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#### Abstract

Bielliptic and quasi-bielliptic surfaces form one of the four classes of minimal smooth projective surfaces of Kodaira dimension 0. In this article, we determine the automorphism group schemes of these surfaces over algebraically closed fields of arbitrary characteristic, generalizing work of Bennett and Miranda over the complex numbers; we also find some cases that are missing from the classification of automorphism groups of bielliptic surfaces in characteristic 0 .
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## 1. Introduction

We are working over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Bielliptic and quasi-bielliptic surfaces form one of the four types of minimal smooth projective surfaces of Kodaira dimension 0 . Each bielliptic surface $X$ is a quotient $\pi: E \times C \rightarrow(E \times C) / G=X$, where $E$ and $C$ are elliptic curves and $G \subseteq E$ is a finite subgroup scheme of $E$ that acts faithfully on $C$ via $\alpha: G \rightarrow$ Aut $_{C}$. Moreover, the image of $\alpha$ is not entirely contained in the group of translations $C$. This latter condition guarantees that $X$ is not an Abelian surface. All possible combinations of $E, C, G$ and $\alpha$ have been determined: if $p=0$ by Bagnera and de Franchis in [BdF10], and if $p \neq 0$ by Bombieri and Mumford in [BM77].

Similarly, quasi-bielliptic surfaces, which exist if and only if $p \in\{2,3\}$, are obtained by replacing $C$ by a cuspidal plane cubic curve and by imposing on $\alpha$ the condition that the cusp of $C$ is not a fixed point of the group scheme $\alpha(G)$. As in the bielliptic case, it is possible to determine all combinations of $E, C, G$ and $\alpha$. We refer the reader to [BM76], but note that not all cases listed there actually occur (see Remark 5.12 and Remark 5.13).

Bielliptic and quasi-bielliptic surfaces come with two natural fibrations: one of them is the Albanese $\operatorname{map} f_{E}: X \rightarrow E / G=: E^{\prime}$, which is quasi-elliptic if $X$ is quasi-bielliptic, and elliptic if $X$ is bielliptic. All closed fibers of $f_{E}$ are isomorphic to $C$, since this holds after pulling back along the faithfully flat morphism $E \rightarrow E / G$. The second fibration $f_{C}: X \rightarrow C / \alpha(G)=: C^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is always elliptic, but has multiple fibers.

The purpose of this article is to determine the automorphism group scheme $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}$ of $X$. If $p=0$, this has been carried out by Bennett and Miranda in [BM90]. By Proposition 3.1, the actions of the centralizers $C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{E}}(G)$ and $C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ on the first and second factor of $E \times C$, respectively, descend to $X$ and we consider them as subgroup schemes of Aut ${ }_{X}$ via these actions. Then, the following theorem is the key result of this article.

Theorem 1.1. Let $X=(E \times C) / G$ be a bielliptic or quasi-bielliptic surface. Then, there is a short exact sequence of group schemes

$$
1 \rightarrow\left(C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{E}}(G) \times C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{C}}(\alpha(G))\right) / G \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} \operatorname{Aut}_{X} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 1
$$

where $G$ is embedded via $\mathrm{id} \times \alpha$ and $M$ is a finite and étale group scheme. In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}$ is of finite type.
We refer the reader to Theorem 4.3 for a refined statement including a description of the group schemes Aut $_{X / E^{\prime}}$ and Aut $_{X / C^{\prime}}$ of automorphisms of $X$ over $E^{\prime}$ and over $C^{\prime}$, respectively. While the part of Aut ${ }_{X}$ coming from the centralizers is straightforward to calculate and understand, the part $M$ is more elusive. In particular, we note that $M$ can be non-trivial even in characteristic 0 , contrary to what is claimed in [BM90, Section 2]. Even though we do not see an a priori reason for this, $M$ always comes from automorphisms
of $E \times C$. Then, a posteriori, Theorem 4.3 (6) gives a complete description of $M$ (see Remark 4.5). By Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 (3), we have the following corollary of our analysis.

Corollary 1.2. Let $X=(E \times C) / G$ be a bielliptic or quasi-bielliptic surface. Then,

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{X} \cong N_{\operatorname{Aut}_{E} \times \operatorname{Aut}_{C}}(G) / G
$$

where $N_{\text {Aut }_{E} \times \operatorname{Aut}_{C}}(G)$ is the normalizer of $G$ in $\operatorname{Aut}_{E} \times \mathrm{Aut}_{C}$.
By Corollary 4.7, we have $E \cong\left(\text { Aut }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ and $\left(\text { Aut }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ is normal in Aut ${ }_{X}$, so we can write the quotient Aut $_{X} / E$ as an extension of $M$ by $\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / E\right) \times\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)\right)$. These group schemes can be calculated explicitly and this will be carried out in Section 5. In the following Tables 1, 2, and 3, the groups $S_{n}, A_{n}$, and $D_{2 n}$ are the symmetric, alternating, and dihedral group (of order $2 n$ ), respectively, and $M_{2}$ is the $p$-torsion subscheme of a supersingular elliptic curve. The stars and daggers in Table 1 will be explained in Remark 1.4.

Corollary 1.3. Let $X=(E \times C) / G$ be a bielliptic or quasi-bielliptic surface. Then, depending on the group scheme $G$ and the $j$-invariants $j(E)$ and $j(C)$, the group schemes $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / E, C_{A u t_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)$ and $M$ are as in Table 1,2 , and 3.

| G | $j(E)$ | $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}(G) / E}$ | $j(C)$ | $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)$ | M | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | a) any <br> b) $1728^{*}$ | a) $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ <br> b) $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | i) $\neq 0,1728$ <br> ii) 1728 <br> iii) 0 | i) $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ <br> ii) $\quad D_{8}$ <br> iii) $\quad A_{4}$ | \{1\} | * 2, 3 |
| $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | a) any <br> b) $1728^{\dagger}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | i) $a n y$ <br> ii) $1728^{*}$ | i) $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ <br> ii) $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | a) $\{1\}$ <br> b) $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | \# 2, 3 |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | a) any <br> b) $0^{*}$ | a) $\{1\}$ <br> b) $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $S_{3}$ | \{1\} | \# 2, 3 |
| $(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | a) $a n y$ <br> b) $0^{\dagger}$ | \{1\} | 0 | \{1\} | a) $\{1\}$ <br> b) $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 2,3$ |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | any | \{1\} | 1728 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | \{1\} | $\neq 2$ |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | any | \{1\} | 1728 | \{1\} | \{1\} | $\neq 2$ |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | any | \{1\} | 0 | \{1\} | \{1\} | $\neq 2,3$ |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | a) $\neq 0$ <br> b) 0 | a) $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ <br> b) $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | i) $\neq 0$ <br> ii) 0 | i) $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ <br> ii) $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2} \rtimes S_{3}$ | \{1\} | 3 |
| $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | a) $\neq 0$ <br> b) 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | i) $\neq 0$ <br> ii) 0 | i) $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ <br> ii) $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | a) $\{1\}$ <br> b) $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | 3 |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | \{1\} | 0 | $\alpha_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | 3 |
| Z/6Z | $\neq 0$ | \{1\} | 0 | \{1\} | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | 3 |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | i) $\neq 0$ <br> ii) 0 | i) $\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ <br> ii) $\quad M_{2} \rtimes A_{4}$ | \{1\} | 2 |
| $\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | \{1\} | 2 |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | a) $\neq 0$ <br> b) 0 | a) $\{1\}$ <br> b) $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $S_{3}$ | \{1\} | 2 |
| $(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | a) $\neq 0$ <br> b) 0 | \{1\} | 0 | \{1\} | a) $\{1\}$ <br> b) $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | 2 |
| Z/4Z | $\neq 0$ | \{1\} | 0 | $\alpha_{2}$ | Z/2Z | 2 |
| $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | \{1\} | 0 | \{1\} | \{1\} | 2 |

Table 1. Automorphism group schemes of bielliptic surfaces

| $G$ | $j(E)$ | $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / E$ | $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)$ | $M$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{3}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\{1\}$ | $S_{3}$ | $\{1\}$ | 3 |
| $\mu_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | 3 |
| $\mu_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | 3 |
| $\alpha_{3}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\alpha_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | 3 |
| $\alpha_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | 3 |

Table 2. Automorphism group schemes of quasi-bielliptic surfaces in characteristic 3

| G | $j(E)$ | $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / E$ | $\lambda$ | $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)$ | M | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{2}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | i) $\neq 0$ <br> ii) 0 | i) $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ <br> ii) $\quad A_{4}$ | $\begin{array}{cl} \hline \text { i) } & \{1\} \\ \text { ii) } & \{1\} \end{array}$ | 2 |
| $\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | \{1\} | - | \{1\} | \{1\} | 2 |
| $\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | any | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | \{1\} | 2 |
| $\mu_{4}$ | $\neq 0$ | \{1\} | - | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | \{1\} | 2 |
| $\mu_{4} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | \{1\} | - | \{1\} | \{1\} | 2 |
| $\alpha_{2}$ | 0 | $Q_{8}$ | i) 1 <br> ii) 0 | i) $\quad \alpha_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ <br> ii) $\left(\alpha_{4} \rtimes \alpha_{4}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | i) $\{1\}$ <br> ii) $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | 2 |
| $\alpha_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | \{1\} | - | \{1\} | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | 2 |
| $M_{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\neq 0$ | $\alpha_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | 2 |

Table 3. Automorphism group schemes of quasi-bielliptic surfaces in characteristic 2
Remark 1.4. Let us explain the meaning of the stars and daggers in Table 1. We denote by $O \in E$ the neutral element with respect to the group law on $E$ :

- Stars: If $p \neq 2,3$ and $j(E)=1728$, then every automorphism $h_{E}$ of $(E, O)$ of order 4 fixes a unique cyclic subgroup of $E$ of order 2. Similarly, if $p \neq 2,3$ and $j(E)=0$, then every automorphism $h_{E}$ of $(E, O)$ of order 3 fixes a unique cyclic subgroup of $E$ of order 3 . A star after a $j$-invariant in Table 1 denotes that the translation subgroup of $G$ or $\alpha(G)$ coincides with this cyclic subgroup. By Lemma 5.1, this implies that $h_{E}$ is in the corresponding centralizer. We note that such special 2 and 3 -torsion points do not exist if $p=2,3$, because $(E, O)$ has more automorphisms in these characteristics.
- Daggers: A dagger after $j(E)$ denotes that the special 2 or 3 -torsion points described above maps to a translation in $\alpha(G)$. In these cases, the automorphism $\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right)$, where $h_{E}$ is an automorphism of order 4 or 3 of $(E, O)$ and $h_{C}$ is translation by a suitable 4 or 3 -torsion point, respectively, normalizes the $G$-action on $E \times C$ and hence descends to $X$. Since ( $h_{E}, h_{C}$ ) does not centralize the $G$-action, it induces a non-trivial element of $M$. See the proof of Proposition 5.5 for a precise description of the automorphism $\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right)$ in these cases.
These cases seem to be missing from [BM90], since they were not listed in [BM90, Table 1.1], which is why [BM90, Table 3.2] differs from our Table 1.

Remark 1.5. In the quasi-bielliptic case in characteristic 2, the action of $G$ on $E \times C$ sometimes depends on a parameter $\lambda \in k$ and so does Aut $_{X}$. For an explicit description of $\lambda$, see Section 5.2.2. The parameter $\lambda$ should be thought of as a replacement for the $j$-invariant of the curve $C$.

Recall that the space $H^{0}\left(X, T_{X}\right)$ is the tangent space of Aut $_{X}$ at the identity. Since $E \cong\left(\text { Aut }{ }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$, Aut ${ }_{X}$ is smooth if and only if $h^{0}\left(X, T_{X}\right)=1$. A careful inspection of Tables 1,2 , and 3 , and of the orders of the canonical bundle $\omega_{X}$ determined in [BM77] and [BM76] shows the following.

Corollary 1.6. Let $X$ be a bielliptic or quasi-bielliptic surface. Then, the following hold:
(7) $h^{0}\left(X, T_{X}\right) \leq 3$.
(2) If $X$ is bielliptic or $p \neq 2$, then $h^{0}\left(X, T_{X}\right) \leq 2$.
(3) $h^{0}\left(X, T_{X}\right)=1$ if and only if $\omega_{X} \not \not \mathcal{O}_{X}$ if and only if $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}$ is smooth.
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## 2. Notation and generalities on automorphism group schemes

Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of proper varieties over an algebraically closed field $k$. There are several $k$-group schemes of automorphisms associated to $\pi$. We follow the notation of [Bril8, Section 2.4], which we recall for the convenience of the reader. Throughout, $T$ is an arbitrary $k$-scheme.

- The automorphism group scheme $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}$ of $X$ is the $k$-group scheme whose group of $T$-valued points $\operatorname{Aut}_{X}(T):=\operatorname{Aut}\left(X \times_{k} T\right)$ is the group of automorphisms of $X_{T}:=X \times_{k} T$ over $T$. By [MO68, Theorem (3.7)], $\operatorname{Aut}_{X}$ is a group scheme locally of finite type over $k$. The identity component of $\operatorname{Aut}_{X}$ is denoted by Aut ${ }_{X}^{\circ}$.
- The automorphism group scheme $\operatorname{Aut}_{\pi}$ of the morphism $\pi$ is the $k$-group scheme such that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\pi}(T)$ consists of pairs $(g, h) \in \operatorname{Aut}_{Y}(T) \times \operatorname{Aut}_{X}(T)$ making the diagram

commutative. In particular, $\operatorname{Aut}_{\pi}(-)$ is a closed subfunctor of $\operatorname{Aut}_{Y}(-) \times \operatorname{Aut}_{X}(-)$, hence $\operatorname{Aut}_{\pi}$ is representable by a group scheme locally of finite type over $k$.
- The group scheme $\mathrm{Aut}_{\pi}$ comes with projections to $\mathrm{Aut}_{Y}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}$. If $\pi$ is faithfully flat, then the first projection $\mathrm{Aut}_{\pi} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{Y}$ is a closed immersion and we will use this to consider $\mathrm{Aut}_{\pi}$ as a subgroup scheme of Aut $Y_{Y}$. We denote the second projection by $\pi_{*}:$ Aut $_{\pi} \rightarrow$ Aut $_{X}$.
- The automorphism group scheme Aut $_{Y / X}$ of $Y$ over $X$ is the $k$-group scheme whose group of $T$-valued points $\operatorname{Aut}_{Y / X}(T)$ consists of automorphisms $g \in \operatorname{Aut}_{Y}(T)$ such that $\pi_{T} \circ g=\pi_{T}$. By definition, there is an exact sequence realizing $\mathrm{Aut}_{Y / X}$ as a subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\pi}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{Y / X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\pi} \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} \operatorname{Aut}_{X} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Given a closed subgroup scheme $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_{Y}$, the normalizer $N_{\text {Aut }_{Y}}(G)$ of $G$ in Aut $_{Y}$ is the $k$-group scheme whose group of $T$-valued points is

$$
N_{\text {Aut }_{Y}}(G)(T)=\left\{h \in \operatorname{Aut}_{Y}(T) \mid h_{T^{\prime}} \circ g \circ\left(h_{T^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} \in G\left(T^{\prime}\right) \text { for all } T^{\prime} \rightarrow T \text { and } g \in G\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

The centralizer $C_{\text {Aut }_{Y}}(G)$ of $G$ in Aut $_{Y}$ is the group scheme whose $T$-valued points satisfy the stronger condition $h_{T}, \circ g \circ\left(h_{T},\right)^{-1}=g$ instead. By [ABD ${ }^{+} 66$, Exposé VIB, Proposition 6.2 (iv)], both $N_{\text {Aut }_{Y}}(G)$ and $C_{\text {Aut }_{Y}}(G)$ are closed subgroup schemes of Aut $_{Y}$.

Caution 2.1. The notation $\mathrm{Aut}_{Y / X}$ is also a standard notation for the group functor on the category of $X$-schemes that associates to an $X$-scheme $Z$ the automorphism group of $Y \times_{X} Z$ over $Z$. Since these relative automorphism group functors do not occur in this article, we decided to use the notation introduced above instead of more cumbersome, albeit more precise, notation such as Aut $_{Y / X / k}$.

## 3. Automorphism group schemes of quotients

In this section, we study Sequence (1) in the case where $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a finite quotient.
Proposition 3.1. If $G$ is a finite group scheme acting freely on a proper variety $Y$ such that the geometric quotient $\pi: Y \rightarrow Y / G=: X$ exists as a scheme, then we have Aut $_{Y / X}=G$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{\pi}=N_{\text {Aut }_{Y}}(G)$ as subgroup schemes of Aut $_{Y}$. In particular, Sequence (1) becomes

$$
1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow N_{\mathrm{Aut}_{Y}}(G) \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} \operatorname{Aut}_{X}
$$

Proof. First, we show that $\mathrm{Aut}_{Y / X}=G$. By [Brill, Lemma 4.1], there is a $G$-equivariant isomorphism Aut $_{Y / X} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(Y, G)$, where the $T$-valued points of the latter are $\operatorname{Hom}(Y \times T, G)$ and $G$ is embedded as $G=\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Spec} k, G)$. Since $Y$ is a proper variety and taking global sections commutes with flat base change, we have $H^{0}\left(Y \times T, \mathcal{O}_{Y \times T}\right)=k \otimes_{k} H^{0}\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)=H^{0}\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)$ for every affine $k$-scheme $T$. As $G$ is affine, this implies $\operatorname{Hom}(Y \times T, G)=\operatorname{Hom}(T, G)=G(T)$, which is what we had to show.

Next, we show $\mathrm{Aut}_{\pi}=N_{\text {Aut }_{Y}}(G)$. For this, let $h \in \operatorname{Aut}_{Y}(T)$ be an automorphism of $Y_{T}$. Then, $h \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\pi}(T)$ if and only if there is $h^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{X}(T)$ such that the following diagram commutes


Comparing degrees, it is easy to check that the geometric quotient of $Y_{T}$ by the induced free action of $G$ coincides with $\pi_{T}$, so the morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a universal geometric quotient of $Y$, hence also a universal categorical quotient by [MFK94, Proposition 0.1]. Therefore, the automorphism $h^{\prime}$ exists if and only if $\pi_{T} \circ h$ is $G$-invariant, that is, if and only if for every $T$-scheme $T^{\prime}$ we have $\pi_{T^{\prime}} \circ h_{T^{\prime}} \circ g=\pi_{T^{\prime}} \circ h_{T^{\prime}}$ for all $g \in G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. This is equivalent to $h_{T^{\prime}} \circ g \circ h_{T^{\prime}}^{-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{Y / X}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $g \in G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, which is precisely the condition that $h \in N_{\text {Aut }_{Y}}(G)$.

Example 3.2. Contrary to the situation for abstract groups, Proposition 3.1 typically fails if $Y$ is a non-proper variety or the action of $G$ is not free. Indeed, consider any infinitesimal subgroup scheme $G \subseteq \mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ of length $p$. The $k$-linear Frobenius $F: Y:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}=: X$ is the geometric quotient for the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and Aut $_{Y / X}=\mathrm{PGL}_{2}[F]$ is the kernel of Frobenius on $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$. Moreover, we have Aut ${ }_{F}=\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$. Thus, Aut $_{Y / X}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{F}$ are strictly bigger than $G$ and $N_{\mathrm{PGL}_{2}}(G)$ even though $F$ is a $G$-torsor over an open subscheme of $X$.

Even though Example 3.2 shows that Proposition 3.1 fails for non-free actions on curves, we can at least describe the $k$-rational points in Sequence (1) if the quotient is smooth.

Proposition 3.3. Let $G$ be a finite group scheme acting faithfully on a proper integral curve $D$ with geometric quotient $\varphi: D \rightarrow D^{\prime}:=D / G$. Assume that $D^{\prime}$ is smooth. Then, we have

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{D / D^{\prime}}(k)=G(k) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Aut}_{\varphi}(k)=N_{\operatorname{Aut}(D)}(G(k))
$$

Proof. We can consider the four groups as subgroups of the group Aut ${ }_{k}(k(D))$ of $k$-linear field automorphisms of $k(D)$ via the injective restriction map $\operatorname{Aut}(D) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(k(D))$. We have a tower of field extensions $k\left(D^{\prime}\right) \subseteq k(D)^{G(k)} \subseteq k(D)$, where $k\left(D^{\prime}\right) \subseteq k(D)^{G(k)}$ is purely inseparable and $k(D)^{G(k)} \subseteq k(D)$ is a Galois
extension with Galois group $G(k)$. An elementary calculation shows that $N_{\text {Aut }_{k}(k(D))}(G(k))$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}\left(k(D)\right.$ ) of automorphisms preserving $k(D)^{G(k)}$. Since $D^{\prime}$ is a curve, we have $k\left(D^{\prime}\right)=\left(k(D)^{G(k)}\right)^{p^{n}}$ for some $n \geq 0$, so an automorphism of $k(D)$ preserves $k(D)^{G(k)}$ if and only if it preserves $k\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, $N_{\text {Aut }_{k}(k(D))}(G(k))$ is also the group of automorphisms of $k(D)$ preserving $k\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. On the other hand, since $D^{\prime}$ is smooth and proper, $\operatorname{Aut}_{\varphi}(k)$ consists precisely of those automorphisms of $D$ which, when restricted to $k(D)$, preserve $k\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, we have $N_{\operatorname{Aut}(D)}(G(k))=N_{\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(k(D))}(G(k)) \cap \operatorname{Aut}(D)=\operatorname{Aut}_{\varphi}(k)$, and $\operatorname{Aut}_{D / D^{\prime}}(k)=\operatorname{Aut}_{k\left(D^{\prime}\right)}(k(D)) \cap \operatorname{Aut}(D)=G(k)$, which is what we had to show.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Throughout this section, $E$ and $C$ are integral curves of arithmetic genus 1 , and we assume that $E$ is smooth and $C$ is either smooth or has a single cusp as singularity. We choose a point $O \in E$ and consider $E$ as an elliptic curve with identity element $O$. We fix a finite subgroup scheme $G \subseteq E$, and a monomorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{C}$ such that $\alpha(G)$ is not contained in the group of translations of $C$ if $C$ is smooth, and not contained in the stabilizer of the cusp if $C$ is singular. In particular, the actions of $G$ on $E$ (via translations) and $C($ via $\alpha)$ give rise to a product action of $G$ on $E \times C$ and we set $X:=(E \times C) / G$ with quotient map $\pi: E \times C \rightarrow X$. We have the following commutative diagram with two cartesian squares:


Since $G$ acts freely on $E$, the map $\pi_{E}$ induces isomorphisms on the fibers of $E \times C \rightarrow E$ and $X \times_{E^{\prime}} E \rightarrow E$ and thus, as both maps are flat, the morphism $\pi_{E}$ is an isomorphism. The following lemma shows that the automorphism group scheme of $X$ is controlled by the fibrations $f_{E}$ and $f_{C}$.

Lemma 4.1. There is a unique action of $\operatorname{Aut}_{X}$ on $C^{\prime}$ and on $E^{\prime}$ such that both $f_{E}: X \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ and $f_{C}: X \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ are $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}$-equivariant. In particular, there are exact sequences

$$
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{X} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{E^{\prime}}\right)_{*}} \operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{X} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{C^{\prime}}\right)_{x}} \operatorname{Aut}_{C^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. The Aut ${ }_{X}^{\circ}$-action on $X$ descends to both $E^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$ by Blanchard's Lemma [BSU13, Proposition 4.2.1]. Since $f_{E}$ and $f_{C}$ are the only fibrations of $X$ and $E^{\prime} \nsupseteq C^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, it is also clear that the action of the abstract group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ descends to $E^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$. By [Bril8, Lemma 2.20 (ii)], this is enough to prove that the whole Aut $_{X}$-action descends uniquely to the two curves $E^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$.

With respect to the Aut ${ }_{X}$-actions of the previous paragraph, we have $\operatorname{Aut}_{X}=\operatorname{Aut}_{f_{C}}=\operatorname{Aut}_{f_{E}}$, hence the short exact sequences in the statement of the lemma are special cases of Sequence (1).

The idea for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to use the isomorphism $\pi_{E}$ to lift group scheme actions from $X$ to $E \times C$. By Proposition 3.1, the automorphisms of $X$ that come from $E \times C$ are induced by the normalizer $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G)$. Therefore, before proving Theorem 1.1, we study $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G)$. For the following lemma, note that there is a natural inclusion $\operatorname{Aut}_{E} \times \operatorname{Aut}_{C} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{E \times C}$ given by letting $\operatorname{Aut}_{E}$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{C}$ act
on the first and second factor, respectively. In particular, we can consider $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \times C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ and $N_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \times N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ as subgroup schemes of Aut $E_{E \times C}$.

Lemma 4.2. The normalizer $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G)$ of $G$ in Aut $_{E \times C}$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G) \supseteq C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \times C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$.
(2) $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}^{\circ}(G)=C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}^{\circ}(G) \times C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}^{\circ}(\alpha(G))$.
(3) $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G)(T)=\left\{\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right) \in N_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)(T) \times N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))(T) \mid \alpha_{T} \circ \operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}=\operatorname{ad}_{h_{C}} \circ \alpha_{T}\right\}$, where $\operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}$ and $\mathrm{ad}_{h_{C}}$ denote conjugation by $h_{E}$ and $h_{C}$, respectively.
(4) The quotient maps $C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ and $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ are $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G)$-equivariant.

Proof. Claim (1) is clear.
For Claim (2), the inclusion $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}^{\circ}(G) \supseteq C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}^{\circ}(G) \times C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}^{\circ}(\alpha(G))$ follows from Claim (1) and we have to show the other inclusion. By [BSU13, Corollary 4.2.7], we have $\mathrm{Aut}_{E \times C}^{\circ}=\mathrm{Aut}_{E}^{\circ} \times \mathrm{Aut}^{\circ}$. In particular, being connected, $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}^{\circ}(G)$ is contained in Aut ${ }_{E}^{\circ} \times$ Aut $_{C}^{\circ}$. Hence, it suffices to show that $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}^{\circ}(G)$ centralizes $G$ on the first factor of $E \times C$. Since $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_{E}^{\circ}$ is a subgroup scheme of the connected commutative group scheme Aut ${ }_{E}^{\circ}$, we have $\operatorname{Aut}_{E}^{\circ} \subseteq C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}^{\circ}(G) \subseteq N_{\text {Aut }_{E}}^{\circ}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_{E}^{\circ}$, so $N_{\text {Aut }_{E}}^{\circ}(G)$ centralizes $G$. Therefore, $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}^{\circ}(G)$ centralizes $G$ as well.

Claim (3) holds for $N_{\text {Aut }_{\text {ExC }}}^{\circ}(G)$ by Claim (2), so it suffices to prove the statement for $T=$ Spec $k$. Let $h \in N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G)(k)$. Since $h$ normalizes $G$, it descends to $X$ by Proposition 3.1. The induced automorphism of $X$ preserves both $f_{C}$ and $f_{E}$, because they are the only fibrations of $X$ and $E^{\prime}$ has genus 1 , while $C^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Since the projections $E \times C \rightarrow E$ and $E \times C \rightarrow C$ coincide with the Stein factorizations of $f_{E} \circ \pi$ and $f_{C} \circ \pi$, respectively, both projections are preserved by $h$. Hence, $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(E) \times \operatorname{Aut}(C)$. An automorphism of this form normalizes the $G$-action on $E \times C$ if and only if it normalizes the $G$-action on both factors and the automorphisms of $G$ induced by the two conjugations are identified via $\alpha$. This proves Claim (3).

Claim (4) follows from the $N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G)$-equivariance of $\pi, f_{E}$, and $f_{C}$, since the two projections $E \times C \rightarrow E$ and $E \times C \rightarrow C$ are faithfully flat.

Recall that, by Proposition 3.1, the action of $N_{\mathrm{Aut}_{E \times C}}(G)$ on $E \times C$ descends to $X$ and we denote the corresponding homomorphism by $\pi_{*}: N_{\text {Aut }_{E X C}}(G) \rightarrow$ Aut $_{X}$. After these preparations, we are ready to prove the following refined version of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.3 (cf. Theorem 1.1). Let $X=(E \times C) / G$ be a bielliptic or quasi-bielliptic surface. Then:
(1) $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}}=\pi_{*}\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \times\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \cap \operatorname{Aut}_{C / C^{\prime}}\right)\right)$.
(2) If $G$ is étale, then $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}} \cong C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{E}}(G)$.
(3) $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}}=\pi_{*}\left(\left(C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{E}}(G) \cap \operatorname{Aut}_{E / E^{\prime}}\right) \times C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))\right)$.
(4) $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}} \cong C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$.
(5) There is a short exact sequence of group schemes

$$
1 \rightarrow\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \times C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))\right) / G \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} \operatorname{Aut}_{X} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 1,
$$

where $G$ is embedded via $\mathrm{id} \times \alpha, M$ is finite and étale, and $M(k)$ is a subquotient of the groups $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}}(k) /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)(k)\right)$ and $N_{\text {Aut }_{(C)}}(\alpha(G)(k)) /\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))(k)\right)$.
(6) If every element of $M(k)$ can be represented by an automorphism of $X$ that lifts to $E \times C$, then

$$
M(k) \cong \frac{\left\{\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right) \in N_{\operatorname{Aut}_{E}}(G) \times N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \mid \alpha \circ \operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}=\operatorname{ad}_{h_{C}} \circ \alpha\right\}}{C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{E}}(G)(k) \times C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{C}}(\alpha(G))(k)} .
$$

This always holds if X is bielliptic.
Proof. For Claim (1), we first show that the Aut $_{X / C^{\prime}}$-action lifts to $E \times C$. For this, choose a general point $c \in C$ and let $c^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ be its image in $C^{\prime}$, so that $\pi$ restricted to $E \times\{c\}$ yields an identification of $E$ with the fiber $F$ of $f_{C}$ over $c^{\prime}$. Via this identification, the morphism $\left(f_{E}\right) \|_{F}: F \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is identified with the quotient map
$E \rightarrow E / G=E^{\prime}$. By Lemma 4.1, the action of $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}}$ on $X$ descends to an action on $E^{\prime}$, and we can use the restriction homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{F}$ and the identification of $F$ with $E$ to get a compatible action of Aut $_{X / C^{\prime}}$ on $E$. Using the isomorphism $\pi_{E}: E \times C \rightarrow X \times_{E^{\prime}} E$, we thus obtain an action of Aut ${ }_{X / C^{\prime}}$ on $E \times C$ that lifts the action of $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}}$ on $X$. Hence, $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}}$ is in the image of $\pi_{*}$ and it remains to describe its preimage.

By Lemma 4.2 (4), a subgroup scheme $H \subseteq N_{\text {Aut }_{E \times C}}(G) \subseteq$ Aut $_{E} \times$ Aut $_{C}$ maps to Aut ${ }_{X / C^{\prime}}$ via $\pi_{*}$ if and only if it maps to $\mathrm{Aut}_{C / C^{\prime}}$ under the second projection. To prove Claim (1), we have to show that such an $H$ in fact centralizes $G$. By Lemma 4.2 (2) this holds for $H^{\circ}$, so we have to prove that $H(k)$ centralizes $\alpha(G)$. Observe that $H(k)$ is mapped to Aut $_{C / C^{\prime}}(k)$ under the second projection and Aut $C_{C / C^{\prime}}(k)=\alpha(G)(k)$ by Proposition 3.3. This, and the fact that $G$ is abelian, implies that $H(k)$ centralizes $\alpha(G)$. Now, Lemma 4.2 (3), shows that $H(k)$ centralizes the $G$-action on $E \times C$.

For Claim (2), it suffices to show that $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \cap$ Aut $_{C / C^{\prime}}=\alpha(G)$, since there is an isomorphism $\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \times \alpha(G)\right) / G \cong C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)$. This holds if $G$ is étale, for then Aut $C_{C / C}$, is the constant group scheme associated to $\alpha(G)$ by Proposition 3.3.

For Claim (3), we only have to show that the Aut ${ }_{X / E^{\prime}}$-action lifts to $E \times C$, because the description of the preimage of $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}}$ under $\pi_{*}$ works as in the proof of Claim (1). Since Aut ${ }_{X / E^{\prime}}$ acts trivially on $E^{\prime}$, we can use the trivial action of Aut ${ }_{X / E^{\prime}}$ on $E$ to define an action of $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}}$ on $X \times_{E^{\prime}} E$ lifting the action of $A u t_{X / E^{\prime}}$ on $X$. Using the isomorphism $\pi_{E}: E \times C \rightarrow X \times_{E^{\prime}} E$, we thus obtain the desired lifting.

For Claim (4), we use that the $G$-action on $E$ is free. By Proposition 3.1 this implies that Aut ${ }_{E / E^{\prime}}=G$. Hence, Claim (3) shows that $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}}=\pi_{*}\left(G \times C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \cong C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))\right.$.

Next, let us prove Claim (5). By Proposition 3.1, the image of $C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{E}}(G) \times C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ under $\pi_{*}$ is isomorphic to $\left(C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{E}}(G) \times C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{C}}(\alpha(G))\right) / G$. By Claim (1) and Claim (3), this image coincides with the subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}$ generated by the two normal subgroup schemes $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}}$, hence it is itself normal. In particular, the quotient $M$ and the exact sequence in Claim (3) exist. It remains to describe $M$.

First, consider the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{X} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{E}\right)_{*}} \operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

from Lemma 4.1, where we used Claim (4) to describe the kernel of $\left(f_{E}\right)_{*}$. The homomorphism $\left(f_{E}\right)_{*}$ identifies the group scheme $M$ with a subgroup scheme of $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right)$. We can choose the image $O^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}$ of $O \in E$ as the neutral element of a group law on $E^{\prime}$. Then, we have $\mathrm{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} \cong E^{\prime} \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}_{E^{\prime}, O^{\prime}}$ for the finite and étale stabilizer $\mathrm{Aut}_{E^{\prime}, O^{\prime}}$ of $O^{\prime}$. Using the translation action, we can consider $E$ as a subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{Aut}_{E} \times \mathrm{Aut}_{C}$. In fact, we have $E \subseteq C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{E}}(G)$, since $G \subseteq E$ and $E$ is commutative. By Lemma 4.2 (4), the induced action on $E^{\prime}$ coincides with the translation action of $E^{\prime}$ on itself. Hence, $E^{\prime} \subseteq\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{E}}(G)$. In particular, $M$ is a subquotient of $\mathrm{Aut}_{E^{\prime}, O^{\prime}}$ and hence it is finite and étale.

Let $H:=\left(f_{E}\right)_{*}^{-1}\left(\right.$ Aut $\left._{E^{\prime}, O^{\prime}}\right) \subseteq$ Aut $_{X}$ and let $F$ be the fiber of $f_{E}$ over $O^{\prime}$. Then, the restriction of $\pi$ to $\{O\} \times C$ gives an identification of $C$ with $F$ such that the quotient map $\varphi: C \rightarrow C / \alpha(G)=C^{\prime}$ is identified with $\left.\left(f_{C}\right)\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow C^{\prime}$. In the following, we use this identification to write $C$ instead of $F$ and $\varphi$ instead of $\left.\left(f_{C}\right)\right|_{F}$. Since Aut $E_{E^{\prime}, O^{\prime}}$ fixes $O^{\prime}$, the action of $H$ on $X$ preserves $C$ and the morphism $\varphi$ is $H$-equivariant, since $f_{C}$ is Aut ${ }_{X}$-equivariant by Lemma 4.1. In other words, the $H$-action on $C$ factors through Aut ${ }_{\varphi}$. By Claim (1), the kernel of this action is contained in $\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \times C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))\right) / G$, hence $M$ is a subquotient of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\varphi} / C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$. Now, it suffices to observe that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\varphi}(k)=N_{\text {Aut }(C)}(\alpha(G)(k))$, which follows from Proposition 3.3.

Finally, for Claim (6), the description of $M$ follows immediately from Lemma 4.2 (3) and Proposition 3.1. By the previous paragraph, we can lift every element of $M(k)$ to an automorphism $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ mapping under $\left(f_{C}\right)_{*}$ to the image of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\varphi}(k) \rightarrow$ Aut $_{C^{\prime}}(k)$. In particular, $g$ lifts to an automorphism $h^{\prime}$ of $X \times_{C^{\prime}} C$. Since $\pi_{C}: E \times C \rightarrow E \times_{C^{\prime}} C$ is birational, we obtain a birational automorphism $h$ of $E \times C$. Now, if $X$ is
bielliptic, then $E \times C$ is smooth, minimal, and non-ruled hence $h$ extends to a biregular automorphism of $E \times C$ lifting $g$.

Remark 4.4. We remark that if $G$ is not étale, then the group $N_{\text {Aut }(C)}(\alpha(G)(k))$ will usually be bigger than $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))(k)$. Only later it will turn out that $M$ is in fact a subquotient of the smaller group $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))(k) / C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))(k)$ in every case.
Remark 4.5. In the case-by-case analysis of quasi-bielliptic surfaces in Section 5.2, we will show that the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 (6) are also satisfied for all quasi-bielliptic surfaces, hence the description of $M$ also holds for these surfaces.

Remark 4.6. It will follow from the calculations of Section 5 that Theorem 4.3 (2) holds for all bielliptic surfaces. Indeed, the situation where $X$ is bielliptic and $G$ is not étale only occurs if $p=2$ and $G=\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and in this case explicit calculations show that $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \cap$ Aut $_{C / C^{\prime}}=\alpha(G)$, hence the existence of an isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}} \cong C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)$ follows from Theorem 4.3 (1). In particular, for bielliptic surfaces, we always have $\mathrm{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}} \cap \mathrm{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}}=\pi_{*}(G \times \alpha(G)) \cong G$.

If $X$ is quasi-bielliptic, then it is not true in general that $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}} \cong C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)$. Indeed, for example if $p=3$ and $G=\alpha_{3}$, then $C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ is purely inseparable of degree 3 , hence Aut $_{C / C^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Aut}_{C}[F]$. Calculations (see Section 5.2.1, Case (d)) show that $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)^{\circ} \cong \alpha_{3}^{2}$ and $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \cong E \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, by Theorem 4.3 (1), $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}}$ is non-reduced while $C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{E}}(G)$ is reduced, so they cannot be isomorphic. In particular, for quasi-bielliptic surfaces, $\operatorname{Aut}_{X / C^{\prime}} \cap \mathrm{Aut}_{X / E^{\prime}}$ can be larger than $G$.

We end this section with a description of (Aut $\left.{ }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$. We are thankful to the editors for sharing an observation that allowed us to avoid forward references to Section 5 in the proof of the following proposition.

Corollary 4.7. We have $E \cong\left(\mathrm{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}$.
Proof. Since $X$ is not birationally ruled, [Pop16, Theorem 1] implies that $\left(\text { Aut }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ does not contain a connected linear algebraic group, hence, by [BSU13, Theorem 1.1.1], ( Aut $\left._{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ is an Abelian variety. Then, by [BSU13, Proposition 2.2.1], the stabilizers of the (Aut $\left.{ }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}-$ action on $X$ are finite. Since $X$ is not an Abelian surface, the $\left(\mathrm{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}-$ action on $X$ cannot be transitive, hence $\left(\mathrm{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ is either trivial or an elliptic curve. Now, by Theorem 4.3, the action of $E$ on the first factor of $E \times C$ descends to a faithful action of $E$ on $X$. This yields a monomorphism, and hence an isomorphism, of elliptic curves $E \rightarrow\left(\text { Aut }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$. To see that $\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ is normal in $\operatorname{Aut}_{X}$, let $\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {ant }}$ be the largest anti-affine subgroup scheme of Aut ${ }_{X}^{\circ}$ (see [BSU13, Chapter 5]). By [BSU13, Lemma 5.1.1], (Aut $\left.{ }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {ant }}$ is smooth and connected, and it contains $\left(\text { Aut }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$, since the latter is anti-affine. Hence, $\left(\text { Aut }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}=\left(\text { Aut }_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {ant }}$. By [BSU13, Theorem 1.2.1, Remark 1.2.2], $\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {ant }}=\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}\right)_{\text {red }}$ is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}_{X}^{\circ}$, hence also normal in Aut ${ }_{X}$. This finishes the proof.

## 5. Computing centralizers and normalizers

First, recall that if $D$ is an integral curve of arithmetic genus $p_{a}(D)=1$ with smooth locus $D^{s m}$ and with a chosen point $O \in D^{s m}$, then there is a decomposition $\mathrm{Aut}_{D}=D^{s m} \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}_{D, O}$, where the group scheme Aut $_{D, O}$ of automorphisms fixing $O$ acts on the group scheme $D^{s m}$ of translations $t_{s}$ by points $s \in D^{s m}$ via $g \circ t_{s} \circ g^{-1}=t_{g(s)}$. This is because Aut $_{D, O}$ acts on $D^{s m}$ via group scheme automorphisms, see [Sil09, Theorem 4.8] and [BM76, Proposition 6]. We use the letter $D$ here, since, using the terminology of Section 4, the following Lemma 5.1 applies to both $D=E$ and $D=C$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $D$ be an integral curve with $p_{a}(D)=1$ and with a chosen point $O \in D^{s m}$. Let $G_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_{D, O}$ and $G_{2} \subseteq D^{s m}$ be subgroup schemes. Then, the following are equivalent:
(1) $G_{2}$ normalizes $G_{1}$.
(2) $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ commute.
(3) $G_{2} \subseteq D^{G_{1}}$.

Proof. Note that if $T$ is a $k$-scheme, $g \in G_{1}(T)$, and $t_{s} \in G_{2}(T)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{s} \circ g \circ t_{-s}=t_{s-g(s)} \circ g \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $s=g(s)$ for all $t_{s} \in G_{2}(T)$, then $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ commute, hence (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). The implication $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ is clear, hence it remains to prove $(1) \Rightarrow(3)$ : if $G_{2}$ normalizes $G_{1}$, then Equation (3) shows that $t_{s-g(s)}\left(O_{T^{\prime}}\right)=O_{T^{\prime}}$ for all $T$-schemes $T^{\prime}$ and $t_{s} \in G_{2}(T)$. This is only possible if $s=g(s)$, hence $G_{2}(T) \subseteq D^{G_{1}}(T)$.

### 5.1. Bielliptic surfaces

We use the notation of Section 4 and Lemma 5.1, but assume that $D$ is smooth. In each of the cases $p \neq 2,3, p=3$ and $p=2$, we will recall the structure of the subgroup scheme $\operatorname{Aut}_{D, O} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_{D}$. Moreover, for every commutative subgroup $H \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}_{D, O}$, we list the fixed locus $D^{H}$ and, if $\mathrm{Aut}_{D, O}$ is non-commutative, also the centralizer and normalizer of $H$ in Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.6, and Lemma 5.9. All of this is well-known and elementary to check, and we refer the reader to [Sil09, Section III. 10 and Appendix A] for details. Together with Lemma 5.1, it will be straightforward to calculate the groups $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)$ and $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ of Theorem 1.1 and produce Table 1. We will leave the details to the reader, but we will explain how the calculations work in Example 5.3. Using Theorem 4.3 (6), we calculate $M$ in every case. The results of the calculations of this section are summarized in Table 1 . To simplify notation, we define

$$
N:=N_{\text {Aut }(C)}(\alpha(G)(k)) /\left(C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))(k)\right) .
$$

5.1.1. Characteristic $p \neq 2,3$.- By Bombieri and Mumford [BM77, p.37], the group schemes $G$ leading to bielliptic surfaces $X=(E \times C) / G$ are the seven groups

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z},(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2},(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{3}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

The translation subgroup of $\alpha(G)$ is trivial in the first four of these cases, and isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ in the other three cases, respectively.

Lemma 5.2. The non-trivial commutative subgroup schemes $H$ of $\mathrm{Aut}_{D, O}$ and their fixed loci $D^{H}$ are as in Table 4.

| $j(D)$ | Aut $_{D, O}$ | $H$ | $D^{H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\neq 0,1728$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ |
| 1728 | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ |
| 0 | $/ 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\{\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ |

Table 4. Aut $_{D, O}$ and its subgroups in characteristic $\neq 2,3$

Example 5.3. We explain how to calculate the centralizers in the case where the group is $G=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
For the calculation of $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)$, recall that translations in $E$ always commute with $G$. Next, by Lemma 5.1, an automorphism $h_{E} \in$ Aut $_{E, O}$ commutes with $G$ precisely if $G \subseteq E^{h_{E}}$. Now, we apply Lemma 5.2: if $j(E) \neq 1728$, or $j(E)=1728$ and $G$ does not coincide with the fixed locus of an automorphism $h_{E}$ of order 4 in $\operatorname{Aut}_{E, O}$, then $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / E \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. This is Case a) in the first row of Table 1. If $j(E)=1728$ and
$G=E^{h_{E}}$, then $C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{E}}(G) / E \cong \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$. This is Case b) in the first row of Table 1 and it seems to be missing from [BM90, Table 3.2], see also Remark 1.4.

For the calculation of $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$, we apply Lemma 5.1 to find the subgroup of translations of $C$ that commute with $\alpha(G)$. By Lemma 5.2, this group is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Next, by Lemma 5.2, the group $\alpha(G)$ is in the center of Aut $_{C, O}$, so $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2} \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}_{C, O}$. Now, if $j(E) \neq 0,1728$, then $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$, if $j(E)=1728$, then $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong D_{8}$, and if $j(E)=0$, then $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong A_{4}$. These are the Cases i), ii), and iii) in the first row of Table 1.

Similarly, one can calculate the centralizers of $G$ and $\alpha(G)$ for all seven possibilities of $G$. They are listed in Table 1. As for the group $N$, we have the following:

Lemma 5.4. The group $N$ is as in Table 5.

| $G$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $S_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ |

Table 5. The group $N$ in characteristic $\neq 2,3$

Proof. If $\alpha(G)$ does not contain translations, then $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))=C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ by Lemma 5.1 and because Aut $_{C, O}$ is abelian. Hence, $N$ is trivial in these cases.

If $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$, then conjugation by $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ fixes the unique non-trivial 2-torsion point $c$ in $\alpha(G)$. By Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.1, this implies $|N| \mid 2$. The non-trivial element of $N$ is induced by a 4 -torsion point $c^{\prime}$ of $C$ with $2 c^{\prime}=c$.

If $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$, then conjugation by $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ preserves the subgroup $\langle c\rangle \subseteq \alpha(G)$ generated by a non-trivial 3-torsion point $c$ in $\alpha(G)$. Thus, the action of $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ descends to $C^{\prime \prime}:=C /\langle c\rangle$. There, it maps to the normalizer in Aut ${ }_{C^{\prime \prime}}$ of a subgroup $G^{\prime \prime} \subseteq$ Aut $_{C^{\prime \prime}, O^{\prime \prime}}$ of order 3 , where $O^{\prime \prime}$ is the image of $O$. By Lemma 5.1 and Table 4, the normalizer of $G^{\prime \prime}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$, where $G^{\prime \prime}$ sits inside the second factor. Thus, $N$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_{3}$. One can check that the involution in Aut ${ }_{C, O}$ and a 3-torsion point not contained in $\langle c\rangle$ induce non-trivial elements of $N$, hence $N \cong S_{3}$.

Finally, if $G=\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, then, again, conjugation by $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ fixes the unique non-trivial 2-torsion point $c$ in $\alpha(G)$. In this case, however, the involution in $\alpha(G) \cap$ Aut $_{C, O}$ is the unique element in $\alpha(G)$ which is divisible by 2 , hence it is also fixed by $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$. Thus, by Lemma 5.1, a translation can be in $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ only if it is a translation by a 2 -torsion point. The non-trivial 2 -torsion point that commutes with $\alpha(G)$ is already contained in $\alpha(G)$, hence $N \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by one of the other two non-trivial 2-torsion points.

Proposition 5.5. The cases where $M$ is non-trivial are precisely the following:
(1) $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, j(E)=1728$, and the fixed points $G^{h_{E}}$ of the automorphism $h_{E}$ of order 4 in Aut ${ }_{E, O}$ act as translations on $C$. In this case, $M=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, j(E)=0$, and the fixed points $G^{h_{E}}$ of the automorphism $h_{E}$ of order 3 in Aut A $_{E, O}$ act as translations on $C$. In this case, $M=\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Assume that $M$ is non-trivial. By Theorem 4.3 (5) and Table 5, this can only happen if $G \in$ $\left\{(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2},(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.

Assume $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. By Theorem 4.3 (5) and Table 5, we have $|M| \mid 2$. If $j(E) \neq 1728$, then Aut $_{E^{\prime}} /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right)$ has odd order, hence $M=\{1\}$ by Theorem 4.3 (5). If $j(E)=1728$, we use Theorem 4.3 (6): by our description of the centralizers and normalizers, both $N_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)$ and $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and every non-trivial element of $M(k)$ can be represented by $h=\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right)$, where $h_{E} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{E, O}$ is of order 4 and $h_{C}$ is translation by a non-trivial 4-torsion
point such that $h_{C}^{2} \in \alpha(G)$. By Lemma 5.1 and Table 4, we have $\alpha \circ \operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}=\operatorname{ad}_{h_{C}} \circ \alpha$ if and only if the fixed point of $h_{E}$ maps via $\alpha$ to the unique translation in $\alpha(G)$. This is Case (1).

Next, assume $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Let $h=\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right)$ be an automorphism of $E \times C$ lifting a non-trivial element of $M(k)$. By our description of $C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{E}}(G)$ and $N$, we may assume that $h_{C}$ is either the involution in Aut ${ }_{C, O}$ or translation by a 3 -torsion point $c^{\prime} \notin \alpha(G)$, and that $h_{E} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{E, O}$. If $h_{E}$ is an involution, then ad $h_{h_{E}}$ fixes only the identity in $G$, while $\mathrm{ad}_{h_{C}}$ has more fixed points on $\alpha(G)$. Hence, by Theorem $4.3(6), h$ does not normalize the $G$-action on $E \times C$ in this case, a contradiction to Proposition 3.1. Thus, we may further assume that $j(E)=0$ and $h_{E}$ has order 3. Then, we may assume that $h_{C}$ is translation by $c^{\prime}$. By Lemma 5.1 and Table 4, we have $\alpha \circ \operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}=\operatorname{ad}_{h_{C}} \circ \alpha$ if and only if the fixed points of $h_{E}$ on $E$ map to translations in $\alpha(G)$. This is Case (2).

Finally, assume $G=\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $M$ is non-trivial and, using Theorem 4.3 (6), let $h=\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right)$ be an automorphism mapping to a non-trivial element in $M(k)$. We may assume that $h_{E} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{E, O}$ is the involution and $h_{C}$ is a translation by one of the 2 -torsion points not contained in $\alpha(G)$. Observe that $\operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}$ maps elements of order 4 in $G$ to their inverses while $\operatorname{ad}_{h_{C}}$ maps the automorphism $\sigma$ of order 4 in $\alpha(G) \cap$ Aut $_{C, O}$ to $\sigma \circ t_{c}$, where $c$ is the non-trivial 2-torsion point in $\alpha(G)$. Hence, we have $\alpha \circ \operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}} \neq \operatorname{ad}_{h_{C}} \circ \alpha$. This contradiction shows that $M=\{1\}$ in this case.
5.1.2. Characteristic $p=3$.- By Bombieri and Mumford [BM77, p.37], the groups $G$ leading to bielliptic surfaces $X=(E \times C) / G$ are the six groups

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z},(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

The translation subgroup of $\alpha(G)$ is trivial in the first four of these cases, and isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ in the other two cases.

Lemma 5.6. The non-trivial commutative subgroup schemes $H$ of Aut $_{D, O}$, their fixed loci $D^{H}$, centralizers $C_{\operatorname{Aut}_{p, O}}(H)$ and normalizers $N_{\mathrm{Aut}_{p, O}}(H)$ are as in Table 6.

| $j(D)$ | $\operatorname{Aut}_{D, O}$ | $H$ | $D^{H}$ | $C_{\text {Aut }_{p, O}}(H)$ | $N_{\text {Aut }_{p, O}}(H)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\neq 0$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ |
| 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\alpha_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ |

Table 6. Aut ${ }_{D, O}$ and its subgroups in characteristic 3

As in characteristic $\neq 2,3$, it is straightforward to calculate the centralizers of $G$ and $\alpha(G)$ and they are listed in Table 1.

Lemma 5.7. The group $N$ is as in Table 7.

| $G$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $\{1\}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ |

Table 7. The group $N$ in characteristic 3

Proof. If $\alpha(G)$ does not contain translations, then a translation in Aut ${ }_{C}$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if it centralizes $\alpha(G)$ by Lemma 5.1. Thus, in these cases, $N$ can be read off from the last two columns of Table 6. The proof of the two remaining cases is the same as for Lemma 5.4.

Proposition 5.8. The cases where $M$ is non-trivial are precisely the following:
(1) $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ and $j(E)=0$. In this case, $M \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) $G \in\{\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}\}$. In these cases, $M \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 (5) and Table 7, we may assume $G \in\left\{\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z},(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. For $G \in\left\{(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, the proof is essentially the same as in Proposition 5.5. The only difference is that every non-trivial 2-torsion point of $E$ is fixed by some automorphism of order 4 in $\mathrm{Aut}_{E, O}$, so we do not have an extra condition as in Proposition 5.5.

For $G \in\{\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}\}$, it suffices to find a non-trivial element in $M$. By Lemma 5.6 , there is an element $h_{C} \in N_{\text {Aut }_{C, O}}(\alpha(G))$ of order 4 such that ad $h_{C}$ swaps the two generators of $\alpha(G)$. The inversion $h_{E}$ on $E$ induces the same action on $G$. By Theorem 4.3 (6), this shows $M \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
5.1.3. Characteristic $p=2$.- By Bombieri and Mumford [BM77, p.37], the group schemes $G$ leading to bielliptic surfaces $X=(E \times C) / G$ are the six group schemes

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}, \mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z},(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}
$$

The translation subgroup scheme of $\alpha(G)$ is trivial in the first four of these cases, and isomorphic to $\mu_{2}$ and $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$, respectively, in the other two cases.

Lemma 5.9. The non-trivial commutative subgroup schemes $H$ of $\mathrm{Aut}_{D, O}$, their fixed loci $D^{H}$, centralizers $C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{D, O}}(H)$ and normalizers $N_{\mathrm{Aut}_{D, O}}(H)$ are as in Table 8.

| $j(D)$ | $\operatorname{Aut}_{D, O}$ | $H$ | $D^{H}$ | $C_{\text {Aut }_{D, O}}(H)$ | $N_{\text {Aut }_{D, O}}(H)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\neq 0$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $M_{2}$ | $Q_{8} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $Q_{8} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ |
| 0 | $Q_{8} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $Q_{8}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ |

Table 8. $\mathrm{Aut}_{D, O}$ and its subgroups in characteristic 2

As before, it is straightforward to calculate the centralizers of $G$ and $\alpha(G)$ and they are listed in Table 1.
Lemma 5.10. The group $N$ is as in Table 9.

| $G$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\{1\}$ | $\{1\}$ | $S_{3}$ |

Table 9. The group $N$ in characteristic 2

Proof. If $\alpha(G)$ does not contain translations, then a translation in Aut ${ }_{C}$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if it centralizes $\alpha(G)$ by Lemma 5.1. Thus, in these cases, $N$ can be read off from the last two columns of Table 8. For $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$, the proof is the same as for Lemma 5.4. Finally, if $G=\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, then $N_{\operatorname{Aut}(C)}(\alpha(G)(k))$ is generated by $\alpha(G)(k)$ and the unique non-trivial 2 -torsion point in $C(k)$ by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Translation by this 2 -torsion point commutes with $\alpha(G)$, hence $N$ is trivial.

Proposition 5.11. The cases where $M$ is non-trivial are precisely the following:
(7) $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ and $j(E)=0$. In this case, $M=\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) $G=\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, $M=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 (5) and Table 9, we may assume $G \in\left\{(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. The proof for $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ is the same as in Proposition 5.5 with the only difference that every non-trivial 3-torsion point in $E$ is fixed by some automorphism of order 3, so we do not have an extra condition as in Proposition 5.5.

If $G=\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$, consider the automorphism $h=\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right)$ of $E \times C$ where $h_{C} \in N_{\text {Aut }_{C, O}}(\alpha(G))$ is of order 4 and not contained in $\alpha(G)$ and $h_{E}$ is the inversion involution on $E$. By Lemma 4.2 (3), $h$ normalizes the $G$-action on $E \times C$ and, by Proposition 3.1, induces a non-trivial element of $M$. Hence, we have $M \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

### 5.2. Quasi-bielliptic surfaces

In the case of quasi-bielliptic surfaces, $E$ is still smooth, so the group $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / E$ can be calculated using the results of the previous section. We will thus focus on the calculation of $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)$ and $M$. We identify the smooth locus of $C$ with $\mathbb{A}^{1}=\operatorname{Spec} k[t]$ and use the description of automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ coming from $C$ given in [BM76, Proposition 6].
5.2.1. Characteristic $p=3$.- By $[$ BM 76 , Proposition 6$]$ the $T$-valued automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ coming from $C$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \mapsto b t+c+d t^{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b \in \mathbb{G}_{m}(T), c, d \in \mathbb{G}_{a}(T)$ and $d^{3}=0$. By [BM76, p. 214], the subgroup schemes $\alpha(G)$ leading to quasi-bielliptic surfaces are the following:
(a) $\mu_{3}: t \mapsto a t+(1-a) t^{3}$ with $a^{3}=1$
(b) $\mu_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}: \mu_{3}$ as in (a) and $t \mapsto \pm t$.
(c) $\mu_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}: \mu_{3}$ as in (a) and $t \mapsto t+i$ with $i^{3}=i$
(d) $\alpha_{3}: t \mapsto t+a t^{3}$ with $a^{3}=0$
(e) $\alpha_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}: \alpha_{3}$ as in (d) and $t \mapsto \pm t$

Remark 5.12. As noted in [Lan79, p.489], Case (f) of [BM76, p. 214] does not exist, because the group scheme given there is isomorphic to $\alpha_{9}$ and thus not a subscheme of an elliptic curve.

Now, let us calculate $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ and $M$ for the surfaces in Case (a),...,(e). To this end, we take a $k$-scheme $T$ and arbitrary elements $g \in \alpha(G)(T)$ as in the above list and $h \in \operatorname{Aut}_{C}(T)$ as in (4). One can check that the inverse of $h$ is given by

$$
t \mapsto b^{-1} t+b^{-4}\left(c^{3} d-b^{3} c\right)-b^{-4} d t^{3}
$$

(a) We calculate

$$
h \circ g \circ h^{-1}: t \mapsto a t+(1-a) b^{-1}\left(c^{3}-c\right)+(1-a)\left(b^{2}-b^{-1} d\right) t^{3} .
$$

Thus, $h$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if it centralizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if $c^{3}=c$ and $b^{3}=d+b$. Taking the cube of the second equation, we obtain $b^{6}=1$. Thus, the centralizer of $\alpha(G)$ is the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto b t+i+\left(b^{3}-b\right) t^{3} \text { with } b^{6}=1 \text { and } i^{3}=i
$$

This group scheme is isomorphic to $\mu_{3} \times S_{3}$. Therefore, we have $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong S_{3}$.
To calculate $M$, first note that $|M| \mid 2$, since $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are ordinary, $M$ is a subquotient of $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right)$ by Theorem $4.3(5)$, and $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}}^{\circ} \subseteq\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right)$. If $M$ is non-trivial, then it can be represented by an automorphism $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ that induces the inversion involution on $E^{\prime}$. This involution can be lifted to $E$, hence $g$ lifts to an automorphism of $E \times C$. However, by the above
calculations there is no element of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ that acts as an inversion on $\alpha(G)$. So, Theorem 4.3 (6) shows that $M$ is trivial.
(b) Since $\mu_{3}$ is the identity component of $\mu_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, the normalizer of $\mu_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ in Aut ${ }_{C}$ is contained in the normalizer of $\mu_{3}$ in Aut ${ }_{C}$. By Case (a), the latter is isomorphic to $\mu_{3} \times S_{3}$. Thus, $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ equals the normalizer of $\mu_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ in $\mu_{3} \times S_{3}$, hence $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))=C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))=\alpha(G)$. By the same argument as in (a), we also have $M=\{1\}$.
(c) Similar to case (b), we obtain that $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)=\{1\}$ and $M=\{1\}$.
(d) We calculate

$$
h \circ g \circ h^{-1}: t \mapsto t+a b^{-1} c^{3}+a b^{2} t^{3}
$$

Thus, $h$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if $c^{3}=0$, and it centralizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if additionally $b^{2}=1$ holds. Thus, $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ is a semi-direct product $\alpha_{3}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ is a semi-direct product $\left(\alpha_{3}\right)^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}$. In particular, we have $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong \alpha_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

Next, we calculate $M$. Using Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.6 , one can check that $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / E \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, there is an isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}, O} /(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$, where we use the structure of $\mathrm{Aut}_{E^{\prime}, O}$ recalled in Lemma 5.6. So, by Theorem 4.3 (5), $M$ is a subquotient of $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$.

Choose any automorphism $h_{E} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{E, O}$ of order 4 . Since $\alpha_{3} \subseteq E$ is the kernel of Frobenius, it is preserved by $h_{E}$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.6, the centralizer of $\alpha_{3}$ in Aut ${ }_{E, O}$ has order 3 , so conjugation by $h_{E}$ induces an automorphism of $\alpha_{3}$ of order 4 . By the calculations of the first paragraph, we have a surjection $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \rightarrow$ Aut ${ }_{\alpha(G)} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m}$, hence we can find an $h_{C} \in N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))(k)$ such that $h=\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right) \in N_{\text {Aut }_{E} \times \text { Aut }_{C}}(G)(k)$ by Lemma 4.2 (3). By Proposition 3.1, $h$ descends to an automorphism of $X$ that induces an element of order 4 in $M$. Therefore, we have $M \cong \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$.
(e) Let $g: t \mapsto-t$. Then,

$$
h \circ g \circ h^{-1}: t \mapsto-t+b^{-1} c-b^{-4} c^{3} d .
$$

Since $\alpha_{3}$ is the identity component of $\alpha_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, we can use the results of (d) to deduce that $h$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if $c=0$ and it centralizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if additionally $b^{2}=1$. Thus, we get $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \cong \alpha_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and the normalizer of $\alpha(G)$ is $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \cong \alpha_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}$. In particular, $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)=\{1\}$.

Since the automorphism $g$ generates the group $\alpha(G)(k)$, the calculation of the previous paragraph also shows that $N_{\operatorname{Aut}(C)}(\alpha(G)(k))=\mathbb{G}_{m}(k)$. Thus, $M$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of $\mathbb{G}_{m}(k)$ by Theorem 4.3 (5) and, in particular, the order of $M$ is prime to 3 . By the same theorem, $M$ is also a subquotient of $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ since $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \cong E$ in the current case. Hence, $M$ is a subquotient of $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$. Using the same construction as in (d), one can show that $M \cong \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$.
5.2.2. Characteristic $p=2$.- By [BM76, Proposition 6] the $T$-valued automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ coming from $C$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \mapsto b t+c+d t^{2}+e t^{4} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b \in \mathbb{G}_{m}(T), c, d, e \in \mathbb{G}_{a}(T)$ and $d^{4}=e^{2}=0$. The subgroup schemes $\alpha(G)$ leading to quasi-bielliptic surfaces are the following, where $\lambda \in k$ :
(a) $\mu_{2}: t \mapsto a t+\lambda(a+1) t^{2}+(a+1) t^{4}$ with $a^{2}=1$.
(b) $\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}: \mu_{2}$ as in (a) with $\lambda=0$ and $t \mapsto \omega t$, where $\omega^{3}=1$.
(c) $\mu_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}: \mu_{2}$ as in (a) and $t \mapsto t+\zeta$, where $\zeta$ is a fixed root of $x^{3}+\lambda x+1$.
(d) $\mu_{4}: t \mapsto a t+\left(a+a^{2}\right) t^{2}+\left(1+a^{2}\right) t^{4}$ with $a^{4}=1$
(e) $\mu_{4} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}: \mu_{4}$ as in (d) and $t \mapsto t+1$.
(f) $\alpha_{2}: t \mapsto t+\lambda a t^{2}+a t^{4}$ with $a^{2}=0$, and with $\lambda \in\{0,1\}$.
(g) $\alpha_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}: \alpha_{2}$ as in (f) with $\lambda=0$ and $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ as in (b)
(h) $M_{2}: t \mapsto t+a+\lambda a^{2} t^{2}+a^{2} t^{4}$ with $a^{4}=0$, and with $\lambda \neq 0$.

Remark 5.13. In [BM76, p. 214], Bombieri and Mumford do not give restrictions on the parameter $\lambda \in k$ in Case (f). However, all the $\alpha_{2}$-actions with $\lambda \neq 0$ described by them are conjugate, so we may assume $\lambda \in\{0,1\}$. For more details, we refer the reader to the discussion of Case (f) below.

Remark 5.14. To see that the group scheme in Case (h) is indeed $M_{2}$, denote the transformation in Case ( h ) associated to $z_{i}$ with $z_{i}^{4}=0$ by $t_{z_{i}}$. Observe that $t_{z_{1}} \circ t_{z_{2}}=t_{z_{1}+z_{2}+\lambda z_{1}^{2} z_{2}}$. So, if $G=\operatorname{Spec} k[z] / z^{4}$ is the group scheme in Case ( h ), then its co-multiplication is given by

$$
z \mapsto z_{1} \otimes 1+1 \otimes z_{2}+\lambda z_{1}^{2} \otimes z_{2}^{2} .
$$

Consider the supersingular elliptic curve $E$ with affine Weierstrass equation $y^{2}+\lambda y=x^{3}$ and set $z=x / y, w=$ $1 / y$, so that the equation becomes $z^{3}=w+\lambda w^{2}$. Then, the 2 -torsion subscheme $M_{2}$ of $E$ is the subscheme given by $z^{4}=w^{2}=0$, and thus $w=z^{3}$. By [Sil09, p.120] the co-multiplication on $k[z] / z^{4}$ induced by the group structure on $E$ is precisely the one described above. Hence, we have $G=M_{2}$.

For later use, we note that by [Sil09, Appendix A, Proposition 1.2], the group of automorphisms of $E$ preserving $w=z=0$ is given by the substitutions $x \mapsto b^{2} x+c^{2}, y \mapsto y+b^{2} c x+d$ with $b^{3}=1, c^{4}+\lambda c=0$ and $d^{2}+\lambda d+c^{6}=0$. In particular, they act on $k[z] / z^{4}$ as

$$
z \mapsto \frac{b^{2} x+c^{2}}{y+b^{2} c x+d}=\frac{b^{2} z+c^{2} w}{1+b^{2} c z+d w}=\left(b^{2} z+c^{2} z^{3}\right)\left(1+b^{2} c z+d z^{3}\right)^{3}=b^{2} z+b c z^{2} .
$$

In particular, if we think of the substitutions in Case ( h ) above as defining a homomorphism of group schemes $E \supseteq M_{2} \xrightarrow{\alpha}$ Aut $_{C}$, then precomposing $\alpha$ with $\operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}$ where $h_{E} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{E, O}$ is as described in the previous paragraph, then $\alpha \circ \operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}$ corresponds to $M_{2}$ acting on $C$ as

$$
t \mapsto t+\left(b^{2} a+b c a^{2}\right)+b \lambda a^{2} t^{2}+b a^{2} t^{4} .
$$

Now, we are prepared to calculate $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}\left(\alpha_{C}\right)$ and $M$ in Cases (a),...,(h). As in characteristic 3, we take a $k$-scheme $T$ and arbitrary elements $g \in \alpha(G)(T)$ as in the above list and $h \in \operatorname{Aut}_{C}(T)$ as in (5). One can check that the inverse of $h$ is given by

$$
t \mapsto b^{-1} t+b^{-7}\left(b^{6} c+b^{2} c^{4} e+b^{4} c^{2} d+c^{4} d^{3}\right)+b^{-3} d t^{2}+b^{-7}\left(d^{3}+b^{2} e\right) t^{4}
$$

(a) We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \circ g \circ h^{-1}: t \mapsto & a t+(a+1) b^{-1}\left(c+\lambda c^{2}+c^{4}\right)+(a+1)\left(b^{-1} d+\lambda b\right) t^{2} \\
& +(a+1)\left(b^{-1} e+\lambda b^{-1} d^{2}+b^{3}\right) t^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $h$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if it centralizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
c^{4}+\lambda c^{2}+c & =0 \\
d & =\lambda\left(b^{2}+b\right), \text { and }  \tag{6}\\
e & =b^{4}+b+\lambda^{3}\left(b^{4}+b^{2}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\lambda \neq 0$, the fourth power of (6) yields $b^{4}=1$, while the square of (7) yields $b^{6}=1$, so we have $b^{2}=1$. Hence, in this case $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ is the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto b t+c+\lambda(1+b) t^{2}+(1+b) t^{4} \text { with } b^{2}=1 \text { and } c^{4}+\lambda c^{2}+c=0
$$

which is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2} \times \mu_{2}$ since $c^{4}+\lambda c^{2}+c$ has 4 distinct roots. Therefore, we have $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$.

If $\lambda=0$, then $d=0$, and the square of (7) yields $b^{6}=1$. Thus, the centralizer of $\alpha(G)$ is the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto b t+c+\left(b+b^{4}\right) t^{4} \text { with } b^{6}=1 \text { and } c^{4}=c
$$

which is isomorphic to $A_{4} \times \mu_{2}$. We deduce that $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong A_{4}$.
In both cases $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda=0$, note that $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}}=\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)$, so $M=\{1\}$ follows immediately from Theorem 4.3 (5).
(b) Since $\mu_{2}$ is the identity component of the group scheme $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mu_{2}$, it suffices to calculate the normalizer of $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mu_{2}$ in $A_{4} \times \mu_{2}$, which is equal to its centralizer and both are equal to $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mu_{2}$. In particular, $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)=\{1\}$. To see that $M=\{1\}$, one can use the same arguments as in Case (a) in characteristic 3 to show that the action of $M$ lifts to $E \times C$. Since $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))=C_{A u t_{C}}(\alpha(G))$, Theorem 4.3 (6) shows that $M$ is trivial.
(c) We take the centralizer of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mu_{2}$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2} \times \mu_{2}$ if $\lambda \neq 0$ and in $A_{4} \times \mu_{2}$ if $\lambda=0$. Both are equal to the normalizer and also equal to $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2} \times \mu_{2}$. Thus, $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. As in Case (a), we have $M=\{1\}$.
(d) We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \circ g \circ h^{-1}: t \longmapsto & a t+(a+1) b^{-1}\left(c+a c^{2}+(a+1)\left(b^{-2} c^{2} d+b^{-2} c^{4} d+c^{4}\right)\right)+\left(a+a^{2}\right)\left(b^{-1} d+b\right) t^{2} \\
& +(a+1)\left(b^{-1} e+a b^{-1} d^{2}+(a+1)\left(b^{-3} d^{3}+b d+b^{3}\right)\right) t^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $h$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if it centralizes $\alpha(G)$. For $h$ to centralize the subgroup scheme where $a^{2}=1$, we obtain the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+c^{2} & =0 \\
d & =b^{2}+b, \text { and } \\
e & =b^{4}+b^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d^{4}=0$, this implies $b^{4}=1$. Plugging these conditions back into the equation for $h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$, it turns out that the subgroup scheme of transformations satisfying these conditions centralizes all of $\alpha(G)$. Therefore, the centralizer $C_{\mathrm{Aut}_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ is given by the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto b t+c+\left(b+b^{2}\right) t^{2}+\left(1+b^{2}\right) t^{4} \text { with } b^{4}=1 \text { and } c \in\{0,1\}
$$

which is isomorphic to $\mu_{4} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. By the same argument as in Case (b), we have $M=\{1\}$.
(e) Since $\mu_{4}$ is the identity component of $\mu_{4} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, we can use the computations of (d) to immediately conclude that centralizer and normalizer of $\alpha(G)$ are both equal to $\mu_{4} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and thus $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G)=\{1\}$. Also, $M=\{1\}$ follows by the same argument as in Case (b).
(f) We calculate

$$
h \circ g \circ h^{-1}: t \mapsto t+a b^{-1}\left(\lambda c^{2}+c^{4}\right)+\lambda a b t^{2}+a\left(\lambda b^{-1} d^{2}+b^{3}\right) t^{4}
$$

This shows that all the $\alpha_{2}$-actions with $\lambda \neq 0$ are conjugate to the one with $\lambda=1$ by conjugating with the map $t \mapsto \sqrt{\lambda} t$. Hence, we may assume $\lambda \in\{0,1\}$.

Suppose $\lambda=1$. Then, $h$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if it satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{2}+c^{4} & =0, \text { and } \\
d^{2} & =b^{4}+b^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Squaring (8), we get $b^{4}=1$. We also note that $h$ centralizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if additionally $b=1$. Therefore, the normalizer of $\alpha(G)$ is the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto b t+c+d t^{2}+e t^{4} \text { with } b^{4}=1, c^{4}=c^{2}, d^{2}=b^{4}+b^{2}, \text { and } e^{2}=0
$$

which is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $\left(\alpha_{2}^{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right) \rtimes \mu_{4}$ and the centralizer of $\alpha(G)$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $\alpha_{2}^{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, we have $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong \alpha_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. To calculate $M$, note that $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$, so $|M| \mid 3$ by Theorem 4.3 (5). Since $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is purely inseparable we can lift the $M$-action from $E^{\prime}$ to $E$ and hence to $E \times C$, where it normalizes $G$. Since $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))(k) \cong \mu_{4}(k)$ is trivial, this shows that $M=\{1\}$.

If $\lambda=0$, then $h$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if $c^{4}=0$ and it centralizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if additionally $b^{3}=1$. Thus, the normalizer of $\alpha(G)$ is the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto b t+c+d t^{2}+e t^{4} \text { with } c^{4}=d^{4}=e^{2}=0
$$

which is isomorphic to $\left(\alpha_{4} \rtimes A\right) \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}$ and the centralizer is isomorphic to $\left(\alpha_{4} \rtimes A\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$, where $A$ is a non-split extension of $\alpha_{4}$ by $\alpha_{2}=\alpha(G)$. Thus, we have $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong\left(\alpha_{4} \rtimes \alpha_{4}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, let us explain how to compute $M$ in the case $\lambda=0$. As in the case $\lambda=1$, we have $|M| \mid 3$. Choose an element $h_{E} \in$ Aut $_{E, O}$ of order 3. Since $\alpha(G)=\alpha_{2}$ is the kernel of Frobenius on $E$, it is preserved by $h_{E}$. By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.9, conjugation by $h_{E}$ induces an automorphism of $\alpha_{2}$ of order 3. On the other hand, the conjugation action of $N_{\mathrm{Aut}_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ on $\alpha_{2}$ factors through $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$. By the calculations of the previous paragraph and since Aut $\alpha_{\alpha_{2}} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m}$, we can find an automorphism $h_{C} \in N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ of order 9 such that $\alpha \circ \operatorname{ad}_{h_{E}}=\operatorname{ad}_{h_{C}} \circ \alpha$. By Lemma 4.2 (3), $h=\left(h_{E}, h_{C}\right)$ normalizes the $G$-action on $E \times C$. By Proposition 3.1, $h$ descends to $X$ and induces a non-trivial element of $M$. Hence, we have $M \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$.
(g) Let $g: t \mapsto \omega t$, where $\omega^{2}+\omega=1$. Then,

$$
h \circ g \circ h^{-1}: t \mapsto \omega t+\omega^{2} b^{-1}\left(c+b^{-4} c^{4} e+\omega^{2} b^{-2} c^{2} d+b^{-6} c^{4} d^{3}\right)+b^{-1} d t^{2}+b^{-3} d^{3} t^{4}
$$

Thus, $h$ normalizes $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if it centralizes $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & =0, \text { and } \\
c^{4} e+b^{4} c & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting this together with the conditions obtained in (f), we deduce that the normalizer of $\alpha(G)$ is the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto b t+e t^{4} \text { with } e^{2}=0
$$

which is isomorphic to $\alpha_{2} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_{m}$. Moreover, we see that $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))=\alpha(G)$.
Since the automorphism $g$ generates the group $\alpha(G)(k)$, the calculation of the previous paragraph also shows that $N_{\operatorname{Aut}(C)}(\alpha(G)(k))=\mathbb{G}_{m}(k)$. Thus, $M$ is a subquotient of $\mathbb{G}_{m}(k)$ by Theorem 4.3 (5) and, in particular, the order of $M$ is prime to 2 . By the same theorem, $M$ is also a subquotient of $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right)$, which is isomorphic to $Q_{8} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ since $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) \cong E$ in the current case. Hence, $M$ is a subquotient of $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$. Using the same construction as in (f), one can show that $M=\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$.
(h) We compute

$$
h \circ g \circ h^{-1}: t \mapsto t+a b^{-1}\left(1+a\left(\lambda c^{2}+c^{4}+b^{-2} d\right)\right)+\lambda a^{2} b t^{2}+a^{2}\left(\lambda b^{-1} d^{2}+b^{3}\right) t^{4}
$$

This means that $h$ normalizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if it satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
b^{3} & =1, \text { and } \\
\lambda d^{2} & =b+b^{2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, since $d^{4}=0$, we can square (9) to deduce $b=1$, and since $\lambda \neq 0$, we get $d^{2}=0$. Now, $h$ centralizes $\alpha(G)$ if and only if additionally

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=c^{4}+\lambda c^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Squaring (10), we obtain $c^{8}+\lambda^{2} c^{4}=0$. Hence, the centralizer $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G))$ of $\alpha(G)$ is the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto t+c+\left(c^{4}+\lambda c^{2}\right) t^{2}+e t^{4} \text { with } e^{2}=0 \text { and } c^{8}+\lambda^{2} c^{4}=0,
$$

which is isomorphic to $\left(M_{2} \times \alpha_{2}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, and the normalizer of $\alpha(G)$ is the group scheme of maps

$$
t \mapsto t+c+d t^{2}+e t^{4} \text { with } d^{2}=e^{2}=0
$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a} \rtimes \alpha_{2}^{2}$. In particular, we have $C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G) \cong \alpha_{2} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
To calculate $M$, note first that $M$ is a subquotient of $\operatorname{Aut}_{E^{\prime}} /\left(\left(f_{E}\right)_{*} C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G)\right) \cong A_{4}$ by Theorem 4.3 (5). Since $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is purely inseparable, we can lift the action of Aut ${ }_{X}$ to $E \times C$, where it normalizes the $G$-action. By the previous paragraph, we have $N_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) \cong \mathbb{G}_{a}$ and therefore $M$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$, again by Theorem 4.3 (5). We may assume that $E$ is given by the equation $y^{2}+\lambda y=x^{3}$. Choose $c, d \in k$ such that $c^{3}=\lambda$ and $d^{2}+\lambda d+\lambda^{2}=0$ and let $h_{E, c, d}$ be the corresponding automorphism of $E$ as in Remark 5.14. Then, by the calculations of the previous paragraph and by Remark 5.14, $\alpha_{T} \circ \operatorname{ad}_{h_{E, c, d}}=\operatorname{ad}_{h_{C, c^{\prime}}} \circ \alpha_{T}$, where $h_{C, c^{\prime}}$ is a substitution $h_{C, c^{\prime}}: t \mapsto t+c^{\prime}$ with $c^{\prime 4}+\lambda c^{\prime 2}=c$. Therefore the automorphisms $\left(h_{E, c, d}, h_{C, c^{\prime}}\right)$ of $E \times C$ descend to $X$. The three different values of $c$ yield three distinct non-trivial elements of $M$, so $M \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$.

This finishes the calculation of the groups $C_{\text {Aut }_{E}}(G) / E, C_{\text {Aut }_{C}}(\alpha(G)) / \alpha(G), M$, and thus also of the full automorphism group schemes for all bielliptic and quasi-bielliptic surfaces in all characteristics. The results are summarized in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3.
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