

$\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is usually not uniruled in characteristic p

Will Sawin

Abstract. Using étale cohomology, we define a birational invariant for varieties in characteristic p that serves as an obstruction to uniruledness – a variant on an obstruction to unirationality due to Ekedahl. We apply this to $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ and show that $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is not uniruled in characteristic p as long as $n \ge p \ge 11$. To do this, we use Deligne's description of the étale cohomology of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ and apply the theory of congruences between modular forms.

Keywords. Unirational, uniruled, characteristic p, moduli of curves, elliptic curves, modular forms, étale cohomology

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14M20

[Français]

Titre. $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ n'est généralement pas uniréglée en caractéristique p

Résumé. Faisant usage de la cohomologie étale, nous définissons un invariant birationnel pour les variétés en caractéristique p qui constitue une obstruction à l'uniréglage – une variante de l'obstruction à l'unirationalité formulée par Ekedahl. Nous appliquons ce critère à l'espace $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ et montrons qu'il n'est pas uniréglé en caractéristique p dès que $n \ge p \ge 11$. Pour cela, nous utilisons la description de Deligne de la cohomologie étale de $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ et nous appliquons la théorie des congruences entre formes modulaires.

Will Sawin

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY *e-mail*: sawin@math.columbia.edu

The author was supported by Dr. Max Rössler, the Walter Haefner Foundation and the ETH Zurich Foundation. The author was also in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California during the Spring 2017 semester and supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140.

Received by the Editors on December 11, 2017, and in final form on December 19, 2018. Accepted on January 24, 2019.

Contents

1.	A Birational Invariant	2
2.	Application to the Moduli Space of Elliptic Curves	6
3.	Calculations with Modular Forms	8

The aim of this paper is to show that $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ is not uniruled in characteristic p whenever $n \ge p-1 \ge 11$ or p = 11 and $n \ge 11$ (Theorem 3.2). We will also discuss the related concept of unirationality.

For smooth projective varieties X in characteristic zero, $H^0(X, \Omega_X^i)$ is known to be a birational invariant for all *i* by [11, Corollary 2 on p. 153]. If $f: Y \to X$ is a separable morphism, then

 $\dim H^0(Y, \Omega^i_Y) \ge \dim H^0(X, \Omega^i_X),$

so if X is unirational in characteristic zero then $H^0(X, \Omega_X^i)$ vanishes for i > 0 and if X is separably uniruled then $H^0(X, \Omega_X^i)$ vanishes for $i = \dim X$. However X can be inseparably unirational in characteristic p even if $H^0(X, \Omega_X^i) \neq 0$, for instance when X is a supersingular Kummer surface and i = 2 [19, Theorem 1.1].

Using étale cohomology, Ekedahl [8] defined a birational invariant that fixes this problem. This is unsurprising as étale cohomology is invariant under inseparable morphisms. Roughly speaking, his invariant measures the multiplicity of all eigenvalues of Frobenius on the étale cohomology with compact supports, except for those eigenvalues that "look like" the eigenvalues of Frobenius with a lower-dimensional variety - because we quotient by the contributions of lower-dimensional varieties, we obtain a birational invariant.

We will apply this invariant to $\overline{M}_{1,n}$. Due to the close relationship between the cohomology of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ and modular forms, we are able to show that $\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p}$ is not unirational, or even uniruled, whenever there is a *p*-ordinary cusp form of level 1 and weight *k* for some $k \le n+1$ (Theorem 2.3). Applying the classical theory of modular forms modulo *p*, we show that $\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p}$ is not uniruled for $n \ge p-1 \ge 11$ or p = 11 and $n \ge 11$ (Theorem 3.2). However, for a given value of *p*, it is likely possible to get a much better value of *n* by explicitly computing coefficients of modular forms until an ordinary one is found, except for p = 2, 3, 5, 7 where no such modular forms exist.

This invariant is defined for varieties that are not necessarily smooth or proper. This enables us to avoid the use of stacks when working with $\overline{M}_{1,n}$, though we do not expect there would be any great difficulty in extending these results to Deligne-Mumford stacks. In the smooth and projective case, this obstruction to unirationality is the same as that given by Esnault [9, Theorem 1.1], who also showed it was an obstruction even to the weaker property of having a trivial Chow group of zero-cycles.

The unirationality of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ was completely understood in characteristic zero. It is unirational for $n \leq 10$, because nine general points in \mathbb{P}^2 determine a genus one curve with nine marked points, and the hyperplane class minus twice the first point gives a tenth marked point [2]. It is not even uniruled for n > 10, because its Kodaira dimension is zero for n = 11 and one for n > 11 [3, Theorem 3]. In characteristic p, the Kodaira dimension is not an obstruction to unirationality, so only the $n \leq 10$ case remains valid, and to my knowledge this is all that was known.

I would like to thank Daniel Litt, Bhargav Bhatt, John Lesieutre, and Remy van Dobben de Bruyn for helpful conversations, and the anonymous referee for useful comments.

We will always take a variety to be a geometrically integral separated scheme of finite type over a field, and the field will always be a finite field \mathbb{F}_q .

1. A Birational Invariant

We will actually define an invariant that is a slight variant of Ekedahl's. To make étale cohomology into a birational invariant, we simply quotient by the maximum subspace which could come from a variety of lower dimension. We define this using the (geometric) Frobenius action: **Definition 1.1.** Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d. Let $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ be the quotient of $H^i_c(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ by the maximal Frob_q -stable subspace on which the eigenvalues of Frob_q all divide q^{d-1} in the ring of algebraic integers. (The eigenvalues lie in the ring of algebraic integers by [7, XXI Corollary 5.5.3].)

The notation tdF is short for top-dimensional Frobenius - i.e. the part of cohomology where Frobenius does not act by eigenvalues that could come from a lower-dimensional variety via the excision exact sequence.

Ekedahl's invariant [8, Equation (1)] can be viewed as the formal sum of the eigenvalues of Frobenius acting on $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ in the free group on the elements of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, with multiplicity the multiplicity of the eigenvalue. This gives the same information as the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius acting on this vector space. The vector space carries slightly more information, which might prove useful, but analyzing it is not any more difficult. Indeed, many of our proofs are essentially the same as Ekedahl's, though some are new. Because the proofs are so short, we felt it was worth repeating them in this different context.

Proposition 1.2. Let X and Y be two varieties that are birationally equivalent. Then

$$H^i_{tdF}(X) \cong H^i_{tdF}(Y).$$

Proof. Since every birational equivalence is the composition of an open immersion and the inverse of an open immersion, it suffices to prove this when Y is an open subset U of X. Let Z be the complement of U in X. Excision [1, 5.1.16.3] gives an exact sequence

$$H^{i-1}_{c}(Z_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})\to H^{i}_{c}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})\to H^{i}_{c}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})\to H^{i}_{c}(Z_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}},\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

Z has dimension at most d-1, so by [7, XXI Corollary 5.5.3(iii)], all the eigenvalues of Frob_q acting on its compactly supported cohomology are algebraic integers dividing q^{d-1} . Thus modulo the maximal subspace on which the eigenvalues are algebraic integers dividing q^{d-1} , the excision map

$$H^{i}_{c}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{a}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \to H^{i}_{c}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{a}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$$

is an isomorphism, hence the induced map

$$H^{i}_{tdF}(U) \rightarrow H^{i}_{tdF}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Furthermore:

Proposition 1.3. Let Y and X be two varieties of the same dimension and let $f : Y \to X$ be a dominant rational map. Then $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ is a summand of $H^i_{tdF}(Y)$.

This proposition, and its proof, are a variant of [8, Theorem 2].

Proof. First assume that f is a finite morphism. The composition of the adjunction and trace maps

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \to Rf_*f^*\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = Rf_!f^*\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \to \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$$

is multiplication by the degree of f [1, XVI, Proposition 6.2.5], hence nonzero, so \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} is a direct summand of $Rf_!f^*\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = Rf_!\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$. Therefore $H^i_c(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ is a direct summand of $H^i_c(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, Rf_!\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$, which equals $H^i_c(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ by the Leray spectral sequence [1, XVI, Theorem 5.1.8(a)], hence $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ is a direct summand of $H^i_{tdF}(Y)$.

Next assume that f is a dominant morphism and not just a rational map. Because every dominant map between varieties of the same dimension is generically finite [20, Tag 02NX], we may pass to an open subset of X where the map is finite, and also pass to the inverse image of that subset in Y. Using Proposition 1.2, this does not affect H_{tdF}^i . Thus we may reduce to the previous case.

For general f, by passing to an open subset of X and Y and using Proposition 1.2, we may assume that f is a morphism and handle it using the previous case.

Proposition 1.4. Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_{a} . Then

$$H^{1}_{\mathrm{tdF}}(X_{\mathbb{F}_{a^{n}}}) = H^{1}_{\mathrm{tdF}}(X).$$

Proof. $\operatorname{Frob}_{q^n}$ acts on $H_c^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^n}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H_c^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ by the *n*th power of Frob_q , so its eigenvalues are the *n*th powers of the eigenvalues of Frob_q . The eigenvalue λ^n divides $(q^n)^{d-1}$ in the ring of algebraic integers if and only if λ divides q^d in the ring of algebraic integers, because the *n*th root of an algebraic integer is an algebraic integer. So these two vector spaces are manifestly isomorphic.

Using these, we can prove that $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ is an obstruction to unirationality:

Corollary 1.5. Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d that is unirational over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Then $H^i_{tdF}(X) = 0$ for i < 2d.

This is not exactly stated in [8] but is very similar to [8, Corollary 3(iii)].

Proof. Because X is unirational over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, it is unirational over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} for some n.

When i = 2k for $0 \le k \le d$, $H_c^i(\mathbb{P}^d_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is one-dimensional and Frobenius acts on it with eigenvalue q^k , and $H_c^i(\mathbb{P}^d_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ vanishes for all values of i not of this form (see e.g. [15, Example 16.3]). For k < d this eigenvalue divides q^{d-1} . Hence $H_{tdF}^i(\mathbb{P}^d)$ is 0 for i < 2d. Thus by Proposition 1.3 and Proposition 1.4, the same is true for $H_{tdF}^i(X_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n)$ and $H_{tdF}^i(X)$.

Remark 1.6. For X a smooth projective simply-connected surface over \mathbb{F}_q satisfying the Tate conjecture, the converse to Corollary 1.5 would follow from Shioda's conjecture [19, Conjecture on p. 167]. Indeed, recall that all eigenvalues of Frob_q on $H^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are algebraic integers of absolute value q. Let λ be an eigenvalue of Frob_q on $H^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. If $H^2_{\operatorname{tdF}}(X) = 0$ then λ divides q so q/λ is an algebraic integer. Because all Galois conjugates $\sigma(\lambda)$ of λ are also eigenvalues, they also have absolute value |q|, so $|\sigma \alpha| = |q/\sigma(\lambda)| = q/q = 1$ for all σ . Because α is an algebraic integer all whose conjugates have norm 1, it is a root of unity. Hence every eigenvalue of Frob_q is q times a root of unity. Let n be the lcm of the orders of these roots of unity. Then the eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Frob}_{q^n}$ on $H^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are all equal to q^n . Under the Tate conjecture, that implies the cohomology group $H^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is generated by classes of cycles defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} , so X is supersingular in Shioda's sense. Under Shioda's conjecture, because X is supersingular and simply-connected, it is unirational [19, Conjecture on p. 167].

We can even show $H^d_{tdF}(X)$ is an obstruction to uniruledness:

Corollary 1.7. Let X be a variety over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension d that is uniruled over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Then $H^d_{tdF}(X) = 0$.

Proof. Because X is uniruled over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, it is uniruled over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} for some n.

Because $X_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}}$ is uniruled, it is dominated by $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for some Y. By repeatedly taking a general hyperplane slice of Y, we may assume that Y has dimension d-1. By the Künneth formula [1, XVII Theorem 5.4.3]

$$H_c^d(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}) = \sum_{i=0}^d H_c^i(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes H_c^{d-i}(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Because $H^0_c(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, $H^2_c(\mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1)$, and all other cohomology groups of \mathbb{P}^1 vanish (see e.g. [15, Example 16.3]) we have

$$H^d_c(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}) = H^d_c(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) + H^{d-2}_c(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1)).$$

By [7, XXI Corollary 5.5.3(iii)], the eigenvalues of Frobenius on $H_c^d(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ divide q^{d-1} in the ring of algebraic integers and the eigenvalues of Frobenius on $H_c^{d-2}(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ divide q^{d-2} in the ring of algebraic integers. Hence the eigenvalues of Frobenius on $H_c^{d-2}(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1))$, which are q times the eigenvalues of Frobenius on $H_c^{d-2}(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1))$, which are q times the eigenvalues of Frobenius on $H_c^{d-2}(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, also divide q^{d-1} . Thus all eigenvalues of Frobenius on $H_c^d(Y_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q})$ divide q^{d-1} in the ring of algebraic integers and so $H_{tdF}^d(Y \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$.

Thus by Proposition 1.3 and Proposition 1.4, the same is true for $H^d_{tdF}(X_{\mathbb{F}_q^n})$ and $H^d_{tdF}(X)$.

For smooth varieties, we can express $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ in a different way using Poincaré duality. This connects it to an argument of [9] and implies that $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ is an obstruction, not just to unirationality, but to some weaker conditions, the weakest of which is that X admits a decomposition of the diagonal.

Proposition 1.8. Let X be a smooth variety of dimension d. Then $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ is dual to the quotient of $H^{2d-i}(X_{\overline{F}_a}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ by the maximal subspace on which Frob_q acts by eigenvalues not divisible by q.

Proof. By Poincaré duality [1, XVIII, Theorem 3.2.5], $H_c^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $H^{2d-i}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are dual, with Frob_q acting on the pairing by multiplication by q^d .

By Jordan normal form, the maximal Frob_q -stable subspace of $H^i_c(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ with all eigenvalues of Frobenius dividing q^{d-1} is the complement of the maximal Frob_q -stable subspace of $H^i_c(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ without any eigenvalues of Frobenius dividing q^{n-1} . Thus $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ is isomorphic to the maximal Frob_q -stable subspace of $H^i_c(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ with eigenvalues λ algebraic integers not dividing q^{d-1} .

Hence $H^i_{tdF}(X)$ is dual to the maximal Frob_q -stable quotient space of $H^{2d-i}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ with eigenvalues q^d/λ where λ does not divide q^{d-1} , i.e. eigenvalues that are not multiples of q.

That is the same as the quotient by the maximal subspace with eigenvalues that are multiples of q. \Box

Remark 1.9. Katz's "Newton above Hodge" conjecture, proved by Mazur [14, Theorem 1] and Ogus [16, Theorem 4.5], and the comparison between étale and crystalline cohomology together imply that, if X is a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{F}_q and $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, then all eigenvalues of Frob_q on $H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are divisible by q. Thus the invariant $H^{2d-i}_{tdF}(X)$ is closely connected to $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Proposition 1.10. Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{F}_q . Assume one of the following conditions holds:

- $Ch^0(X_{\overline{k(X)}}) = \mathbb{Z}.$
- X admits a decomposition of the diagonal.

Then $H^i_{tdF}(X) = 0$ for i < 2d.

Proof. Assume that X admits a decomposition of the diagonal. By [9, Theorem 1.1], this implies that the p-adic slopes of the eigenvalues of Frob_q on $H^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are at least 1 for i > 0. Because every Galois conjugate of an eigenvalue of Frob_q is also an eigenvalue of Frob_q , and because an algebraic integer all whose Galois conjugates have p-adic valuation at least the p-adic valuation of q is divisible by q, this implies that the eigenvalues must be divisible by q. Hence $H_{tdF}^{2d-i}(X) = 0$ for i > 0 by Proposition 1.8.

The first condition implies the second condition by [4, Appendix to Lecture 1, Exercise 1A.4].

2. Application to the Moduli Space of Elliptic Curves

We will apply H_{tdF}^i to the Deligne-Mumford moduli space $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ of genus 1 curves with *n* marked points, a singular projective variety. (We will avoid the use of Deligne-Mumford stacks as they are unnecessary for this problem).

It turns out that $H^i_{tdF}(\overline{M}_{1,n})$ is controlled by modular forms:

We say an algebraic integer is prime to p if some coefficient of its characteristic polynomial other than the first is nonzero modulo p. We say a Hecke eigenform is ordinary at p if its pth Hecke eigenvalue is prime to p.

Proposition 2.1. Let k be a natural number with $k \le n+1$. If the space $S_k(\Gamma(1))$ of cusp forms of weight k and level 1 contains an eigenform that is ordinary at p, then

$$H_{\mathrm{tdF}}^{2n+1-k}(\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p})\neq 0.$$

First we sketch the proof. Deligne, in [6], constructed two-dimensional Galois representations associated to modular forms. These representations are defined as subspaces of a certain sheaf cohomology group on a modular curve. The Frobenius eigenvalues of these representations can be related to the Hecke eigenvalues of the modular forms. Using the Leray spectral sequence, we may write the étale cohomology of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$ in terms of sheaf cohomology on a modular curve, and by comparing the relevant sheaves we can show that the two-dimensional Galois representations defined by Deligne occur as subquotients of the cohomology of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$. To show that the Frobenius eigenvalues on these Galois representations are prime to p, and thus to show via Proposition 1.8 that $H^i_{tdF}(\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p}) \neq 0$, it suffices to show that the Hecke eigenvalues are prime to p.

We need the following lemma, which is surely well-known, though we did not find a suitable reference in the literature:

Lemma 2.2. Let X be a variety and G a finite group acting on X. Let X/G be the quotient space. Then for all $i, H_c^i(X/G, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = H_c^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^G$.

Proof. Let π be the projection $X \to X/G$. The morphism π is finite, so $R\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \cong R\pi_!\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$, and the fiber of π over any point consists of a single orbit. To check that the adjunction map $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \to (R\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^G = (R\pi_!\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^G$ of sheaves on X/G is an isomorphism, it suffices to check it on stalks, so by proper base change [1, XIII, Corollary 5.2(iii)] it suffices to check when X is a single orbit and X/G is a single point, where it is obvious. Therefore

$$H_{c}^{i}(X/G, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) = H_{c}^{i}(X/G, R\pi_{1}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{G} = H_{c}^{i}(X/G, (R\pi_{1}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{G}) = H_{c}^{i}(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{G}$$

by the Leray spectral sequence with compact support [1, XVII, Proposition 5.2.9].

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Assume $H^{2n+1-k}_{tdF}(\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p}) = 0$. We will show that all eigenforms in $S_k(\Gamma(1))$ have Hecke eigenvalue divisible by p. If they are divisible by p, they cannot be prime to p, giving the desired contradiction.

Let $m \ge 3$ be prime to p. Following Deligne [6, p. 151], let M_{m,\mathbb{F}_p} be the fine moduli space of elliptic curves with full level m structure over \mathbb{F}_p , and let $f_m : E_{m,\mathbb{F}_n} \to M_{m,\mathbb{F}_n}$ be the universal family. These spaces

exist and they form a smooth proper family of elliptic curves over a smooth scheme, see e.g. [12, Corollary 4.7.2]. The group $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ acts on M_{m,\mathbb{F}_p} , E_{m,\mathbb{F}_p} , and the n-1st power E_{m,\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1} of E_{m,\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1} over M_{m,\mathbb{F}_p} . The quotient $E_{m,\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}/GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ loses the level structure and becomes simply the coarse moduli space of elliptic curves with n-1 additional marked points (not necessarily distinct), hence is birational to $\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p}$, because M_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p} is an open subset of both $\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $E_{m,\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}/GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$.

As $H_{tdF}^{2n+1-k}(\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p}) = 0$, by Proposition 1.2, $H_{tdF}^{2n+1-k}(E_{m,\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}/GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)) = 0$. By definition, all eigenvalues of Frob_p on $H_c^{2n+1-k}(E_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{n-1}/GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ divide p^{n-1} in the ring of algebraic integers. That cohomology group is the same as the $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ -invariant part $H_c^{2n+1-k}(E_{m,\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$ by Lemma 2.2, so all eigenvalues of Frob_p on that divide p^{n-1} . Because E_{m,\mathbb{F}_p}^{n-1} is smooth, by Poincaré duality [1, XVIII, Theorem 3.2.5], all eigenvalues of Frobenius acting on $H^{k-1}(E_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{n-1}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$ are divisible by p in the ring of algebraic integers.

By the degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence [5, Proposition 2.4 and 2.6.4],

$$H^{k-1}\left(E_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^{n-1},\mathbb{Q}_\ell\right)^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$$

contains as a summand

$$H^1\left(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, R^{k-2}\left(f_m^{n-1}\right)_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell\right)^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}.$$

This, by the Künneth formula, [1, XVII, Theorem 5.4.3] contains as a summand

$$H^{1}\left(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}},(R^{1}f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\otimes k-2}\otimes(R^{0}f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{(n-1)-(k-2)}\right)^{GL_{2}(\mathbb{Z}/m)} = H^{1}\left(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}},(R^{1}f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\otimes k-2}\right)^{GL_{2}(\mathbb{Z}/m)}.$$

This contains as a summand

$$H^1\left(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p},\operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(R^1f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right)^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$$

which has as a quotient the parabolic cohomology

$$\tilde{H}^1\left(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p},\operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^1f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right)^{\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$$

So all eigenvalues of Frobenius on $\tilde{H}^1\left(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^1 f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right)^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$ are divisible by p. Note that we need the assumption $n \ge k+1$ so that $(n-1) - (k-2) \ge 0$.

Deligne [6, p. 156] defines an action of the Hecke operator T_p on $\tilde{H}^1(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^1 f_{m*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$, and shows that T_p acts as $F + I_p^* V$ where F is the geometric Frobenius, V is its transpose, and I_p^* is the action of the diagonal element $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ [6, Proposition 4.8]. The action of I_p^* factors through the action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$, so on the $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ -invariant subspace, $T_p = F + V$. Note that all eigenvalues of Fare divisible by p, and V is the transpose of F so all eigenvalues of V are divisible by p. Thus because Fand V commute [6, Proposition 4.8(3)], all eigenvalues of T_p on $\tilde{H}^1(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^1 f_{m*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell))^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$ are divisible by p.

By [6, Corollary 4.2], the sheaf $R^1 \tilde{a}(M_m, \operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(R^1 f_{m*} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$ on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/m\ell]$ is lisse, and its stalk at the geometric point $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is $\tilde{H}^1(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(R^1 f_{m*} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$. The Hecke operators and $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ also act on this sheaf. Because the sheaf is lisse, the stalks at $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ are isomorphic as vector spaces with a $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ and Hecke operator action. Because all Hecke eigenvalues on the $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ -invariant part the stalk of

 $\tilde{H}^{1}(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}, \operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^{1}f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$ at $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ are divisible by p, all Hecke eigenvalues on the $GL_{2}(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ -invariant part of the stalk of $\tilde{H}^{1}(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}, \operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^{1}f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$ at $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ are divisible by p.

Deligne [6, pp. 154-158] uses several different spaces W of modular forms, indexed by subscripts and superscripts. The upper-left index is the weight, which is equal to the usual weight of modular forms minus two. The lower-left index is the level (where we always take modular forms for the full congruence subgroup $\Gamma(n)$ of that level). The lower-right index defines the coefficient field, where ℓ represents \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} , ∞ represents \mathbb{C} , and an omitted subscript represents \mathbb{Q} . The upper-right superscript may be used to denote the invariants under a group action (a standard notation, which we have used previously in this proof).

By [6, Corollary 4.2], the stalk of $\tilde{H}^1(M_{m,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \operatorname{Sym}^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^1 f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell))$ at $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is $\frac{k-2}{m}W_\ell$, and the $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ invariant part is $\frac{k-2}{m}W_\ell^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$, which is the tensor product of $\frac{k-2}{m}W^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$ with \mathbb{Q}_ℓ . By definition [6, p. 158], $\frac{k-2}{m}W^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m)}$ is $\frac{k-2}{1}W$, which tensored up with \mathbb{C} is $\frac{k-2}{1}W_\infty$. So all eigenvalues of T_p on $\frac{k-2}{1}W_\infty$ are algebraic integers divisible by p. By [6, Proposition 3.19], $\frac{k-2}{1}W_\infty$ contains $S^k(\Gamma(1))$ as a summand, so all eigenvalues of T_p on $S^k(\Gamma(1))$ are divisible by p, as desired.

Theorem 2.3. Let k be a natural number and let $n \ge k - 1$. If the space $S_k(\Gamma(1))$ of cusp forms of weight k and level 1 contains an eigenform that is ordinary at p, then $\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_n}$ is not uniruled.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, in this case

$$H^{k-1}_{\mathrm{tdF}}(\overline{M}_{1,k-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_n}) \neq 0.$$

hence by Corollary 1.7, $M_{1,k-1,\mathbb{F}_p}$ is not uniruled. Because M_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p} dominates $M_{1,k-1,\mathbb{F}_p}$ via the map that forgets n - (k-1) points (whose general fiber is an abelian variety and thus contains no rational curves), M_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p} is not uniruled.

3. Calculations with Modular Forms

For any particular p and k we can compute the eigenvalues of the Hecke operator T_p acting on $S_k(\Gamma(1))$ and determine whether any eigenforms are ordinary. For instance it appears that for almost all p, the Ramanujan Δ function, a cusp form of weight 12, is ordinary. This is true for all $p < 10^{10}$ except p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 2411, and 7758337633 [13]. For all such p, $\overline{M}_{1,11,\mathbb{F}_p}$ is not uniruled. This is best possible in those characteristics as $\overline{M}_{1,10}$ is always unirational (as mentioned earlier). However a method based only on modular forms of weight 12 is unlikely to work in general, as it is not even known that there are infinitely many p at which Δ is ordinary. Yet we can still prove a general existence result for an ordinary form, albeit of considerably higher weight than 12:

Proposition 3.1. Let p > 11 be a prime. Then the space $S_{p-1}(\Gamma(1))$ of cusp forms of weight p-1 and level 1 contains a p-ordinary eigenform.

Proof. In [17, 1.2], Serre defines \tilde{M}_k as the space of power series mod p that are the reductions mod p of modular forms of weight k and level 1 with integer coefficients. So the lattice inside $S_{p-1}(\Gamma(1))$ consisting of modular forms of weight p-1 and level 1 with integer coefficients maps to \tilde{M}_{p-1} , and this map commutes with the Hecke operator T_p .

On \overline{M}_{p-1} , the action of T_p is the same as the action of U [17, 2.2], which acts bijectively [17, Theorem 6(ii)], so all its eigenvalues are nonzero (mod p). Hence to show that one of the eigenvalues of T_p on $S_{p-1}(\Gamma(1))$ is nonzero mod p, it suffices to show that the image of this map is nonzero. This follows from the existence of any cusp form of weight p-1 with integral coefficients, since we can always divide it by p until at least one coefficient is nonzero mod p.

The dimension of the space of cusp forms of weight k and level 1 is $\lfloor k/12 \rfloor$, unless $k \equiv 2 \mod 12$, in which case it is $\lfloor k/12 \rfloor - 1$. This is easily seen to be greater than 1 if k > 14 or k = 12, and so is greater

than 1 when k = p - 1 for any primes p > 11. Since there is an integral basis for the space of cusp forms (for an elementary proof, observe that the basis for all modular forms constructed in [10, Chapter 5, Proposition 1] restricts to a basis of cusp forms), there exists a cusp form of weight p - 1 with integer coefficients for all such k.

Theorem 3.2. Let p be a prime and n a natural number. If p > 11 and $n \ge p - 2$ or p = 11 and $n \ge 11$, then $\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_n}$ is not uniruled.

Proof. If p > 11, then by Proposition 3.1, $S_{p-1}(\Gamma(1))$ contains a *p*-ordinary eigenform. If p = 11, then $S_{12}(\Gamma(1))$ contains a *p*-ordinary eigenform (the Ramanujan Δ function) by direct computation (e.g. [13, abstract]). Hence by Theorem 2.3, $\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p}$ is not uniruled.

We suggest two open questions that may be interesting:

Question 3.3. For any *p*, is $\overline{M}_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_n}$ uniruled (or even unirational) for infinitely many *n*?

We have given a negative answer for p > 7, so the remaining possibilities are p = 2, 3, 5, 7. A tantalizing fact is that our proof does not just fail by random chance - using the theory of congruences between modular forms, one can show without calculation that for p < 11 there are no p-ordinary cusp forms of level 1 and any weight k and thus Theorem 2.3 will never prove that M_{1,n,\mathbb{F}_p} is not uniruled.

Indeed, Hida showed that the dimension of the space of *p*-ordinary cusp forms of weight *k* on $\Gamma_0(p)$ depends only on *p* mod k-1 for $k \ge 2$ [10, Chapter 7, Theorem 1], and is equal to the dimension of the space of *p*-ordinary cusp forms on $\Gamma(1)$ for $k \ge 3$ [10, Chapter 7, Proposition 2]. Since for each residue class mod p-1, we may find a representative in the interval [3, p+1], it follows that if there is any *p*-ordinary cusp form at all, then there is one of weight $\le p+1$. But the cusp form of smallest weight is 12, so if there are any *p*-ordinary cusp forms then $p \ge 11$.

If this reason can be turned into a "geometric" explanation of the *p*-divisibility of the eigenvalues somehow, then that geometric explanation might prove a stronger statement as well, possibly even unirationality. Alternatively, if a plausible higher-dimensional analogue of Shioda's conjecture [19, Conjecture on p. 167] is made, this argument could be used to show that $\overline{M}_{1,g}$ is unirational in characteristic p < 11 for all g conditionally on that conjecture.

The next question was actually the original question that motivated this work. We were not able to solve it but in considering related problems we were led to the study of $\overline{M}_{1,n}$:

Question 3.4. For any *p*, is $\overline{M}_{g,\mathbb{F}_p}$ uniruled for infinitely many *g*?

We could also ask about the validity of the characteristic p analogue of Severi's conjecture [18, p. 880], which would be that $\overline{M}_{g,\mathbb{F}_n}$ is unirational for all g.

Applying the same method to this problem would require intensive study of the non-tautological cohomology of \overline{M}_g , so one might seek other methods to resolve the problem. However, we are not aware of any other method for showing non-unirationality of a space in characteristic p, nor any method for showing that spaces are in fact uniruled that could conceivably apply to \overline{M}_g for large g.

References

- M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J.-L. Verdier (édt.), Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1963-64 (SGA4). Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 305, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. MR-0354654
- [2] P. Belorousski. Chow rings of moduli spaces of pointed elliptic curves. Thesis (Ph.D.)-The University of Chicago, 1998. MR-2716762

- [3] G. Bini and C. Fontanari, Moduli of curves and spin structures via algebraic geometry, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 3207–3217. MR-2216264
- [4] S. Bloch, Lectures on algebraic cycles, second edition, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 16, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. MR-2723320
- [5] P. Deligne, Théoréme de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 35 (1968), 259-278. MR-0244265
- [6] P. Deligne, Formes modulaires et représentations l-adiques. In: Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1968/69: Exposés 347-363, Exp. No. 355, pp. 139-172, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 175, Springer, Berlin, 1971. MR-3077124
- [7] P. Deligne and N. Katz (édt.), Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1967-69 (SGA7). Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique II. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 340, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. MR-0354657
- [8] T. Ekedahl, Sur le groupe fondamental d'une variété unirationnelle, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 297 (1983), no. 12, 627–629. MR-0735130
- [9] H. Esnault, Varieties over a finite field with trivial Chow group of O-cycles have a rational point, Invent. Math. 151 (2003), no. 1, 187–191. MR-1943746
- [10] H. Hida, Elementary theory of L-functions and Eisenstein series, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 26, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR-1216135
- [11] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I, II. Ann. of Math. (2) 79 (1964), 109–203; 205–326. MR-0199184
- [12] N. M. Katz and B. Mazur, Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 108, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985. MR-0772569
- [13] N. Lygeros and O. Rozier, A new solution to the equation $\tau(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, J. Integer Seq. 13 (2010), no. 7, Article 10.7.4. MR-2669385
- [14] B. Mazur, Frobenius and the Hodge filtration, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 653-667, MR-0330169
- [15] J. S. Milne, Lectures on étale cohomology, version (2.21), 2013. Available at https://www.jmilne.org/math/ CourseNotes/lec.html
- [16] A. Ogus, Frobenius and the Hodge spectral sequence, Adv. Math. 162 (2001), no. 2, 141–172. MR-1859245
- [17] J-P. Serre, Formes modulaires et fonctions zéta p-adiques. In: Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972), pp. 191–268, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 350, Springer, Berlin, 1973. MR-0404145
- [18] F. Severi, Sulla classificazione delle curve algebriche e sul teorema d'esistenza di Riemann. Nota I. Atti della Reale Accademia dei Lincei. Rendiconti (5) 24 (1915), 877-888. Available at http://www.lincei.it/ pubblicazioni/rendicontiFMN/
- [19] T. Shioda, Some results on unirationality of algebraic surfaces, Math. Ann. 230 (1977), no. 2, 153-168. MR-0572983
- [20] The Stacks Project Authors, The stacks project. https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/