarithmic monodromy operator N of a type III degeneration $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ of X.*

Proof. The proof follows [HLSY21, Sections 9–11]. Note that by Proposition 2.17 and Lemma 5.6,

$$(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), q_X) = (\mathrm{IH}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q_X).$$

Let ρ, β, η be the triple associated to the Lagrangian fibration $f\colon X \to B$ (see Section 7.1.2), and let $\mathfrak{g}_\rho \cong \mathfrak{so}(5)$ be the Lie algebra associated to ρ. By [Sol20, Lemma 4.1], there is a nilpotent operator[3] $N_{\beta,\rho}$ of index 3 in $\mathfrak{so}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}), q_X)$ corresponding to the pair (β, ρ). By (5.2) and [KSV19, Lemma 3.9], we have $N_{\beta,\rho} = [L_\beta, \Lambda_\rho] \in \mathfrak{g}_\rho \subset \overline{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Let $W_N^\bullet$ be the weight filtration corresponding to the completion of the nilpotent operator $N_{\beta,\rho}$ to an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. By Proposition 7.4 and Section 7.2.1, $W_N^\bullet$ restricts to the weight filtration of the limit mixed Hodge structure $\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ of the corresponding degeneration $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ with logarithmic monodromy operator $N_{\rho,\beta}$. On the other hand, by local Torelli and Section 4, the isotropic pairs (β, η) induce an $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2$-action with weight decomposition

$$\mathrm{IH}^*(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p,q} H^{p,q}$$

such that the corresponding weight operators H_β, H_η satisfy

$$H_\beta|_{H^{p,q}} = (q - n)\,\mathrm{id}, \quad H_\eta|_{H^{p,q}} = (p - n)\,\mathrm{id}.$$

From the proof of Lemma 7.1, local Torelli, and the symplectic hard Lefschetz theory of Section 4.1, it follows that $H^{p,q} = P^{p,q}$, where the $P^{p,q}$ are the summands of the splitting (7.1). It follows that the perverse filtration restricted to $\mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ agrees with the weight filtration on $\mathrm{IH}^k(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})$. $\qquad\square$

References

[AV21] E. Amerik and M. Verbitsky, *Contraction centers in families of hyperkähler manifolds*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **27** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 60, `doi:10.1007/s00029-021-00677-8`.

[Ara90] D. Arapura, *Local cohomology of sheaves of differential forms and Hodge theory*, J. reine angew. Math. **409** (1990), 172–179, `doi:10.1515/crll.1990.409.172`.

[BL21] B. Bakker and C. Lehn, *A global Torelli theorem for singular symplectic varieties*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **23** (2021), no. 3, 949–994, `doi:10.4171/jems/1026`.

[BL22] ———, *The global moduli theory of symplectic varieties*, J. reine angew. Math. **790** (2022), 223–265, `doi:10.1515/crelle-2022-0033`.

---

[3]The proof uses Meyer's theorem on the lattice $(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), q_X)$, which also requires $b_2 \geq 5$.

[Bea00] A. Beauville, *Symplectic singularities*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), no. 3, 541–549, doi:10.1007/s002229900043.

[BBD82] A. A. Beĭlinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, In: *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I* (Luminy, 1981), pp. 5–171, Astérisque, vol. 100, Soc. Math. France, 1982.

[BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468, doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00649-3.

[Bog96] F. A. Bogomolov, *On the cohomology ring of a simple hyperkähler manifold (on the results of Verbitsky)*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 4, 612–618, doi:10.1007/BF02247113.

[dCM05] M. A. A. de Cataldo and L. Migliorini, *The Hodge theory of algebraic maps*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **38** (2005), no. 5, 693–750, doi:10.1016/j.ansens.2005.07.001.

[Del71] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **40** (1971), 5–57, http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1971__40__5_0.

[Del74] _____, *Théorie de Hodge. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **44** (1974), 5–77, http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1974__44__5_0.

[Dur95] A. H. Durfee, *Intersection homology Betti numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 4, 989–993, doi:10.2307/2160693.

[FSY22] C. Felisetti, J. Shen, and Q. Yin, *On intersection cohomology and Lagrangian fibrations of irreducible symplectic varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), no. 4, 2987–3001, doi:10.1090/tran/8592.

[FM21] L. Fu and G. Menet, *On the Betti numbers of compact holomorphic symplectic orbifolds of dimension four*, Math. Z. **299** (2021), no. 1-2, 203–231, doi:10.1007/s00209-020-02682-7.

[Fuj80] A. Fujiki, *Duality of mixed Hodge structures of algebraic varieties*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **16** (1980), no. 3, 635–667, doi:10.2977/prims/1195186924.

[Fur76] H. Furstenberg, *A note on Borel's density theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1976), no. 1, 209–212, doi:10.2307/2041874.

[vGe00] B. van Geemen, *Kuga-Satake varieties and the Hodge conjecture*, In: *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles* (Banff, AB, 1998), pp. 51–82, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 548, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.

[GGK12] M. Green, P. Griffiths, and M. Kerr, *Mumford-Tate groups and domains*, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 183, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.

[GKLR22] M. Green, Y.-J. Kim, R. Laza, and C. Robles, *The LLV decomposition of hyper-Kähler cohomology (the known cases and the general conjectural behavior)*, Math. Ann. **382** (2022), no. 3-4, 1517–1590, doi:10.1007/s00208-021-02238-y.

[GM80] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology theory*, Topology **19** (1980), no. 2, 135–162, doi:10.1016/0040-9383(80)90003-8.

[GM83] _____, *Intersection homology II*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 77–129, doi:10.1007/BF01389130.

[Gua01] D. Guan, *On the Betti numbers of irreducible compact hyperkähler manifolds of complex dimension four*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 5-6, 663–669, doi:10.4310/MRL.2001.v8.n5.a8.

[HLSY21]   A. Harder, Z. Li, J. Shen, and Q. Yin, *P = W for Lagrangian fibrations and degenerations of hyper-Kähler manifolds*, Forum Math. Sigma **9** (2021), Paper No. e50, `doi:10.1017/fms.2021.31`.

[HTT08]   R. Hotta, T. Takeuchi, and T. Tanisaki *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progr. Math., vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.

[Huy01]   D. Huybrechts, *Infinitesimal variation of harmonic forms and Lefschetz decomposition*, preprint arXiv:math/0102116 (2001).

[Huy16]   ———, *Lectures on K3 surfaces*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 158, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2016, `doi:10.1017/CBO9781316594193`.

[HM22]   D. Huybrechts and M. Mauri, *Lagrangian fibrations*, Milan J. Math. **90** (2022), no. 2, 459–483, `doi:10.1007/s00032-022-00349-y`.

[Kal06]   D. Kaledin, *Symplectic singularities from the Poisson point of view*, J. reine angew. Math. **600** (2006), 135–156, `doi:10.1515/CRELLE.2006.089`.

[Kal09]   ———, *Geometry and topology of symplectic resolutions*, In: *Algebraic geometry, Part 2: Seattle 2005*, pp. 595–628, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 80, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, `doi:10.1090/pspum/080.2/2483948`.

[KS24]   D. Kaplan and T. Schedler, *Crepant resolutions of stratified varieties via gluing*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2024**, no. 17, 12161–12200, `doi:10.1093/imrn/rnae135`.

[KS21]   S. Kebekus and C. Schnell, *Extending holomorphic forms from the regular locus of a complex space to a resolution of singularities*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (2021), no. 2, 315–368, `doi:10.1090/jams/962`.

[KL20]   Y.J. Kim and R. Laza, *A conjectural bound on the second Betti number for hyper-Kähler manifolds*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **148** (2020), no. 3, 467–480, `doi:10.24033/bsmf.2813`.

[Kle68]   S.L. Kleiman, *Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures*, In: *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, pp. 359–386, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 3, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1968.

[KM92]   J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Classification of three-dimensional flips*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 3, 533–703, `doi:10.2307/2152704`.

[KM98]   ———, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, (with the collaboration of C.H. Clemens and A. Corti; translated from the 1998 Japanese original), Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 134, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, `doi:10.1017/CBO9780511662560`.

[KS67]   M. Kuga and I. Satake, *Abelian varieties attached to polarized K_3-surfaces*, Math. Ann. **169** (1967), 239–242, `doi:10.1007/BF01399540`.

[Kur15]   N. Kurnosov, *Boundness of b_2 for hyperkähler manifolds with vanishing odd-Betti numbers*, preprint arXiv:1511.02838 (2015).

[KSV19]   N. Kurnosov, A. Soldatenkov, and M. Verbitsky, *Kuga-Satake construction and cohomology of hyperkähler manifolds*, Adv. Math. **351** (2019), 275–295, `doi:10.1016/j.aim.2019.04.060`.

[LL97]   E. Looijenga and V.A. Lunts, *A Lie algebra attached to a projective variety*, Invent. Math. **129** (1997), no. 2, 361–412, `doi:10.1007/s002220050166`.

[Mat01]   D. Matsushita, *Fujiki relation on symplectic varieties*, preprint arXiv:math/0109165 (2001).

[Mat15]   ———, *On base manifolds of Lagrangian fibrations*, Sci. China Math. **58** (2015), no. 3, 531–542, `doi:10.1007/s11425-014-4927-7`.

[Men20]   G. Menet, *Global Torelli theorem for irreducible symplectic orbifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **137** (2020), 213–237, `doi:10.1016/j.matpur.2020.03.010`.

[Mon13]   G. Mongardi, *On symplectic automorphisms of hyper-Kähler fourfolds of* K3$^{[2]}$ *type*, Michigan Math. J. **62** (2013), no. 3, 537–550, `doi:10.1307/mmj/1378757887`.

[MOP20]   M. Mustaţă, S. Olano, and M. Popa, *Local vanishing and Hodge filtration for rational singularities*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **19** (2020), no. 3, 801–819, `doi:10.1017/s1474748018000208`.

[MP22]   M. Mustaţă and M. Popa, *Hodge filtration on local cohomology, Du Bois complex and local cohomological dimension*, Forum Math. Pi **10** (2022), Paper No. e22, `doi:10.1017/fmp.2022.15`.

[Nam01a]   Y. Namikawa, *Deformation theory of singular symplectic n-folds*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), no. 3, 597–623, `doi:10.1007/PL00004451`.

[Nam01b]   ———, *Extension of 2-forms and symplectic varieties*, J. reine angew. Math. **539** (2001), 123–147, `doi:10.1515/crll.2001.070`.

[Nam01c]   ———, *A note on symplectic singularities*, preprint arXiv:math/0101028 (2001).

[Nam06]   ———, *On deformations of* Q*-factorial symplectic varieties*, J. reine angew. Math. **599** (2006), 97–110, `doi:10.1515/CRELLE.2006.079`.

[Sai88]   M. Saito, *Modules de Hodge polarisables*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988), no. 6, 849–995, `doi:10.2977/prims/1195173930`.

[Sai90]   ———, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), no. 2, 221–333, `doi:10.2977/prims/1195171082`.

[Saw22]   J. Sawon, *A bound on the second Betti number of hyperkähler manifolds of complex dimension six*, Eur. J. Math. **8** (2022), no. 3, 1196–1212, `doi:10.1007/s40879-021-00526-0`.

[Sch73]   W. Schmid, *Variation of Hodge structure: The singularities of the period mapping*, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), no. 3, 211–319.

[Sch20]   M. Schwald, *Fujiki relations and fibrations of irreducible symplectic varieties*, Épijournal Géom. Algébrique **4** (2020), Art. 7, `doi:10.46298/epiga.2020.volume4.4557`.

[SVV23]   W. Shen, S. Venkatesh, and A. D. Vo, *On k-Du Bois and k-rational singularities*, preprint arXiv:2306.03977 (2023).

[SY22]   J. Shen and Q. Yin, *Topology of Lagrangian fibrations and Hodge theory of hyper-Kähler manifolds* (with Appendix B by C. Voisin), Duke Math. J. **171** (2022), no. 1, 209–241, `doi:10.1215/00127094-2021-0010`.

[Sol20]   A. Soldatenkov, *Limit mixed Hodge structures of hyperkähler manifolds*, Mosc. Math. J. **20** (2020), no. 2, 423–436.

[Ste77]   J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology*, In: *Real and complex singularities* (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976), pp. 525–563, Sijthoff & Noordhoff Internat. Publ., Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977.

[Tig23a]   B. Tighe, *The holomorphic extension property for higher Du Bois singularities*, preprint arXiv:2312.01245 (2023).

[Tig23b]   ———, *The LLV algebra for primitive symplectic varieties and applications to the Du Bois complex*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Illinois at Chicago, 2023, available at `https://indigo.uic.edu/articles/thesis/The_LLV_Algebra_for_Primitive_Symplectic_Variet`

[Ver90]   M. Verbitsky, *Action of the Lie algebra of* SO(5) *on the cohomology of a hyperkähler manifold*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **24** (1990), no. 3, 70–71, `doi:10.1007/BF01077967`.

[Ver96]   ———, *Cohomology of compact hyperkähler manifolds and its applications*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 4, 601–611, `doi:10.1007/BF02247112`.

[Ver13]   ———, *Mapping class group and a global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds* (with Appendix A by E. Markman), Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 15, 2929–2986, `doi:10.1215/00127094-2382680`.

[Wie03]   J. Wierzba, *Contractions of symplectic varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **12** (2003), no. 3, 507–534, `doi:10.1090/S1056-3911-03-00318-7`.