

---

## Cohomology class of complex approximable algebras

Catriona Maclean

**Abstract.** In his work on arithmetic Fujita approximation, Huayi Chen introduces the notion of an approximable graded algebra, which he uses to prove a Fujita-type theorem in the arithmetic setting, and asks if any such algebra is the graded ring of a big line bundle on a projective variety. This was proved to be false by the author in a previous work. A subsequent paper showed that, whilst the approximable algebra is not necessarily a subalgebra of the algebra of graded sections of a big line bundle, it is a full-dimensional subalgebra of the algebra of sections of an infinite Weil divisor. This paper also proved that over  $\mathbb{C}$ , if an infinite Weil divisor has a finite numerical class, then its section ring is approximable, and the same is true for any full-dimensional subalgebra.

This note proves that the converse is true: any approximable algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  is associated to an infinite Weil divisor whose numerical class converges.

**Keywords.** Birational geometry, valuation theory, complex geometry

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 14E99

arXiv:2103.07120v3 [math.AG] 12 Feb 2024

---

Received by the Editors on January 9, 2023, and in final form on August 28, 2023.

Accepted on October 17, 2023.

Catriona Maclean

Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, CS 40700, 38058 Grenoble cedex 09, France

*e-mail:* [catriona.maclean@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr](mailto:catriona.maclean@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)

The author of this paper was supported by the ERC grant ALKAGE.

© by the author(s)

This work is licensed under <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

## Contents

1. <b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	2
2. <b>Notation and two preliminary results</b> . . . . .	3
3. <b>Finiteness of the cohomology class <math>[D(\mathbf{B})]</math></b> . . . . .	4
<b>References</b> . . . . .	6

## 1. Introduction

The Fujita approximation theorem, [Fuj94], is an important result in algebraic geometry. It states that whilst the section ring associated to a big line bundle  $L$  on an algebraic variety  $X$

$$R(L) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_m H^0(mL, X)$$

is typically not a finitely generated algebra, it can be approximated arbitrarily well by finitely generated algebras. More precisely, we have the following.

**Theorem 1.1** (Fujita). *Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety, and let  $L$  be a big line bundle on  $X$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a birational modification*

$$\pi: \hat{X} \longrightarrow X$$

*and a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors  $\pi^*(L) = A + E$  such that*

- *$A$  is ample and  $E$  is effective,*
- *$\text{vol}(A) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\text{vol}(L)$ .*

In [LM09], Lazarsfeld and Mustařa use the Newton–Okounkov body associated to  $A$  to give a simple proof of Fujita approximation.

In [Che10], Chen uses Lazarsfeld and Mustařa’s work on Fujita approximation to prove a Fujita-type approximation theorem in the arithmetic setting. In the course of this work, he defines the notion of approximable graded algebras, which are exactly those algebras for which a Fujita-type approximation theorem holds.

**Definition 1.2.** An integral graded algebra  $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_m B_m$  with  $B_0 = k$  a field is approximable if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) All the graded pieces  $B_m$  are finite-dimensional over  $k$ .
- (2) For all sufficiently large  $m$  the space  $B_m$  is non-empty.
- (3) For any  $\epsilon$  there exists a  $p_0$  such that for all  $p \geq p_0$  we have that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim(\text{Im}(S^n B_p \rightarrow B_{np}))}{\dim(B_{np})} > (1 - \epsilon).$$

In his paper [Che10], Chen asks whether any graded approximable algebra is in fact a subalgebra of the algebra of sections of a big line bundle. A counter-example to this is given in [Mac17a], where the graded approximable algebra is equal to the section ring of an *infinite* divisor.<sup>(1)</sup> The subsequent paper [Mac17b] shows that any approximable algebra is indeed a subalgebra of the section ring of such a divisor. Moreover, it is established that if  $X$  is a smooth complex algebraic variety of dimension  $d$  and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i D_i$  is an

<sup>(1)</sup>Infinite in this context means an infinite formal sum of Weil divisors with real coefficients  $\sum_i a_i D_i$ .

infinite Weil divisor on  $X$  such that the sum of divisor classes  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i [D_i]$  converges in the Néron–Severi space  $\text{NS}(X)$  to a finite real big cohomology class  $[D]$ , then the algebra  $\oplus_m H^0(mD)$  is approximable.

The main result of this note proves that the converse is true.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be an approximable algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $X(\mathbf{B})$  and  $D(\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i D_i$  be the smooth complex variety and infinite Weil divisor constructed in [Mac17b] such that  $\mathbf{B}$  is a full-dimensional subalgebra of the section ring of  $D(\mathbf{B})$ . The sum of cohomology classes  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i [D_i]$  in  $\text{NS}(X)$ , the Néron–Severi space of  $X$ , is then a convergent series.*

In Section 2, we set notation and recall such results from [Mac17b] as will be necessary. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 2. Notation and two preliminary results

In this section, we fix some notation and recall some essential preliminary results.

In this paper, we consider a graded approximable algebra  $\mathbf{B} = \oplus_m B_m$  such that  $B_0 = \mathbb{C}$ . For any natural numbers  $k$  and  $n$  we denote by  $\text{Sym}^n(B_k)$  the  $n^{\text{th}}$  symmetric power of the vector space  $B_k$  and by  $S^n(B_k)$  the image of  $\text{Sym}^n(B_k)$  in  $B_{nk}$ .

We say that a graded, not *a priori* approximable, algebra  $\oplus_m B_m$  is of dimension  $d$  and has volume  $v$  if the sequence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\text{rk}(B_n)}{n^d/d!} \right)$$

converges to the strictly positive real number  $v$ . It is proved by Chen in [Che10] that such numbers exist for any approximable algebra  $\mathbf{B}$ .

We now recall the construction from [Mac17b] of the smooth variety  $X(\mathbf{B})$  and the infinite Weil divisor  $D(\mathbf{B})$  such that  $\mathbf{B}$  is a subalgebra of the section ring of  $D(\mathbf{B})$ .

### 2.1. Construction of $X(\mathbf{B})$ and $D(\mathbf{B})$

The variety  $X(\mathbf{B})$  is defined up to birational equivalence using the homogeneous field of fractions of  $\mathbf{B} = \oplus_m B_m$ , which we now define.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{B} = \oplus_m B_m$  be a graded algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then we define its homogeneous fraction field by

$$K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B}) = \left\{ \frac{b_1}{b_2} \mid \exists m \text{ such that } b_1, b_2 \in B_m, b_2 \neq 0 \right\} / \sim$$

where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation

$$\frac{b_1}{b_2} \sim \frac{c_1}{c_2} \iff b_1 c_2 = c_1 b_2.$$

Note that  $K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B})$  is a field extension of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Choose  $n$  large enough that  $B_n$  and  $B_{n+1}$  are both non-trivial. Choose  $f_1 \in B_n$  and  $f_2 \in B_{n+1}$ . For any  $m$  we can then identify  $B_m$  with a subspace of  $K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B})$  via the inclusion

$$i_m: B_m \hookrightarrow K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B}), \quad b_m \mapsto \frac{b_m f_1^m}{f_2^m}.$$

(Note that this inclusion depends on the choice of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ ). Throughout what follows, we assume that we have fixed  $f_1 \in B_n$  and  $f_2 \in B_{n+1}$  for some choice of  $n$  and that there is therefore for every  $m$  a fixed inclusion  $i_m: B_m \hookrightarrow K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B})$ . These inclusions satisfy  $i_m(b_m) i_r(b_r) = i_{m+r}(b_m b_r)$  for any  $b_m \in B_m$  and  $b_r \in B_r$ .

This field is proved in [Mac17b] to be finitely generated, enabling the following definition of  $X(\mathbf{B})$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The variety  $X(\mathbf{B})$  is a smooth projective complex variety such that  $K(X(\mathbf{B})) = K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B})$ .

*Remark 2.3.* The variety  $X(\mathbf{B})$  is defined only up to birational equivalence. It can be chosen smooth by Hironaka resolution.

We now recall the definition of the infinite Weil divisor  $D(\mathbf{B})$ , which is constructed as the limit of the sequence of divisors  $D_m/m$ , where the divisors  $D_m$  are poles of the rational functions  $b_m \in B_m$ . More precisely, for any  $b_m \in B_m$  let  $(b_m)_X = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i D_i$  be the principal divisor<sup>(2)</sup> on  $X(\mathbf{B})$  cut out by the rational function  $b_m$ . We let  $(b_m)_X^- = \sum_{i|c_i < 0} -c_i D_i$  be the poles divisor of  $b_m$  and let  $(b_m)_X^+ = \sum_{i|c_i > 0} c_i D_i$  be the zeros divisor of  $b_m$ , so that

$$(b_m)_X = (b_m)_X^+ - (b_m)_X^-.$$

We can now define  $D_m$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For any  $m$  such that  $B_m$  is non-empty, we define the effective divisor  $D_m$  on  $X(\mathbf{B})$  by

$$D_m = \sup_{b_m \in B_m} ((b_m)_X^-),$$

where the supremum is taken with respect to the natural partial order on  $\text{Weil}(X(\mathbf{B}))$ .

It is proved in [Mac17b] that this supremum is a maximum and moreover that for every  $m$  such that  $B_m$  is non-empty, there exists a  $b_m$  such that  $D_m$  is the poles divisor of  $i_m(b_m)$ . We can now define  $D(\mathbf{B})$ .

**Definition 2.5.** We set  $D(\mathbf{B}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{D_m}{m} \right)$ .<sup>(3)</sup>

In the next section, we prove that the infinite divisor  $D(\mathbf{B})$  has a finite cohomology class.

### 3. Finiteness of the cohomology class $[D(\mathbf{B})]$

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.3. Note that if  $\mathbf{B}_q = \bigoplus_n B_{nq}$ , then  $D(\mathbf{B}_q) = qD(\mathbf{B})$ , so without loss of generality, we may assume that  $B_1$  is non-zero. Our proof depends on the following observation.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth complex variety, and let  $H$  be an ample divisor on  $X$ . Equip  $\text{NS}(X)$ , the Néron-Severi group of  $X$ , with a norm denoted by  $|\cdot|$ . Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any pseudo-effective divisor  $E$  on  $X$ , we have that*

$$E \cdot H^{d-1} > C|[E]|.$$

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then there exists a sequence of pseudo-effective divisor classes  $[E_n]$  such that  $|[E_n]| = 1$  for all  $n$  and  $E_n \cdot H^{d-1} \rightarrow 0$ . Passing to a convergent subsequence, we may assume that in the Néron-Severi space,  $[E_n]$  converges to a non-zero pseudo-effective cohomology class  $[E]$  such that  $H^{d-1} \cdot E = 0$ , but this is impossible. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

This lemma enables us to give a numerical criterion for convergence of the sequence  $[D_m/m]$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be an approximable algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $X(\mathbf{B})$  be the associated variety, and consider the divisors  $D_m/m$  defined above, converging to an infinite Weil divisor  $D(\mathbf{B})$ . Let  $\text{NS}(X)$  be the Néron-Severi space of  $X$ . Let  $H$  be an ample divisor on  $X$ .*

*The sequence  $[D_m/m]$  converges in  $\text{NS}(X)$  if and only if the numerical sequence  $(D_m \cdot H^{d-1})/m$  converges.*

*Proof.* The fact that convergence of  $[D_m/m]$  implies convergence of  $(D_m \cdot H^{d-1})/m$  is immediate. We assume now that the sequence  $(D_m \cdot H^{d-1})/m$  converges, and we will show that the sequence of cohomology classes  $[D_m/m]$  also converges. Throughout what follows, we write  $[D_1] \geq [D_2]$  if and only if  $[D_1 - D_2]$  is the cohomology class of a pseudo-effective divisor.

<sup>(2)</sup>Here, the  $D_i$  are prime divisors, and the sum is finite.

<sup>(3)</sup>It is proved in [Mac17b] that this limit exists and that for any  $k$  the divisor  $[kD]$  is finite.

We first show that if the sequence  $[D_{m!}/(m!)]$  converges to a limit  $[D]$ , then so does the sequence  $[D_m/m]$ . For any integer  $m_1$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $M_1$  such that if  $m > M_1$ , then

$$(3.1) \quad [D_m/m] \geq (1 - \epsilon)[D_{m_1!}/(m_1!).]$$

We also have that

$$(3.2) \quad [D_m/m] \leq [D_{m!}/(m!)] \leq [D]$$

since the sequence  $[D_{m!}/(m!)]$  is increasing in  $m$ . (The last equality is immediate because we have defined the partial order  $\leq$  in terms of pseudo-effective divisors rather than effective divisors).

It follows from (3.1) that for all  $m > M_1$  we have that

$$[D - D_m/m] \leq [(D - (1 - \epsilon)D_{m_1!}/(m_1!))]$$

and hence

$$H^{d-1} \cdot (D - D_m/m) \leq [H]^{d-1} \cdot [D - (1 - \epsilon)D_{m_1!}/(m_1!).]$$

Since the right-hand side can be made arbitrarily small by an appropriate choice of  $\epsilon$  and  $m_1$ , we deduce that

$$[H]^{d-1} \cdot ([D - D_m/m]) \rightarrow_{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Since  $[D - D_m/m]$  is pseudo-effective by Equation (3.2), it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$[D_m/m] \rightarrow_{m \rightarrow \infty} [D].$$

It remains only to show that the sequence  $D_{m!}/m!$  is convergent. We note that this sequence of divisors is monotone increasing. In particular, if we set

$$R_m = D_{m!}/(m!) - D_{(m-1)!}/(m-1)!,$$

then  $R_m$  is effective and hence pseudo-effective for all  $m$ . We have assumed that the sequence  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H^{d-1} \cdot R_m$  is convergent. By Lemma 3.1, the series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [R_m]$  is also convergent. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

A final lemma will be necessary before completing the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 3.3.** *There are constants  $p, k$  and  $N$  such that for any  $m > N$  divisible by  $p$  and any  $n$  such that  $n \geq km$ , there are polynomials  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  in  $S^n(B_p)$  such that  $i_m(b_m) = T_1/T_2$ . In particular, such polynomials  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  exist in  $S^{km}(B_p)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of Lemma 3.3 is similar to that of [Mac17b, Proposition 1]. In [Che10], Chen shows that if  $B$  is approximable, then there exist a constant  $d$  and another constant  $M$  such that

$$\dim(B_n) \sim Mn^d.$$

In particular, there exist a constant  $N$  and another constant  $k$  such that for any  $m_1, m_2 > N'$  such that  $m_2 > km_1$ , we have that

$$\dim(B_{m_1+m_2}) \leq \frac{4}{3} \left( \dim(B_{m_2}) \right).$$

Pick now a  $p$  and  $n_0$  such that we have both of the following:

- (1)  $p > N$ ,
- (2)  $\dim(S^n(B_p)) \geq \frac{2}{3} \dim(B_{np})$  for all  $n > n_0$ .

Now consider an element  $b_m$  in  $B_m$  for some  $m > \max\{N, n_0 p\}$ . We assume furthermore that  $m$  is divisible by  $p$ ; i.e.  $m = k'p$ . Our aim is to give a bound on the poles of  $i_m(b_m)$  which depends linearly on  $m$ .

Choose  $n$  such that  $np \geq km$ . We note that in particular  $np \geq N$ . We may assume that  $i_m(b_m) = \frac{b_m}{b'_m}$  for some  $b_m, b'_m \in B_m$  since we have assumed that  $B_1 \neq \{0\}$ . Note that

$$\dim(b_m \cdot S^n(B_p)) = \dim(S^n(B_p)) > \frac{2(\dim(B_{np}))}{3} > \frac{\dim(B_{np+m})}{2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\dim(b'_m \cdot S^n(B_p)) = \dim(S^n(B_p)) > \frac{2(\dim(B_{np}))}{3} > \frac{\dim(B_{np+m})}{2},$$

from which it follows that

$$b_m \cdot S^n(B_p) \cap b'_m \cdot S^n(B_p) \neq \{0\}$$

and hence

$$\frac{b_m}{b'_m} = \frac{T_1}{T_2}$$

for some  $T_1, T_2 \in S^n(B_p)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. Fix  $n$  such that  $np = km$ . For  $j = 1, 2$  we set

$$(D(i_{np}(T_j))) = Z_j - P_j,$$

where  $Z_j$  and  $P_j$  are effective divisors that do not have any common component; since  $i_{np}(T_j)$  is a rational function, we have in particular that  $Z_j$  and  $P_j$  are numerically equivalent. Note that by the definition of  $D_p$ , we have that

$$P_j \leq (km)D_p,$$

and it follows that, numerically,

$$Z_j \cdot H^{d-1} \leq (km)D_p \cdot H^{d-1}.$$

But now if we consider the poles divisor of  $i_m(b_p)$ , we have that

$$P(i_m(b_m)) \leq P_1 + Z_2$$

and hence

$$P(i_m(b_m)) \cdot H^{d-1} < 2(km)D_p \cdot H^{d-1}.$$

We know that there exists a  $b_m$  such that  $P(i_m(b_m)) = D_m$  and hence

$$\frac{D_m}{m} \cdot H^{d-1} \leq \frac{2km}{m} D_p \cdot H^{d-1} \leq 2k D_p \cdot H^{d-1},$$

and hence this sequence is bounded since  $k$  and  $p$  were fixed.

It follows that the sequence  $\frac{D_m}{m} \cdot H^{d-1}$  is bounded and hence convergent, so that by Lemma 3.2, the sequence  $[D_m/m]$  is also convergent in  $\text{NS}(X)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

## References

- [Che10] H. Chen, *Arithmetic Fujita approximation*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **43** (2010), no. 4, 555–578.
- [Fuj94] T. Fujita, *Approximating Zariski decomposition of big line bundles*, Kodai Math. J. **17** (1994), no. 1, 1–3.
- [LM09] R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustață, *Convex bodies associated to linear series*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **42** (2009), no. 5, 783–835.
- [Mac17a] C. Maclean, *Approximable algebras and a question of Chen's*, preprint [arXiv:1703.01801](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.01801) (2017).
- [Mac17b] ———, *Classifying approximable algebras*, preprint [arXiv:1709.06945](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.06945) (2017).